

A G E N D A

OCONEE COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING

TUESDAY, JUNE 2, 1998

7:00 PM

1. Call to Order
2. Invocation
3. Approval of Minutes
4. Public Hearing to Receive Written and/or Oral Comments Regarding Ordinance 98-6, "1998-99 OCONEE COUNTY BUDGET ORDINANCE"
5. Consideration of Third & Final Reading of Above Titled Ordinance
6. Discussion Regarding Proposed Rock Prices - Ms. Patty Hutchinson, Lakeside Barge Co.
7. Discussion Regarding Animal Control Ordinance - Mr. & Mrs. Jim Daniel
8. Discussion Regarding Ordinance 98-5, "AN ORDINANCE TO REGULATE SEXUALLY ORIENTED BUSINESSES WITHIN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF OCONEE COUNTY - Mr. Terry Harper
9. Discussion Regarding Winker Roadway - Mr. Russell McGuffin
10. Third & Final Reading of Ordinance 98-2, "OCONEE COUNTY NOISE CONTROL ORDINANCE"
11. Consideration of Request for \$3,000 Contingency Funds for Sales Tax for Parks, Recreation & Tourism Activities - Mr. Alex James, PRT Director
12. Consideration of Bids for Radio Repeater for Long Mountain - Sheriff James Singleton & Ms. Marianne Dillard, Purchasing Agent
13. Consideration of Bids for Food for Law Enforcement Center - Captain Terry Wilson & Ms. Marianne Dillard, Purchasing Agent
14. Consideration of Bids for Copying Machine for Clerk of Court - Mrs. Sallie Smith, Clerk of Court & Ms. Marianne Dillard, Purchasing Agent

**A G E N D A**

Oconee County Council Meeting  
Tuesday, June 2, 1998 - 7:00 pm  
Page 2

15. Consideration of Bids for Miscellaneous Surplus Items for Sale - Ms. Marianne Dillard, Purchasing Agent
16. Old Business
17. New Business
18. Adjourn

Prior to the regular Council Meeting at 7:00 pm there will be an open meeting June 2, 1998 at 6:30 pm in Council Chambers, 208 Booker Drive, Walhalla, SC for the public to express their concerns to Council. Anyone wishing to speak will need to sign in and give the subject on which they wish to express their concerns.

**MEMBERS, OCONEE COUNTY COUNCIL**

District I - VACANT  
Mr. Harry R. Hamilton, District III  
Mr. Charles R. "Chuck" Timms, District IV  
Mr. J. Harold Thomas, District II  
Mrs. Ann H. Hughes, District IV

**MINUTES, OCONEE COUNTY COUNCIL MEETING**

The regular meeting of the Oconee County Council was held Tuesday, June 2, 1998 at 7:00 pm in Council Chambers with all Council Members and the County Attorney present.

Members of The press notified (by mail):  
Journal Tribune, Keowee Courier, Westminster News, Anderson Independent, Greenville News, WGOG Radio, WSNW Radio, WCCP Radio, WPEK Radio, The Times Upstate, Northland Cablevision, WYFF TV, WSPA TV & WLOS TV.

**Press**

Members of the press present: Dick Mangrum - WGOG Radio, Ashton Hester - Keowee Courier, Terry Cregar - Greenville News, Brian Fulkerson - Journal Tribune & Dave Williams - Journal/Tribune.

The meeting was called to order by Supervisor -Chairman Orr who welcomed the guests and media.

**Call to Order**

The invocation was given by Mr. Timms.

**Invocation**

Mr. Hamilton made a motion, seconded by Mr. Timms, approved 4 - 0 that the minutes of the May 19, 1998 meeting be adopted as printed.

**Minutes**

The first item on the agenda was a public hearing to receive written and/or oral comments regarding Ordinance 98-6, "1998-99 OCONEE COUNTY BUDGET ORDINANCE".

**Public Hearing**

Ms. Cathy Plowden spoke in favor of Council fully funding the school budget request and presented a petition with sixty-two (62) signatures supporting the request of the school district.

Ms. Joy Waller also spoke in favor of Council fully funding the request of the school district.

Mr. B. J. Littleton stated he did not feel money was the answer.

Dr. Harry Mays, Chairman, Oconee County School Board, informed those present that the request for school funding was an additional \$3,800,000 over last years funding, he also stated that Oconee County is the fourth richest district in the state and asked that Council fully fund their request.

Minutes, Oconee County Council Meeting  
Tuesday, June 2, 1998 - 7:00 pm  
Page 2

Mr. Buddy Herring, Superintendent, Oconee County Schools, also asked that the Council fully fund their request stating that they needed the \$3,800,000 additional fund-funding.

Public  
Hearing  
Cont'd

Ms. Melanie Johnson stated the people were fed up with tax increases and stated that the school district spent in excess of \$61,000,000 last year and their budget this year is \$51,000,000.

At this time Council took a break, after the break Ms. Patty Hutchinson addressed Council regarding the proposed cost increase of rock at the Rock Crusher.

Rock  
Prices

After a brief discussion Mr. Timms made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hamilton that effective July 1, 1998 4' X 8' surge stone be \$6.00 per ton and rip-rap be \$7.00 per ton. After a brief discussion this motion and second was withdrawn.

Mr. Timms then made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hamilton, defeated 3 - 2 that 4' X 8' surge stone be \$6.00 per ton and rip-rap be \$6.50 per ton. Mr. Timms & Mr. Hamilton voted in favor of the motion, Mr. Thomas & Mrs. Hughes voted against the motion and Mr. Orr broke the tie by voting against the motion.

Mr. Thomas made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Hughes that Ordinance 98-6, "1998-99 OCONEE COUNTY BUDGET ORDINANCE" be adopted on third and final reading.

Ord. 98-6

Mr. Kenneth F. Williams, Auditor, informed Council that it was his understanding that the school district was going to float bonds during the coming fiscal year and it would take 2.6 mills to fund the bond payment.

After a brief discussion, Mr. Hamilton made a motion to amend the ordinance to give the school district a total of \$2,907,100 in new funding for this fiscal year, however, this motion died for lack of a second.

Mr. Thomas made a motion, seconded by Mr. Timms, approved 4 - 0 that the motion be tabled until a special meeting Tuesday, June 9, 1998.

In answer to Mr. Jim Daniel's discussion regarding the Humane Society and the animal control ordinance, Council assured him that the ordinance was being studied.

Animal  
Control

Mr. Terry Harper addressed Council briefly regarding Ordinance 98-5, "AN ORDINANCE TO REGULATE SEXUALLY ORIENTED BUSINESSES WITHIN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS OF OCONEE COUNTY".

Ord. 98-5

Mr. Russell McGuffin addressed Council regarding Winkler Roadway. Mr. Orr asked the Roads & Transportation Committee to make a recommendation regarding this roadway.

Winkler  
Road

Third and final reading of Ordinance 98-2, "OCONEE COUNTY NOISE CONTROL ORDINANCE" was tabled until the next Council Meeting due to some changes to be made in the ordinance.

Ord. 98-2

The Law Enforcement, Safety, Health, Welfare & Services Committee scheduled a meeting Tuesday, June 9, 1998 at 5:30 pm for the purpose of discussing the proposed noise control ordinance.

LEC Meet

Upon request of Mr. Alex James, PRT Director, Mr. Timms made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Hughes, approved 4 - 0 that \$2,500 be taken from contingency and placed in line item 10 018 00150 00081, Sales Tax to SC and \$1,500 be taken from contingency and placed in line item 10 018 00150 01832, Concessions for the remainder of this fiscal year.

PRT  
(Cont'cy)

Upon recommendation of Sheriff James Singleton & Ms. Marianne Dillard, Purchasing Agent, Mr. Thomas made a motion, seconded by Mr. Timms, approved 4 - 0 that the bid for a radio repeater for Long Mountain be awarded to Motorola, Inc. who was low bid at a total cost of \$21,507.30. (See attached bid sheet)

Sheriff

Upon recommendation of Captain Terry Wilson & Ms. Dillard, Mr. Hamilton made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Hughes, approved 4 - 0 that the bid for food at the Law Enforcement Center be awarded on a low bid per item basis to the following vendors:

LEC

Bell/Sysco	\$ 2,880.29
Thomas & Howard Co.	1,061.13
Todd's Distributors	3,235.94
Milton's	1,996.72
Institution Food	1,921.06
Greenville Paper	412.34

TOTAL: \$ 11,507.48 (See attached bid sheet)

Upon recommendation of Mrs. Sallie Smith, Clerk of Court, & Ms. Dillard, Mr. Timms made a motion, seconded by Mr. Thomas, approved 4 - 0 that the bid for a copying machine for the Clerk of Court be awarded to Kearns Business Products at a cost of \$5,945.10. (See attached bid sheet)

Clerk of  
Court

Upon recommendation of Ms. Dillard, Mr. Hamilton made a motion, seconded by Mr. Thomas, approved 4 - 0 that the bid for surplus items at Pine Street be awarded to the highest bid per item as per the attached bid sheet.

**Surplus  
Items**

The Purchasing, Contracting, Real Estate, Building & Grounds Committee scheduled a meeting Tuesday, June 9, 1998 following the special Council Meeting for the purpose of discussing workers compensation of vendors.

**Purchase  
Meet**

The Roads & Transportation Committee scheduled a meeting Tuesday at 4:00 pm at the site of Winkler Road for the purpose of reviewing the roadway.

**Road  
Meet**

Upon request of the Council Clerk, Mrs. Hughes made a motion, seconded by Mr. Timms that \$663 be taken from contingency and placed in line item 10 007 00150 00025 for security at the Pine Street Complex.

**(Cont'cy)**

Mr. Hamilton made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Hughes, approved 4 - 0 that the \$5.00 charge to the municipalities for housing their inmates be waived.

**LEC**

Upon request of Supervisor-Chairman Orr, Mrs. Hughes made a motion, seconded by Mr. Timms, approved 4 - 0 that \$20,000 be taken from contingency and placed in line item 10 012 00150 02022 for maintenance through the remainder of this fiscal year.

**Road  
Dept.**

Mr. Timms made a motion, seconded by Mrs. Hughes, approved 4 - 0 that Council go into executive session for the purpose of discussing contractual matters.

**Executive  
Session**

When open session resumed, Mr. Thomas made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hamilton, approved 4 - 0 that a drug card be made available to the county employees at \$0 Generic / \$12 Name Brand drugs in keeping with our current health plan pending the Finance Director conducting an audit to insure that run-in claims do not exceed \$305,000. (See attachment)

**Open  
Session  
(Ins.)**

Mr. Timms made a motion, seconded by Mr. Thomas, approved 4 - 0 that the supplemental life plan be adopted in keeping with our current health plan. (See attachment)

**(Ins.)**

It was the consensus of Council that title insurance be obtained for the tract of land that is to be purchased for the proposed new health building.

**Health  
Dept.**

Minutes, Oconee County Council Meeting  
Tuesday, June 2, 1998 - 7:00 pm  
Page 5

It was also the consensus of Council that the County Attorney correspond with Pickens County to determine what what their intentions are regarding the regional landfill.

Adjourn: 11:05 pm

**Regional  
Landfill**

**Adjourn**

Submitted By:

*Opal O. Green*

Opal O. Green  
Council Clerk

Reviewed By:  
Harrison E. Orr  
Supervisor-Chairman

We, the undersigned, completely support the Oconee County School District in their efforts to provide a quality education for the children of our county. We feel that their budget request is reasonable and a proper use of our tax dollars. We would even support a tax increase to fund their budget request.

Name

Address

Catherine Plowden 1424 West Main St West Union

Clair W Roston 1407 West Main St. West Union

P. M. Georgian 1419 West Main St., West Union

Mary D. Georgian 1419 W. Main St., West Union

Frank A. Kantz 108 South Oak, Walhalla

Grace G. Kantz 108 South Oak, Walhalla

Janor Dowe 403 W Main St Walhalla

D. A. 403 W. Main St Walhalla

Laura J. Clay 170 Ridgcrest Rd. Seneca S.C.

Louise MacLartee 171 Ridgcrest Rd. Seneca, S.C.

~~W. J. C.~~ 170 RIDGECREST RD SENECA, S.C.

Maria B. Macaulay 1420 W. Main St., West Union, S.C.

Eulalie P. Lyle 55 Plantation Rd, Walhalla, S.C.

We, the undersigned, completely support the Oconee County School District in their efforts to provide a quality education for the children of our county. We feel that their budget request is reasonable and a proper use of our tax dollars. We would even support a tax increase to fund their budget request.

Name

Address

Don Age 65 Plantation Rd, Walhalla

Marcia Lyle 65 Plantation Rd, Walhalla, S.C.

Luzanne M. Smith 6041 N. Mt. Vernon Dr., Seneca, SC

Connie Vitaris 139 Emerald Rd Seneca SC 29678

Janet Ogdord 143 Stribling Shoals Rd. Walhalla, SC 2969

Glaine Medlin 1415 Westminster Hwy. Walhalla SC 29691

Barry Smith 380 W Bryant Rd West Union, SC 29696

Al J. Z 1537 HIGHLANDS Hwy WALHALLA, SC 29691

Cudy Addin 306 Rock Crusher Rd. Walhalla, 29691

Annette Monal 110 Dendy Woods Rd West Union SC 29696

Kathy Whitman P.O. Box 157 Walhalla, SC 29691

Diane Holstead 7 Harbour View Dr Salem, SC 29676

Rebecca M. Milan 220 Lakeside Dr. Walhalla, SC 29691

Cystal Smith Burkley Dr

We, the undersigned, completely support the Oconee County School District in their efforts to provide a quality education for the children of our county. We feel that their budget request is reasonable and a proper use of our tax dollars. We would even support a tax increase to fund their budget request.

Name

Address

Allyson Sanford 325 Arphenia Drive, West Union

Joe B. Wilkie 183 Brookside Dr. West Union

Jenny V. Wilkie 183 Brookside Dr. West Union SC

Luc A. Maher 139 Oakwood Lane Westminster SC

Jim Maher 139 Creechwood Lane Westminster

Teresa Heater 914 White Oak Rd Walhalla

Misty Justice 510 Louise Ln. Walhalla

Nancy Partin 409 Holloway St. Walhalla

Kitty Freeman 223 Lakeside Dr. Walhalla

Kathryn Bianchi 198 Brookside Drive, W. Union, SC

Cathy Bous 1210 Westminster Hwy. Westminster, SC

Wesley D Rogers 130 E Fork Little River, Salem

Kenneth L. Hood 829 Cheochee Valley RD TAMMESA

Samuel J. 223 Lakeside Dr Walhalla

We, the undersigned, completely support the Oconee County School District in their efforts to provide a quality education for the children of our county. We feel that their budget request is reasonable and a proper use of our tax dollars. We would even support a tax increase to fund their budget request.

Name

Address

Melinda W. Arrington

325 Little River Crossing  
Salem, SC 29676

Scott L. Rumsford

325 Little River Crossing  
Salem SC 29676

Quiana

108 Lloyd Dr.  
Seneca, S.C. 29678

Loni P. Norton

475 Cheochee Valley Rd.  
TAMASSEE SC 29686  
685 The Bear Blvd.

Lisa D. Newton

TAMASSEE SC 29686

Michele D. Beck

305 Lucky Street  
Westminster, SC 29693

We, the undersigned, completely support the Oconee County School District in their efforts to provide a quality education for the children of our county. We feel that their budget request is reasonable and a proper use of our tax dollars. We would even support a tax increase to fund their budget request.

Name

Address

Charlene S. Harrison 100 Wildwood Trail, Tamassee, SC 29688

D. Brally Jr 942 N. Coastview, Seneca, SC 29678

David W. Fowler 1424 W. MAIN ST. WEST UNION 29691

April K. Sanders PO Box 435, Townville, SC 29693

R. J. (R. DANIEL DAY) 100 W. Bluebird Dr, Seneca, SC 29672

Virginia Miller 355 Main St. Walhalla, S.C. 29689

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

We, the undersigned, completely support the Oconee County School District in their efforts to provide a quality education for the children of our county. We feel that their budget request is reasonable and a proper use of our tax dollars. We would even support a tax increase to fund their budget request.

Name

Address

*M. Pruitt*

814 Wake Robin Lane, Seneca, SC 29672

*Marceline Pruitt*

814 Wake Robin Ln Seneca SC 29672

*Suzanne C. Newell*

325 Amethyst Way Seneca, S.C. 29672

West. SC

*Shirley Coley*

150 Cedar Hill Lane 29693

*Sada W. Pruitt*

301 Fernwood Dr. Seneca SC 29678

*Susan E. Rawlings*

130 Silver Fox Lane <sup>West Union</sup> SC 29696

*John Shealy*

440 Pine Hollow Ln. West Union, SC 29696

*Joy Waller*

102 Arphenia Dr. West Union SC 29696

*Gene W. Waller*

TT

11

11

# Other views

## Cost of reducing class size well worth money

By John K. Luedeman

There are three bills before the Legislature containing language on class size. The Senate version of the accountability bill has it as a part. It is also in the Senate version of the budget and a separate bill, S.1115. In general, these bills attempt to reduce the class size to 15:1 in kindergarten programs and grades 1 to 3. The phase in for kindergarten begins with the 1998 school year with grades 1 to 3 phasing in successive years.

At this time members of a House-Senate conference committee are trying to resolve differences over the implementation of this important education initiative.

In Teacher Talk 1997, a gathering of 300 exceptional public school teachers, participants expressed strong belief that class size affects student achievement. Smaller classes facilitate character development and social skills, as well as reduce discipline problems. Smaller classes increase student participation, the teacher's awareness and the ability to provide individualized remediation and school-to-home contact. Smaller classes also make it easier for teachers to be accountable.

Large classes make the use of alternative assessments almost impossible, the teachers said. The lack of individualized instruction impacts negatively on learning, testing and discipline, and causes an increase in the dropout rate. Teachers mentioned the desire to have the student/teacher ratio match the athlete/coach ratio.

### GUEST COLUMN

John K. Luedeman is a member of the Greenville News staff and author of the book "Class Size and the Future of Education."



John K. Luedeman is a member of the Greenville News staff.

A number of studies have been conducted to determine the relationship between class size and student achievement as measured by a variety of standardized tests. Some of these studies concluded that smaller classes are more effective than larger ones. Other studies have revealed the opposite, namely, that large classes lead to increased pupil achievement. A third class of studies showed that class size had no significant effect on student performance.

Many educators are not surprised by the inconclusiveness of research on the effect on student performance. They claim that the significant effect is in the teaching pro-

cess and the classroom environment.

If the effect of class size is so elusive, what does affect student achievement? Studies show that while class size does not guarantee student achievement, what the teacher does with the smaller class size does improve student achievement. In a small class in which the teacher teaches the same as in a large class, students do not show improved achievement. Teachers in small size classes that showed improved achievement were found to use more desirable classroom practices. These more desirable classroom practices may be found in the state Department of Education curriculum frameworks.

Most educators agree that children who spend more time in learning-related activities will learn more than those who do not. Most effective small class teachers reported covering content faster and covering more content than they had been able to with a regular class. Mary explained how they had gone into more depth than ever before. Their daily learning schedule could be more relaxed and they could still complete the necessary work. They could pause to look things up in the dictionary or encyclopedia or they could spend more time discussing a topic with more children having an opportunity to participate. Students spent more time engaged in learning activities.

Small class teachers spent more time individualizing instruction for each student. These teachers engaged in more frequent and more lengthy discussions with children,

spent the time necessary to insure that each child understood the material, had more opportunities for children to work at the board and made greater use of reference materials when appropriate.

Children used a variety of workplaces at more space was available in the classroom. Because small class teachers had more instructional time available, they were able to respond to each child more frequently, and were able to engage in instructional interactions beyond a simple teacher question/pupil response cycle.

In a smaller class, teachers provided children with learning opportunities beyond traditional whole group and seatwork instructional patterns more often than in larger classes. Teachers were more willing to implement complex or messy activities because more classroom space was available, or because they could adequately monitor and supervise the activity.

Monitoring and evaluating pupil progress was easier, required less time, was more efficient, and resulted in greater individual attention in a smaller class. For example, papers could be checked on the spot and in order to give each child immediate feedback. Children who were having problems asked more questions and requested more help in a smaller class. Teachers found it much easier to match their level of instruction to the level of the pupil's ability in a smaller class.

The major area of difference between teaching in regular classes and teaching in small classes is children's behavior. Fewer

# Other views

## Cost of reducing class size well worth money

By John K. Luedeman

There are three bills before the Legislature containing language on class size. The Senate version of the accountability bill has it as a part. It is also in the Senate version of the budget and a separate bill, S.1115. In general, these bills attempt to reduce the class size to 15:1 in kindergarten programs and grades 1 to 3. The phase in for kindergarten begins with the 1998 school year with grades 1 to 3 phasing in successive years.

At this time members of a House-Senate conference committee are trying to resolve differences over the implementation of this important education initiative.

In Teacher Talk 1997, a gathering of 300 exceptional public school teachers, participants expressed strong belief that class size affects student achievement. Smaller classes facilitate character development and social skills, as well as reduce discipline problems. Smaller classes increase student participation, the teacher's awareness and the ability to provide individualized remediation and school-to-home contact. Smaller classes also make it easier for teachers to be accountable.

Large classes make the use of alternative assessments almost impossible, the teachers said. The lack of individualized instruction impacts negatively on learning, testing and discipline, and causes an increase in the dropout rate. Teachers mentioned the desire to have the student/teacher ratio match the athlete/coach ratio.



A number of studies have been conducted to determine the relationship between class size and student achievement as measured by a variety of standardized tests. Some of these studies concluded that smaller classes are more effective than larger ones. Other studies have revealed the opposite, namely, that large classes lead to increased pupil achievement. A third class of studies showed that class size had no significant effect on student performance.

Many educators are not surprised by the inconclusiveness of research on the effect on student performance. They claim that the significant effect is in the teaching pro-

cess and the classroom environment.

If the effect of class size is so elusive, what does affect student achievement? Studies show that while class size does not guarantee student achievement, what the teacher does with the smaller class size does improve student achievement. In a small class in which the teacher teaches the same as in a large class, students do not show improved achievement. Teachers in small size classes that showed improved achievement were found to use more desirable classroom practices. These more desirable classroom practices may be found in the state Department of Education curriculum frameworks.

Most educators agree that children who spend more time in learning-related activities will learn more than those who do not. Most effective small class teachers reported covering content faster and covering more content than they had been able to with a regular class. Many explained how they had gone into more depth than ever before. Their daily learning schedule could be more relaxed and they could still complete the necessary work. They could pause to look things up in the dictionary or encyclopedia or they could spend more time discussing a topic with more children having an opportunity to participate. Students spent more time engaged in learning activities.

Small class teachers spent more time individualizing instruction for each student. These teachers engaged in more frequent and more lengthy discussions with children,

spent the time necessary to insure that each child understood the material, had more opportunities for children to work at the board and made greater use of reference materials when appropriate.

Children used a variety of workplaces as more space was available in the classroom. Because small class teachers had more instructional time available, they were able to respond to each child more frequently, and were able to engage in instructional interactions beyond a simple teacher question/pupil response cycle.

In a smaller class, teachers provided children with learning opportunities beyond traditional whole group and seatwork instructional patterns more often than in larger classes. Teachers were more willing to implement complex or messy activities because more classroom space was available, or because they could adequately monitor and supervise the activity.


Monitoring and evaluating pupil progress was easier, required less time, was more efficient, and resulted in greater individual attention in a smaller class. For example, papers could be checked on the spot and in order to give each child immediate feedback. Children who were having problems asked more questions and requested more help in a smaller class. Teachers found it much easier to match their level of instruction to the level of the pupil's ability in a smaller class.

The major area of difference between teaching in regular classes and teaching in small classes is children's behavior. Fewer

# Other views

## Reducing class size well worth money spent

### GUEST COLUMN



...the relationship between class size and student achievement as measured by a variety of standardized tests. Some of these studies concluded that smaller classes are more effective than larger ones. Other studies have revealed the opposite, namely, that large classes lead to increased pupil achievement. A third class of studies showed that class size had no significant effect on student performance.

A number of studies have been conducted to determine the relationship between class size and student achievement as measured by a variety of standardized tests. Some of these studies concluded that smaller classes are more effective than larger ones. Other studies have revealed the opposite, namely, that large classes lead to increased pupil achievement. A third class of studies showed that class size had no significant effect on student performance.

Many educators are not surprised by the inconclusiveness of research on the effect on student performance. They claim that the significant effect is in the teaching pro-

cess and the classroom environment.

If the effect of class size is so elusive, what does affect student achievement? Studies show that while class size does not guarantee student achievement, what the teacher does with the smaller class size does improve student achievement. In a small class in which the teacher teaches the same as in a large class, students do not show improved achievement. Teachers in small size classes that showed improved achievement were found to use more desirable classroom practices. These more desirable classroom practices may be found in the state Department of Education curriculum frameworks.

Most educators agree that children who spend more time in learning-related activities will learn more than those who do not. Most effective small class teachers reported covering content faster and covering more content than they had been able to with a regular class. Many explained how they had gone into more depth than ever before. Their daily learning schedule could be more relaxed and they could still complete the necessary work. They could pause to look things up in the dictionary or encyclopedia or they could spend more time discussing a topic with more children having an opportunity to participate. Students spent more time engaged in learning activities.

Small class teachers spent more time individualizing instruction for each student. These teachers engaged in more frequent and more lengthy discussions with children,

spent the time necessary to insure that each child understood the material, had more opportunities for children to work at the board and made greater use of reference materials when appropriate.

Children used a variety of workspaces as more space was available in the classroom. Because small class teachers had more instructional time available, they were able to respond to each child more frequently, and were able to engage in instructional interactions beyond a simple teacher question/pupil response cycle.

In a smaller class, teachers provided children with learning opportunities beyond traditional whole group and seatwork instructional patterns more often than in larger classes. Teachers were more willing to implement complex or messy activities because more classroom space was available, or because they could adequately monitor and supervise the activity.

Monitoring and evaluating pupil progress was easier, required less time, was more efficient, and resulted in greater individual attention in a smaller class. For example, papers could be checked on the spot and in order to give each child immediate feedback. Children who were having problems asked more questions and requested more help in a smaller class. Teachers found it much easier to match their level of instruction to the level of the pupil's ability in a smaller class.

The major area of difference between teaching in regular classes and teaching in small classes is children's behavior. Fewer

discipline problems occur in small classes. With fewer desks in the classroom, there is more physical space between children. Thus, it is not likely that children will talk to each other, disturb each other or jostle others when moving about the classroom.

It is also easier to isolate children with behavior problems. Secondly, it is easier for teachers to stay on top of things. Children are aware that teachers can watch them more closely and so are less likely to misbehave.

Smaller classes allow teachers to deal with problem behavior right away and catch small things before they escalate. A third explanation for decreased misbehavior revolved around individual attention. Children can get all the attention they need from the teacher without acting up.

Should we reduce class size? Most definitely, if we also enable teachers to enact more exciting teaching practices.

What is the cost of this change? In grades K through 3, we will need to add one teacher for every three now teaching. With a teacher salary of \$20,000 per year, this cost is significant. However, the cost will be borne mostly by the state with modest supplements from local school districts.

With all of the negative publicity about South Carolina student performance and United States student performance when compared with the rest of the world, we should do everything we can to give our children the best education that we can. The cost of not doing this is too great.

# Other views

## Reducing class size well worth money spent

### GUEST COLUMN

...the mathematical sciences and mathematics education in the state of Tennessee.



...to be a more effective teacher. Contact the author at the address below.

A number of studies have been conducted to determine the relationship between class size and student achievement as measured by a variety of standardized tests. Some of these studies concluded that smaller classes are more effective than larger ones. Other studies have revealed the opposite, namely, that large classes lead to increased pupil achievement. A third class of studies showed that class size had no significant effect on student performance.

Many educators are not surprised by the inconclusiveness of research on the effect on student performance. They claim that the significant effect is in the teaching pro-

cess and the classroom environment.

If the effect of class size is so elusive, what does affect student achievement? Studies show that while class size does not guarantee student achievement, what the teacher does with the smaller class size does improve student achievement. In a small class in which the teacher teaches the same as in a large class, students do not show improved achievement. Teachers in small size classes that showed improved achievement were found to use more desirable classroom practices. These more desirable classroom practices may be found in the state Department of Education curriculum frameworks.

Most educators agree that children who spend more time in learning-related activities will learn more than those who do not. Most effective small class teachers reported covering content faster and covering more content than they had been able to with a regular class. Many explained how they had gone into more depth than ever before. Their daily learning schedule could be more relaxed and they could still complete the necessary work. They could pause to look things up in the dictionary or encyclopedia or they could spend more time discussing a topic with more children having an opportunity to participate. Students spent more time engaged in learning activities.

Small class teachers spent more time individualizing instruction for each student. These teachers engaged in more frequent and more lengthy discussions with children,

spent the time necessary to insure that each child understood the material, had more opportunities for children to work at the board and made greater use of reference materials when appropriate.

Children used a variety of workplaces as more space was available in the classroom. Because small class teachers had more instructional time available, they were able to respond to each child more frequently, and were able to engage in instructional interactions beyond a simple teacher question/pupil response cycle.

In a smaller class, teachers provided children with learning opportunities beyond traditional whole group and seatwork instructional patterns more often than in larger classes. Teachers were more willing to implement complex or messy activities because more classroom space was available, or because they could adequately monitor and supervise the activity.

Monitoring and evaluating pupil progress was easier, required less time, was more efficient, and resulted in greater individual attention in a smaller class. For example, papers could be checked on the spot and in order to give each child immediate feedback. Children who were having problems asked more questions and requested more help in a smaller class. Teachers found it much easier to match their level of instruction to the level of the pupil's ability in a smaller class.

The major area of difference between teaching in regular classes and teaching in small classes is children's behavior. Fewer

discipline problems occur in small classes. With fewer desks in the classroom, there is more physical space between children. Thus, it is not likely that children will talk to each other, disturb each other or jostle others when moving about the classroom.

It is also easier to isolate children with behavior problems. Secondly, it is easier for teachers to stay on top of things. Children are aware that teachers can watch them more closely and so are less likely to misbehave.

Smaller classes allow teachers to deal with problem behavior right away and catch small things before they escalate. A third explanation for decreased misbehavior revolved around individual attention. Children can get all the attention they need from the teacher without acting up.

Should we reduce class size? Most definitely, if we also enable teachers to enact more exciting teaching practices.

What is the cost of this change? In grades K through 3, we will need to add one teacher for every three now teaching. With a teacher salary of \$20,000 per year, this cost is significant. However, the cost will be borne mostly by the state with modest supplements from local school districts.

With all of the negative publicity about South Carolina student performance and United States student performance when compared with the rest of the world, we should do everything we can to give our children the best education that we can. The cost of not doing this is too great.

507

**School District of Oconee County**  
**Proposed Annual Principal and Interest of General Obligation Debt**

	<u>Outstanding Bonds</u>			<u>New Bond Offer</u>			<u>Total</u>
	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Debt</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>New Debt</u>	<u>Debt</u>
							<u>Service</u>
1998	670,000	1,597,713	2,267,713	0	0	0	2,267,713
1999	2,620,000	4,004,200	6,624,200	0	312,500	312,500	6,936,700
<del>2000</del>	3,760,000	2,202,263	5,962,263	<u>200,000</u>	<u>245,000</u>	<u>445,000</u>	6,407,263
2001	2,080,000	1,837,788	3,917,788	250,000	233,750	483,750	4,401,538
2002	1,915,000	1,731,063	3,646,063	250,000	221,250	471,250	4,117,313
2003	2,015,000	1,644,000	3,659,000	250,000	208,750	458,750	4,117,750
2004	2,120,000	1,304,868	3,424,868	250,000	196,250	446,250	3,871,118
2005	2,225,000	1,239,156	3,464,156	250,000	183,750	433,750	3,897,906
2006	2,330,000	1,144,200	3,474,200	300,000	170,000	470,000	3,944,200
2007	2,445,000	1,052,643	3,497,643	300,000	155,000	455,000	3,952,643
2008	2,585,000	950,000	3,535,000	300,000	140,000	440,000	3,975,000
2009	2,730,000	828,213	3,558,213	300,000	125,000	425,000	3,983,213
2010	2,875,000	688,325	3,563,325	300,000	110,000	410,000	3,973,325
2011	3,030,000	545,573	3,575,573	400,000	92,500	492,500	4,068,073
2012	3,210,000	388,493	3,598,493	400,000	72,500	472,500	4,070,993
2013	3,370,000	222,870	3,592,870	400,000	52,500	452,500	4,045,370
2014	1,900,000	48,450	1,948,450	425,000	31,875	456,875	2,405,325
2015	0	0	0	425,000	10,625	435,625	435,625
Total	<u>\$41,880,000</u>	<u>21,429,818</u>	<u>63,309,818</u>	<u>5,000,000</u>	<u>2,550,625</u>	<u>7,125,625</u>	<u>70,435,443</u>

8299 9-299

2-6 ms 1/2

PATTY HUTCHINSON  
MAY 20, 1998

LAKESIDE BARGE COMPANY  
HUTCH-N-SON CONSTRUCTION

ROCK PRICES AND INCREASES

TYPE	92-95	97	PROPOSED 98
CRUSHER RUN	4.80-4.90 (2%)	5.15 (5.1%)	5.50 (7%)
C/RUN SAP	4.15-4.15 (0)	4.25 (2.4%)	4.25 (0)
2" X 3'	5.65-5.85 (8.5%)	6.35 (8.5%)	7.00 (10%)
SCREENINGS	3.80-4.05 (6.5%)	4.30 (6.2%)	4.50 (5%)
1" X 1/2"	5.65-5.85 (3.5%)	6.35 (8.5%)	7.00 (10%)
789	6.15-6.35 (3.5%)	6.70 (5.5%)	7.00 (4%)
4" x 8" Surge	4.80-5.00 (4.2%)	5.50 (10%)	7.00 (27%)
Rip-Rap(nonspec)	4.80-5.00 (4.2%)	5.50 (10%)	9.00 (64%)
Asphalt Sand	4.80-4.90 (2.1%)	5.15 (5.1%)	5.15 (0)
6M-3/8" x 1	5.85	6.35 (8.5%)	7.00 (10%)

6.50  
-  
4.00  
= 6.50

## Kroeger Marine Construction, Inc.

---

Mr. Harrison E. Orr, Supervisor  
208 Booker Drive  
Walhalla, SC 29691

June 2, 1998

879 BY-PASS 123  
SENECA, S.C. 29678  
(864) 882-7671  
Fax (864) 882-0608

Dear Mr. Orr,

I am approaching you, as the leaders of our county council, with my concerns of the proposed rate increase of the stone coming out of the county quarry. I would like to address the short notice you have given and the substantial percentage increase.

I do not feel that there has been adequate notice of the proposed increase. We contacted the Oconee County Rock Crusher and had been advised less than two months ago that there would be no price increase in the near future. Since that time, we have bid and been awarded contracts through September, 1998, with some jobs in excess of 2500 tons. These bids were based on the current rates with no expected change.

The proposed rate increases of surge stone from \$5.50 to \$7.00 per ton (27%) and rip rap from \$5.50 to \$9.00 a ton (64%) are extreme. Your agents have based these figures on the rates charged by Vulcan and Davidson Mineral Products. However, they were not comparing "apples to apples." These two companies sort their stone according to size and certain specifications. When we buy from them, we know exactly what we are getting. The size will be consistent and the load will not be mixed with fine material and smaller stone. That is not always the case when we buy from the county.


It gives me a good feeling to be keeping as much of my business as possible in Oconee County. From January 1, 1997 to May 20, 1998 Kroeger Marine Construction, Inc. purchased 33% of the rip rap and 24% of the surge stone sold by Oconee County Rock Crusher. I believe that my company is a major purchaser in supporting the livelihood of this operation. To continue to purchase stone at the proposed rates or any substantial increase would force my company to purchase from other vendors.

In the 13 years of this business my experience for price increase has been in the range of 2 - 5% with 6 months to a year notice. We pay the county on a weekly basis. Industry standards are typically terms such as 2/10 net 30.

I realize that I am only one purchaser, but in the above stated period of time I have purchased approximately \$100,000 of material. I can't help wondering, from a tax payer's point of view, if this much increase on these products is worth losing this percentage of revenue?

I ask you to please consider the impact this proposed increase would have not only on my business and like businesses, but the major impact imposed on the taxpayers of Oconee County due to lost revenue. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,



David D. Kroeger  
President

TITLE: NOISE CONTROL ORDINANCE

SECTION 1: DECLARATION OF NUISANCE AND PROHIBITIONS

1. Any unreasonably loud, disturbing, or unnecessary noise which causes material distress, annoyance, discomfort, endangerment, or injury to a person of ordinary sensibilities in the immediate vicinity thereof is hereby declared to be a nuisance and is hereby prohibited.
  
2. Any noise of such character, intensity, and continued duration which substantially interferes with the comfortable enjoyment of private homes by persons of ordinary sensibilities is hereby declared a nuisance and is hereby prohibited.

SECTION 2: ILLEGALITY

For purposes of this section, "person" means any individual, partnership, association, corporation, or joint venture.

1. It shall be unlawful for any person to create or continue, or cause to be created or continued any such nuisance.
  
2. It shall be unlawful for any person, whether present or not, to allow or permit the creation or continuance of any such nuisance at or on the premises over which such person has dominion or control.

3. It shall be unlawful for any person to interfere with or obstruct an Oconee County Deputy Sheriff in the performance of his/her duties while enforcing the provisions of this ordinance.

### SECTION 3: ENUMERATION OF SPECIFIC ACTS

The following acts, among others, are declared to be nuisances in violation of this section, but such enumerations shall not be exclusive.

1. Musical instruments, radios, phonographs, etc.

The using, playing, or operating or permitting the use, play, or operation of any musical instrument, radio, phonograph, cassette player, compact disc player, television set, or other machine or device for the producing or reproducing of sound in such a manner or with such volume as to disturb the peace, quiet, comfort, and repose of persons nearby.

2. Loudspeakers and amplifiers.

The use of any loudspeaker or amplifier with such intensity that it annoys and disturbs persons in the immediate vicinity.

3. Yelling, shouting, etc.

Yelling, shouting, hooting, or making or causing to be made any loud, boisterous, and unreasonable noise which disturbs any other person in the vicinity.

4. Operation of vehicles.

The running of any automobile, motorcycle or other vehicle so out of repair, so loaded, or in such a manner as to create excessively loud noise or unnecessary grating, grinding, jarring, rattling or vibrating noise; the racing of any motor vehicle engine while such

vehicle is not in motion, except when necessary to do so in the course of repairing, adjusting or testing the same between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m..

5. Horns and other signal devices on vehicles.

The sounding of a vehicle horn or signal device except as a danger or warning signal; the continued or frequent sounding of any vehicle horn or signal device for an unnecessary or unreasonable period of time.

6. Construction work.

The erection, excavation, demolition, renovation, alteration, or repair work on any building or building site, or the clearing of any tract of land between the hours of 10:00 p.m. and <sup>6</sup>7:00 a.m. which results in excessive and disturbing noise, except in cases of urgent necessity in the interest of public convenience or safety.

7. Fireworks and other explosive devices.

Fireworks which are detonated within the exterior property line and in close proximity to a dwelling or occupied structure without consent of the person in control of the premises; detonation of carbide cannons, black powder or smokeless powder devices, or any explosive device or compound which when detonated causes a report which exceeds that caused by lawfully acquired fireworks and which disturbs the public peace.

*Not apply Christmas, 4 July & New Years*

8. Near schools, churches, and hospitals.

Any excessive noise in the vicinity of a church, school, other institution of learning, or court of law while the same is in session or near any hospital, rest home, nursing home, or residential care center which unreasonably interferes with the working of such institution(s) or which unduly disturbs patients, residents, students and/or those in attendance at such institutions.

9. Firearms.

The shooting of firearms which substantially interferes with the comfortable enjoyment of private homes by persons of ordinary sensibilities in the immediate vicinity thereof.

SECTION 4: EXCEPTIONS

This ordinance does not apply to noise emanating from industrial and manufacturing operations, governmental activities, airports and aircraft, railways, emergency signal devices, firearms discharges as a result of lawful game hunting, agricultural activities, parades, carnivals, school band practice or performances, and school or government sponsored athletic events.

Additionally, this ordinance does not apply to noise between the hours of 7:00 a.m. - 10:00 p.m. which emanates from lawn and yard maintenance activities, tree harvesting or clearing, or explosives for construction and land clearing.

Any lawful business operating as of the date of this Ordinance that is not in compliance with this Ordinance and does not fall under exceptions set out in this Ordinance shall have six (6) months from the date of this Ordinance to come into compliance with this ordinance.

Any lawful business or activity operating as of the date of this Ordinance that is not in compliance with this Ordinance and does not fall under exceptions set out in this Ordinance will nevertheless be considered to be in compliance with this Ordinance if said lawful business or activity has existed or occurred on or at its present location and made noise that is not in compliance with this Ordinance prior to the complaining party moving to an area that is affected by the noise.

## SECTION 5: ENFORCEMENT

The Oconee County Sheriff's Department will enforce the provisions of this Ordinance. After receiving a complaint and upon a finding by the officer of a violation, or upon the occurrence of a violation in the officer's presence which would be in the public interest to quell, the officer may take any one of the following actions:

1. Warn the offender who will have the opportunity to immediately abate the offending noise without penalty. If the violation continues or reoccurs, the officer may cite or arrest the violator.
2. Issue a courtesy summons to appear in magistrate's court.
3. Make a custodial arrest.

Officers may consider the following factors, among others, when deciding the appropriate method of enforcement:

- number of citizen complaints.
- proximity and density of nearby dwellings or other occupied structures or areas.
- prior complaints regarding the same offender or same location.
- cooperation of violator and likelihood of compliance.
- nature and volume or intensity of noise.
- time of day.

*person making complaint have interest in property*

In addition, private citizens may petition an Oconee County Magistrate for an arrest warrant for violations of this Ordinance.

**SECTION 6: PENALTIES**

Any person violating any provision of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and shall for every offense be punished by imprisonment in jail not exceeding thirty (30) days or by a fine not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500.00), or both. Each day on which there is any violation of this Ordinance shall constitute a separate and distinct violation and offense.

**SECTION 7: SEVERABILITY**

If any word, term, provision or section hereof is determined to be unconstitutional or otherwise invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remaining terms, provisions or sections shall not be affected thereby and shall remain in full force and effect.

**SECTION 8: EFFECTIVE DATE**

This ordinance shall become effective upon third and final reading.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED ON FIRST READING IN TITLE ONLY

this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1998 by a vote of \_\_\_\_\_ yes to \_\_\_\_\_ no.

---

COUNCIL CLERK

APPROVED AND ADOPTED ON SECOND READING this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1998 by a vote of \_\_\_\_\_ yes to \_\_\_\_\_ no.

\_\_\_\_\_  
COUNCIL CLERK

APPROVED AND ADOPTED ON THIRD AND FINAL READING this \_\_\_\_\_, day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1998 by a vote of \_\_\_\_\_ yes to \_\_\_\_\_ no.

\_\_\_\_\_  
SUPERVISOR-CHAIRMAN  
OCONEE COUNTY COUNCIL

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_  
COUNCIL CLERK

BIDDER	Motorola, Inc.	Morris Communications
<b>Configuration A:</b>		
Equipment Subtotal	12,585.00	12,726.00
Sales Tax	629.10	636.30
Installation/shipping	540.00	600.00
<b>Total for Configuration A</b>	<b>\$ 13,751.00</b>	<b>\$ 13,962.00</b>
<b>Configuration B:</b>		
Equipment Subtotal	18,146.00	18,222.00
Sales Tax	907.30	911.10
Installation/shipping	540.00	600.00
<b>Total for Configuration B</b>	<b>\$ 19,593.30</b>	<b>\$ 19,733.10</b>
<b>Options:</b>		
Programming software	300.00	can not supply
Programming cable	46.50	250.00
Battery backup	110w - 2,012.00 225w - 3,936.00	329.00
Wild Card Modules	1,147.50 plus 250.00 to install	900.00 plus 900.00 to install
Service manuals	170.00	
Lightning suppressor	60.00	
<b>Grand Total (configuration B + options)</b>	<b>\$ 21,507.30</b>	<b>\$ 21,783.10*</b>
		*does not include manuals or software
Warranty	1 year	1 year
Yearly maintenance plan	75.00/month	100.00/month
Completion date	9 weeks	4 weeks
<b>Attended Bid Opening: Marianne Dillard, Jenny Peay, Steve Pruitt - Oconee County</b>		

**BID NO. 97-64**

(Use this number on envelopes and all related correspondence.)

**BID FORM**  
**OCONEE COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT**  
**201 W. MAIN STREET, WALHALLA, SC 29691**

The MOTOROLA, INC.

submits herewith our Bid in response to bid request number shown above, and in compliance with the description(s) and/or specification(s) attached hereto for a new radio repeater system for the Long Mountain Transmitter Site

CONFIGURATION "A"

<u>Model #</u>	<u>Descriptions</u>	<u>Price</u>
C99ED	Quantar/Quantro Unit	<u>5,760.00</u>
001C	Quantar Configuration	<u>-0-</u>
X640	25-110 Watt Power Output, 438 - 470 MHz	<u>4,774.00</u>
X597 A	Conventional Analog Application	<u>-0-</u>
X580	Repeater Operation	<u>-0-</u>
X182	Factory-Installed Duplexer	<u>1,173.00</u>
X52	30 Inch Indoor Cabinet	<u>200.00</u>
	Digital Encryption Standard (DES) capable	<u>675.00</u>
	Equipment Subtotal	<u>\$12,582.00</u>
	S. C. Sales Tax (5%)	<u>629.10</u>
	Installation	<u>540.00</u> □
	TOTAL	<u>\$ 13,751.10</u>

CONFIGURATION "B"

□ See note below on wild CARD.

<u>Model #</u>	<u>Descriptions</u>	<u>Price</u>
C99ED	Quantar/Quantro Unit	<u>5,760.00</u>
001C	Quantar Configuration	<u>-0-</u>
X740	225-110 Watt Power Output, 438 - 470 MHz	<u>9,790.00</u>
X597 A	Conventional Analog Application	<u>-0-</u>
X580	Repeater Operation	<u>-0-</u>
X182	Factory-Installed Duplexer	<u>1,173.00</u>

X180

60 Inch Indoor Cabinet

748.00

Digital Encryption Standard (DES) capable

675.00

Equipment Subtotal

\$ 18,146.00

S. C. Sales Tax (5%)

907.30

Installation

540.00

Total

\$ 19,593.30

OPTIONS:

Programming Software

300.00

Programming Cable

46.50

Battery - Backup

QUANTAR 110W DSFE 1150VA... 2,012.00  
QUANTRO 225W DSFE 3100VA... 3,936.00

Wild Card Module - reporting w/door intrusion sensor

1,147.50\*

Wild Card Module - reporting w/power outage/generator operation

\*

Wild Card Module - activating back-up repeater

\*

Warranty 1 year Parts & Labor during Normal Working hours.

Yearly Maintenance Plan (cost and coverage)

24-hour coverage  
\$ 75.00 per month direct through

Communication Service Center. BOARDS Level contract is Necessary  
for an additional \$ 75.00 per month.

The above stated bid is based on all applicable specifications, drawings, etc. associated with this bid and the following additional Addenda issued subsequent to the basic specifications and/or drawings.

NOTE TO BIDDER: List all Addenda with dates of any issued. If no additional Addenda is issued, write the word "NONE".

Addendum Number

Date

Bid shall include delivery to location stated on Bid Notice. Show any exception, deviation, extra computation, or information on Bid Supplemental Form attached hereto.

Completion/Delivery Date ARO: 9 weeks

Bidding Organization: MOTOROLA, INC.

Mailing Address: 5 CREEK View Ct. Greenville, S.C. 29609

Signature of Bidders Representative: Steve Chandler

Title: SR. Acct. Mgr. Date: 5/20/98

Telephone: 864 244 0085 Fax: 864 244 3995

\* NOTE: WILD CARD FUNCTIONS are software programmable for multiple inputs and outputs. Exact configuration must be determined based on SENSOR array. No sensors are included.

BID SUPPLEMENTAL FORM  
OCONEE COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT  
201 WEST MAIN STREET  
WALHALLA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29691

DATE: 5-20-98 BID NO 97-64

The MOTOROLA, INC. takes the following exceptions:  
(Bidder)

Suggest following options:

X432 Service Manuals 170.00  
RLN4264 AC Lightning Suppressor. 60.00

To ensure delicate handling,  
Shipping will be via ElectronicVAN Lines  
at No additional cost.

SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_

*Steve Chandler*

BID NO. 97-64

(Use this number on envelopes and all related correspondence.)

**BID FORM**  
**OCONEE COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT**  
**201 W. MAIN STREET, WALHALLA, SC 29691**

The \_\_\_\_\_  
submits herewith our Bid in response to bid request number shown above, and in compliance with the description(s) and/or specification(s) attached hereto for a new radio repeater system for the Long Mountain Transmitter Site

CONFIGURATION "A"

Model #	Descriptions	Price
C99ED	Quantar/Quantro Unit	<u>\$ 6100.00</u>
001C	Quantar Configuration	<u>NC</u>
X640	25-110 Watt Power Output, 438 - 470 MHz	<u>\$ 5060.00</u>
X597 A	Conventional Analog Application	<u>NC</u>
X580	Repeater Operation	<u>NC</u>
X182	Factory-Installed Duplexer	<u>\$ 1242.00</u>
X52	30 Inch Indoor Cabinet	<u>\$ 324.00</u>
	Digital Encryption Standard (DES) capable	<u>NC</u>
	Equipment Subtotal	<u>\$ 12726.00</u>
	S. C. Sales Tax (5%)	<u>\$ 636.30</u>
	Installation	<u>\$ 350.00</u>
	Shipping	<u>\$ 250.00</u>
<b>CONFIGURATION "B" Total</b>		<u><b>\$ 13962.00</b></u>

Model #	Descriptions	Price
C99ED	Quantar/Quantro Unit	<u>\$ 6100.00</u>
001C	Quantar Configuration	<u>NC.00</u>
X740	225-110 Watt Power Output, 438 - 470 MHz	<u>\$ 10000.00</u>
X597 A	Conventional Analog Application	<u>NC</u>
X580	Repeater Operation	<u>NC</u>
X182	Factory-Installed Duplexer	<u>\$ 1242.00</u>

X180

60 Inch Indoor Cabinet

# 880.00

Digital Encryption Standard (DES) capable

NC

Equipment Subtotal

# 18222.00

S. C. Sales Tax (5%)

# 911.10

Installation

# 350.00

Shipping

\$ 250.00

Total

# 19733.10

OPTIONS:

Programming Software Not for Sale to End User

Programming Cable Not Recommended If You don't Have Software to Program # 250.00

Battery - Backup - Triplite 425 watt UPS-BCD PRO 675 # 329.00

Wild Card Module - reporting w/door intrusion sensor

Wild Card Module - reporting w/power outage/generator operation } x 157 930.00

Wild Card Module - activating back-up repeater

Warranty One Year Parts and Labor

Yearly Maintenance Plan (cost and coverage) # 100.00 A Month or \$1200 A Year.  
Covers Normal Wear and Tear Repairs during Normal Working Hours.

The above stated bid is based on all applicable specifications, drawings, etc. associated with this bid and the following additional Addenda issued subsequent to the basic specifications and/or drawings.

NOTE TO BIDDER: List all Addenda with dates of any issued. If no additional Addenda is issued, write the word "NONE".

Addendum Number	Date
<u>1</u>	<u>5-15-98</u>

None None

Bid shall include delivery to location stated on Bid Notice. Show any exception, deviation, extra computation, or information on Bid Supplemental Form attached hereto.

Completion/Delivery Date ARO: 4 week from PO date

Bidding Organization: MARRIS COMMUNICATIONS

Mailing Address: 355 Woodruff Rd Greenville 29606

Signature of Bidders Representative: Randy Rogers

Title: Sales Date: 5-15-98

Telephone: 1-800-864-6933 Fax: 288-7029

**BID SUPPLEMENTAL FORM**  
**OCONEE COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT**  
**201 WEST MAIN STREET**  
**WALHALLA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29691**

DATE: 5-15-98

BID NO 97-64

The Marriz Communications takes the following exceptions:  
(Bidder)

In the event the end user purchases ALARM Option Card (X157) and this card is installed in the Repeater Installation of the ALARM Inputs shall be \$900.00. This price shall include materials and manuals. It is not recommended to hook up 2 Repeaters to one Antenna system activating Back up Repeater. If the Antenna fails Both System will Be Down.

SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_

Randy Ryzek

and Greenville Paper  
(see page 3)

Vendor				Bell/Sysco		Thomas & Howard Co		Todd's Distributors		Milton's		Institution Food House	
QTY	UT	FOOD DESCRIPTION	PKG/PORTION	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total
105	cs	Cut carrots	6-#10 cans	12.22	<del>122.20</del> <sup>61.10</sup>	12.50	125.00	13.50	135.00	13.75	137.50	12.59	125.90
10	cs	Sliced peaches	6-#10 cans	22.92	229.20	19.95	199.50	18.25	182.50	20.50	205.00	19.89	198.90
20	cs	Sliced potatoes	6-#10 cans	12.17	243.40	10.95	219.00	10.80	216.00	11.56	231.20	11.10	222.00
5	cs	Sliced pears (light syrup)	6-#10 cans	23.24	116.20	23.20	116.00	22.50	112.50	23.90	119.50	21.58	107.90
10	cs	Mixed fruit	6-#10 cans	24.17	241.70	25.68	256.80	22.75	227.50	25.50	255.00	24.98	249.80
85	cs	Vanilla pudding	6-#10 cans	15.19	<del>121.52</del> <sup>75.95</sup>	17.59	140.72	17.75	142.00	19.50	156.00	17.49	139.92
15	cs	Mixed vegetables	6-#10 cans	13.82	207.30	12.60	189.00	12.95	194.25	12.90	193.50	12.88	193.20
10	cs	Sweet green peas	6-#10 cans	14.53	145.30	14.35	143.50	13.60	136.00	15.20	152.00	15.79	157.90
20	cs	Pork and beans	6-#10 cans	11.22	224.40	10.10	202.00	9.75	195.00	11.80	236.00	11.58	231.60
8	cs	Collard greens	6-#10 cans	9.68	77.44	10.10	80.80	11.30	90.40	11.50	92.00	11.25	90.00
20	cs	Whole kernel corn	6-#10 cans	12.76	255.20	12.25	245.50	12.95	259.00	13.60	272.00	12.95	259.00
10	cs	Cream style corn	6-#10 cans	13.55	135.50	12.50	125.00	13.60	136.00	13.80	138.00	14.63	146.30
10	cs	Green beans	6-#10 cans	10.05	100.50	10.20	102.00	9.30	93.00	9.40	94.00	10.28	102.80
5	cs	Cut yellow squash	6-#10 cans	15.86	79.30	14.35	71.75	12.75	63.75	14.50	72.50	15.85	79.25
5	cs	Sliced beets	6-#10 cans	13.19	65.95	12.25	61.50	13.45	67.25	14.80	74.00	12.90	64.50
5	cs	Instant potato flakes	6-#10 cans	27.57	137.85	21.69	108.45	21.95	109.75	27.80	139.00	23.65	118.25
5	cs	Shredded Sauerkraut	6-#10 cans	13.85	69.25	11.35	56.75	12.30	61.50	12.80	64.00	11.88	59.40
5	cs	Cut sweet potatoes	6-#10 cans	16.31	81.55	13.95	69.75	13.95	69.75	14.90	74.50	14.40	72.00
10	cs	Dark red kidney beans	6-#10 cans	13.35	133.50	12.95	129.50	10.60	106.00	12.80	128.00	12.65	126.50
10	cs	Ketchup (Hunt's)	6-#10 cans	16.16	161.60	15.56	155.60	<i>alternate ? A.K.</i>		18.40	184.00	15.40	154.00
5	cs	Grape jelly	6-#10 cans	24.15	120.15	33.76	168.80	22.80	114.00	24.70	123.50	22.68	113.40
5	cs	Apple butter	6-#10 cans	19.87	99.35	-	-	-	-	22.80	114.00	18.68	93.40
5	cs	Applesauce	6-#10 cans	11.70	58.50	13.58	67.90	13.75	68.75	14.80	74.00	14.48	72.40
5	cs	Sliced apples (water packed)	6-#10 cans	18.49	92.45	18.75	93.75	<i>water packed 17.05</i>	85.25	19.80	99.00	18.40	92.00

Attended Bid Opening: Marianne Dillard, Jenny Peay, Steve Pruitt

Vendor				Bell/Sysco		Thomas & Howard Co	Todd's Distributors	Milton's		Institution Food Hous			
QTY	UT	FOOD DESCRIPTION	PKG/PORTION	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total		
5	cs	Cherry pie filling	6-#10 cans	<sup>1-20*</sup> 14.56		42.59	212.95	39.75	198.75	44.50	222.50	41.88	209.40
5	cs	Lima beans	6-#10 cans	16.59	82.95	16.95	84.75	17.20	86.00	17.80	89.00	19.88	99.40
5	cs	Mushroom pieces	6-#10 cans	28.65	143.25	29.59	147.95	27.50	137.50	27.60	138.00	24.93	124.65
5	cs	Peanut butter	6-#10 cans	<sup>6015*</sup> 36.56		-	-	31.95	159.75	28.90	144.50	32.50	162.50
<del>100</del>	lb	<del>Powdered sugar</del>		18.02	36.04	17.57	35.14	17.45	34.90	17.80	35.60	<del>17.10</del>	<del>34.20</del>
<del>100</del>	lb	<del>Brown sugar</del>		18.02	36.04	17.95	35.90	17.65	35.30	17.80	35.60	<del>17.55</del>	<del>36.10</del>
<sup>1500</sup> <del>1500</del>	lb	Sugar		14.69	<sup>243.80</sup> <del>440.70</del>	15.25	457.50	15.60	468.00	15.70	471.00	15.89	476.70
16000	ea	Ind. sugar packets		6.39	30.96	6.20	49.60	8.80	70.40	6.68	53.44	7.20	57.60
<sup>6000</sup> <del>5000</del>	ea	Ind. pepper packets		<sup>6000</sup> 13.32	13.32	<sup>3000</sup> 7.57	<sup>6000</sup> 15.14	<sup>6000</sup> 10.30	<sup>3000</sup> 9.58	<sup>6000</sup> 10.90	10.90	<sup>6000</sup> 12.49	12.49
(3)	ea	Salt (bulk)	25 lb bag	<del>22.8</del> 6.84	6.84	3.42	10.26	<sup>50*</sup> 3.95	<sup>100*</sup> 7.90	2.98	8.94	2.90	8.70
<sup>1230</sup>	cs	Heavy duty mayonnaise	4 - 1 gal jugs	12.95	<sup>259.00</sup> 388.50	14.54	436.20	13.50	405.00	14.45	433.50	13.59	407.70
5	cs	Pancake & waffle syrup	4 - 1 gal jugs	10.94	54.70	11.91	59.55	9.95	49.75	12.50	62.50	10.60	53.00
2	cs	Mustard	4 - 1 gal jugs	7.14	14.28	7.50	15.00	6.60	13.20	8.30	16.60	6.88	13.76
5	cs	Asparagus spears	6-#10 cans	36.74	183.70	-	-	33.50	167.50	35.50	177.50	<sup>friger</sup> 39.50	197.50
<sup>108</sup> <del>108</del>	lb	Turkey corn dogs		13.73	109.84	<sup>483.20</sup> <sup>100</sup> 12.91	<sup>96*</sup> 129.10	10.80	<sup>108*</sup> 90.72	18.80	<sup>108*</sup> 112.80	<sup>12* 109</sup> 10.94	<sup>96*</sup> 87.52
<sup>264</sup> <del>250</del>	lb	Turkey Franks <sup>11x24*</sup>	8 to 1	<sup>15</sup> 8.49	<sup>82*</sup> 212.25	<sup>6011.60</sup> <sup>4200</sup> 4.20	176.40	<sup>10</sup> 16.75	<sup>264*</sup> 184.25	<sup>81</sup> 15.50	<sup>260*</sup> 201.50	7.90	197.50
<del>10</del>	cs	<del>Soybean</del>	24-14.7 oz can	39.75	198.75	36.36	181.80	35.75	178.75	35.50	177.50	<del>39.86</del>	<del>189.30</del>
10	cs	Cornbeef hash (Castleberry's)	6-10 lb cans	<sup>Chaffmate</sup> 56.74	567.40	47.45	474.50	<sup>AK</sup> 48.20	482.00	<sup>Ranch style</sup> 45.50	455.00	-	-
<sup>10</sup>	cs	Ind. Mayonnaise	200 ct	6.63	33.15	6.50	32.50	<sup>5000*</sup> 10.80	<sup>1000</sup> 21.60	5.20	26.00	6.88	34.40
200	lb	Ground beef patties	4 oz	19.38	193.80	19.95	199.50	12.50	250.00	10.40	208.00	10.06	201.20
<sup>120</sup> <del>100</del>	lb	Fish fillets <sup>3x40*</sup>	4 oz	<sup>Pollack</sup> 21.51	215.10	25.59	252.90	<sup>2-12.00</sup> 47.60	<sup>142.80</sup> <del>150.00</del>	26.40	264.00	<sup>prepack</sup> 13.65	136.50
60	lb	Hoagi beef patties	3 oz	41.56	124.68	-	-	21.20	<sup>64*</sup> 84.80	<sup>2.5*</sup> 19.80	99.00	<sup>26*</sup> 78.68	78.68
100	lb	Fish breaded Cod <sup>2502</sup>	3 oz	21.29	212.90	<sup>1716*</sup> <sup>102*</sup> 13.54	230.18	95.20	<sup>108*</sup> 285.60	25.70	257.00	<sup>4*</sup> 8.16	204.00
40	cs	Med wt plastic spoons	1000 ct	6.45	258.00	5.95	238.00	5.85	234.00	7.70	308.00	5.67	226.80



Vendor				Bell/Sysco		Thomas & Howard Co		Todd's Distributors		Milton's		Institution Food Hous	
QTY	UT	FOOD DESCRIPTION	PKG/PORTION	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total
20	cs	Med wt plastic forks	1000 ct	6.45	129.00	5.95	119.00	5.85	117.00	7.70	154.00	5.67	113.40
10	cs	Med wt plastic knives	1000 ct	6.45	64.50	5.95	59.50	5.85	58.50	7.70	77.00	5.67	56.70
3	cs	Coffee filters	2-500 ct - 1000	5.40	X 16.20	5.49	16.47	6.95	20.85	6.70	20.10	7.98	23.94
4	cs	Brown paper bags	500-6 lb groc	11.49	X 22.98	6.75	27.00	7.05	28.20	31.40	31.40	6.49	25.96
38	lb	Vanilla wafers		4** 7.74	147.06	9/10** 7.50	81** 67.50	7.00	105.00	8.30	124.50	7.48	112.20
1	cs	Tartar sauce	4/1 gallon	15.06	15.06	28.42	28.42	21.50	21.50	21.20	21.20	21.38	21.38
6	cs	Sanitize tablets	6-100 ct	18.10	108.60	6/150 ct 14.50	87.00	3/150=3600 14.30	X 85.80 57.20	6/150 ct 31.20	124.80	14.45	86.70
57	cs	Prunes	6-#10 cans	31.45	157.25	-	-	32.75	163.75	30.80	154.00	31.10	155.50
25	ea	Clear vegetable shortening	35 lb liquid	13.99	349.75	14.50	362.50	13.45	336.25	13.40	335.00	14.44	361.00
5	cs	Tomato juice can	12 - 46 oz	8.77	43.85	13.95	69.75	8.95	44.75	11.30	56.50	11.50	57.50
100	lb	Breaded chuckwagon	4 oz	15.32	153.20	10.73	107.30	14.95	149.50	12.70	127.00	12.44	124.40
100	lb	Sausage links	2 oz	15.42	154.20	9/12 16.95	152.55	108 lb 14.30	128.70	22.60	203.40	16.27	130.16
100	lb	Smoked sausage dogs	4 to 1	-	-	-	-	15.50	155.50	13.70	137.00	15.69	109.83
240	lb	Chicken leg quarters		fresh 17.63	105.78	-	-	14.60	116.80	13.80	82.80	-	-
100	lb	Beef tips		40.99	204.95	3/20** 2.43**	291.60	-	-	20.10	201.00	15.40	154.00
2	ea	Solid mozzarella cheese	8 - 6 lb	1.48		8.50	17.00	-	-	1.85/#	18.50	1.45/4	139.20
2	cs	Cole slaw dressing	4 - 1 gallon jug	24.08	48.16	19.95	39.90	18.75	37.50	14.90	29.80	18.74	37.48
5	cs	Lemon filling	6-#10 cans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19.34	96.70
5	cs	Blueberry filling	4 - 3/4 gallon	1-20** 20.85	104.25	59.95	299.75	6/10 63.60	318.00	55.50	277.50	59.38	296.96
20	cs	Ind. Wrapped saltines	500 - 2 pack	9.76	195.20	10.25	205.00	8.45	169.00	9.80	196.00	9.00	180.00
10	ea	Dry lima beans	20 lb box	8.88	88.80	14.95	149.50	14.15	141.50	11.80	118.00	12.99	129.90
10	ea	Dry blackeyed peas	20 lb box	9.54	95.40	12.95	129.50	12.40	124.00	11.80	118.00	10.90	109.00
10	ea	Dry pinto beans	20 lb box	8.67	86.70	11.50	115.00	10.80	108.00	9.20	92.00	9.65	96.50
10	ea	Dry northern beans	20 lb box	8.77	87.70	11.15	111.50	11.10	111.00	11.20	112.00	9.65	96.50

Vendor			Bell/Sysco		Thomas & Howard Co	Todd's Distributors	Milton's		Institution Food Hous				
QTY	UT	FOOD DESCRIPTION	PKG/PORTION	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total		
15	ea	Egg noodles	10 lb box	6.40	96.00	7.95	119.25	6.65	99.75	7.10	106.50	7.63	114.45
15	cs	Corn flakes **state packaging		4-26oz 12.55	188.25	? corr 46.56	698.40	14-24oz 48.20	723.00	avg? 4-26oz 10.60	159.00	4-26oz 11.98	179.70
10	ea	Cheese mix	8 - 32 oz bags	37.73	187.30	-	-	8/15.5 18.95	189.50	41.50	415.00	40.95	409.50
5	ea	Chicken gravy mix	8 - 32 oz bags	8-22.6oz 25.20	126.00	8/16oz-5oz 24.72	123.60	8/16oz 24.20	120.00	8-16oz 21.20	106.00	8-22.6oz 24.88	124.40
10	ea	Brown gravy mix	8 - 32 oz bags	6-1.5oz 18.27	182.70	18.43	184.30	8/17oz 19.50	195.00	8-16oz 21.70	217.00	8-16oz 19.79	197.90
5	ea	Non-dairy creamer (Coffee Tim	1000 ct	11.12	55.60	Next Brand 10.70	53.50	Get K chicken 9.25	46.25	10.40	52.00	Frosty Acres 9.50	47.50
250	lb	Rice		14.12	3530	17.92	89.60	8.15	81.50	16.50	82.50	6.54	65.40
10	ea	Macaroni	10 lb bag	9.81	98.10	11.84	118.40	2oz 8.95	100oz 44.75	10.40	104.00	10.60	53.00
10	ea	Spaghetti	10 lb bag	9.81	98.10	11.84	118.40	2oz 8.95	100oz 44.75	10.70	53.50	10.85	54.25
10	ea	Corn Starch	1 lb box or bag	10.59	105.90	10.70	107.00	241oz 10.90	10.90	241oz 11.99	23.98	25+ bags 10.23	20.46
8	ea	(Sub) American cheese slices	4-5 lb - 160 slic	21.87	174.96	24.48	195.84	32.80	262.40	25.10	50.20	23.40	187.20
120	lb	(Sub) American cheese block		-	-	24/5oz 11.84	284.16	52.80	211.20	30.90	185.40	40oz 48.50	145.50
5	cs	Apple juice base	12 - 23 oz	20.94	104.70	-	-	21.50	107.50	-	-	36.00	180.00
5	cs	Orange juice base	12 - 23 oz	36.58	182.90	-	-	21.50	107.50	-	-	28.64	143.20
960	bg	Coffee (Maxwell House)	1.25 oz	73.38	70422	18.90	1,039.50	All Kircher's 46.80	1,357.20	16.50	1,435.50	-	-
7	ea	Gatorade - orange	24 - 11.5 oz ca	10.15	71.05	-	-	-	-	11.10	77.70	10.49	73.43
7	ea	Gatorade - lemon lime	24 - 11.5 oz ca	10.15	71.05	-	-	-	-	11.10	77.70	10.49	73.43
10	ea	Self-rising flour	25 lb bag	4.99	49.90	6.50	65.00	6.15	61.50	5.90	59.00	5.44	54.40
10	ea	Self-rising flour (Coca meal)	25 lb bag	6.88	68.80	6.75	67.50	6.15	61.50	-	-	5.90	59.00
10	ea	Plain flour	25 lb bag	8.06	80.60	4.95	49.50	5.45	54.50	10.30	59.00	4.68	46.80
5	cs	Frosted flakes **state packaging		4/40oz 26.33	131.65	4/40oz 27.97	139.85	4/40oz 27.15	135.75	4-40oz 11.80	59.00	4-40oz 24.94	124.70
5	cs	Frosted Loops **state packaging		4/31oz 24.00	120.00	4/31oz 25.64	128.20	4/31oz 24.75	123.75	4-35oz -	-	4-31oz 22.68	113.40
150	lb	Sliced bacon - turkey		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.80	168.00
102	lb	Sausage patties - 1/2 lb	6oz Box	5.48	93.16	15.37	138.33	6/11.5oz 7.50	102oz 127.50	13.90	125.10	15.11	120.88

\* Ann Chicken & Brown Gravy - Every size only makes 1 gal

Vendor				Bell/Sysco		Thomas & Howard Co		Todd's Distributors		Milton's		Institution Food Hous	
QTY	UT	FOOD DESCRIPTION	PKG/PORTION	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total	Unit	Total
<del>100</del> 400	lb	Ground beef 81/19%		-	-	1.08	432.00	<del>80</del> 22.00	440.00	<del>.98</del> 0.98	392.00	0.96	<del>153.60</del> 384.00

2743.13	1,010.60	3081.85	1,901.64	1,829.58
<u>137.16</u>	<u>50.53</u>	<u>154.09</u>	<u>95.08</u>	<u>91.48</u>
2,880.29	1,061.13	3,235.94	1,996.72	1,921.06

*Arcenville Paper*

40	cs	Med wt plastic spoons P1003	1000 ct	5.61	224.40 ✓
20	cs	Med wt plastic forks P1001	1000 ct	5.61	112.20 ✓
10	cs	Med wt plastic knives P1002	1000 ct	5.61	56.10 ✓

392.70

19.64

412.34

Total Bid 11,507.44

BIDDER	Kearns Business Products	Plus, Inc.	Professional Office Products	Southern Office Systems	Ikon Office Solutions
Base Bid for Copier	3,471.00	4,000.00	3,775.00	4,200.00	7,214.00
RADF	955.00	839.00	1,234.00	975.00	included
Duplexing Unit	500.00	included	included	475.00	included
10 Bin Sorter	736.00	625.00	779.00	910.00	included
Sales Tax	283.10	273.00	289.40	328.00	360.70
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 5,945.10</b>	<b>\$ 5,737.00</b>	<b>\$ 6,077.40</b>	<b>\$ 6,888.00</b>	<b>\$ 7,574.70</b>
Basis of Award Total:					
Service Contract/year	\$315/yr = 945.00	\$420/yr = 1,260.00	\$525/yr = 1,575.00	\$420/yr = 1,260.00	\$343/yr = 1,029.00
Total cost for equipment plus 3 years maintenance	\$ 6,890.10	\$ 6,997.00	\$ 7,652.40	\$ 8,148.00	\$ 8,603.70
Brand/Model #	Mita DC3060	Konica 2330	Canon C3300	Royal 2130	Ricoh 5832
Warranty	90 days	90 days	90 days	90 days	90 days
Delivery	1 - 2 weeks	10 days	7 - 14 days	immediately	10 days
Attended Bid Opening: Jenny Peay, Ann Albertson, Sallie Smith - Oconee County,					
Gary Gambrell - Professional Office, David Carson - Plus, Inc.					

THIS IS A NEW COPIER

BID NO. 97-65

(Use this number on envelopes and all related correspondence.)

**BID FORM**  
**OCONEE COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT**  
**201 W. MAIN STREET, WALHALLA, SC 29691**

The KEARNS BUSINESS PRODUCTS submits herewith our Bid in response to bid request number shown above, and in compliance with the description(s) and/or specification(s) attached hereto for a 30 copies per minute copier.

Base price for 30 CPM Copier	<u>3366</u> <sup>(2 BIN SORTER)</sup> <u>105</u> = <u>3471</u>
RADF - (Reversing Automatic Document Feeder)	<u>955</u>
Duplexing Unit	<u>500</u>
10 Bin Sorter	<u>736</u>
S. C. Sales Tax	<u>283.10</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ <u>5945.10</u></b>

Brand/Model # MITA DC 3060 (please include literature with bid)

Warranty 90 DAYS PARTS & LABOR

Service Contract:

Yearly service contract, based on 35,000 copies per year \$ 315.<sup>00</sup> (1<sup>st</sup> year)  
2<sup>nd</sup> year 315.<sup>00</sup> 3<sup>rd</sup> year 315.<sup>00</sup>

The above stated bid is based on all applicable specifications, drawings, etc. associated with this bid and the following additional Addenda issued subsequent to the basic specifications and/or drawings.  
NOTE TO BIDDER: List all Addenda with dates of any issued. If no additional Addenda are issued, write the word "NONE".

Addendum Number	Date
<u>NONE</u>	<u>                    </u>

Bid shall include delivery to location stated on Bid Notice. Show any exception, deviation, extra computation, or information on Bid Supplemental Form attached hereto.

Completion/Delivery Date ARO: 1 TO 2 WEEKS (NEW NAME)

Bidding Organization: KEARNS CORPORATIONAL BUSINESS PRODUCTS

Mailing Address: 337 W. MAIN, EASLEY, S.C. 29640

Signature of Bidders Representative: Les Bufal

Title: sales rep. Date: 5/22/98

Telephone: 1-800-768-6482 EXT 204 Fax: 864-855-4639

**BID NO. 97-65**

(Use this number on envelopes and all related correspondence.)

**BID FORM  
OCONEE COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT  
201 W. MAIN STREET, WALHALLA, SC 29691**

The \_\_\_\_\_ submits herewith our Bid in response to bid request number shown above, and in compliance with the description(s) and/or specification(s) attached hereto for a 30 copies per minute copier

Base price for 30 CPM Copier	<u>4000<sup>-</sup></u>
RADF - ( Reversing Automatic Document Feeder)	<u>839<sup>-</sup></u>
Duplexing Unit	<u>Included in Base Price</u>
10 Bin Sorter	<u>625<sup>-</sup></u>
S. C. Sales Tax	<u>273<sup>-</sup></u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ <u>5737<sup>20</sup></u></b>

Brand/Model # KONICA 2330 (please include literature with bid)

Warranty 90 DAYS

**Service Contract:**

Yearly service contract, based on 35,000 copies per year \$	<u>420<sup>-</sup></u>	(1 <sup>st</sup> year)
2 <sup>nd</sup> year <u>420<sup>-</sup></u>	3 <sup>rd</sup> year <u>420<sup>-</sup></u>	

The above stated bid is based on all applicable specifications, drawings, etc. associated with this bid and the following additional Addenda issued subsequent to the basic specifications and/or drawings.

NOTE TO BIDDER: List all Addenda with dates of any issued. If no additional Addenda are issued, write the word "NONE".

Addendum Number	Date
-----------------	------

<u>NONE</u>	_____
-------------	-------

Bid shall include delivery to location stated on Bid Notice. Show any exception, deviation, extra computation, or information on Bid Supplemental Form attached hereto.

Completion/Delivery Date ARO: \_\_\_\_\_

Bidding Organization: PLUS INC

Mailing Address: PO BOX 5643

Signature of Bidders Representative: David Carson

Title: Sales Rep Date: 5-22-98

Telephone: 864 242 9090 Fax: 242 2567

**BID NO. 97-65**

(Use this number on envelopes and all related correspondence.)

**BID FORM  
OCONEE COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT  
201 W. MAIN STREET, WALHALLA, SC 29691**

The PROFESSIONAL OFFICE PRODUCTS INC  
submits herewith our Bid in response to bid request number shown above, and in compliance with the  
description(s) and/or specification(s) attached hereto for a 30 copies per minute copier

Base price for 30 CPM Copier	<u>3,775.00 INCLUDES CABINET/DUPLEX</u>
RADF - ( Reversing Automatic Document Feeder)	<u>1,234.00</u>
Duplexing Unit	<u>INCLUDED WITH BASE PRICE - STAND</u>
10 Bin Sorter	<u>779.00</u>
S. C. Sales Tax	<u>289.40</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<u>\$ 6,077.40</u>

Brand/Model # CANON C330D (please include literature with bid)

Warranty 90 DAYS PARTS & LABOR

Service Contract:

Yearly service contract, based on 35,000 copies per year \$	<u>525.00</u>	(1 <sup>st</sup> year)
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	<u>525.00</u>	3 <sup>rd</sup> year <u>525.00</u>

The above stated bid is based on all applicable specifications, drawings, etc. associated with this bid and the following additional Addenda issued subsequent to the basic specifications and/or drawings.

NOTE TO BIDDER: List all Addenda with dates of any issued. If no additional Addenda are issued, write the word "NONE".

Addendum Number	Date
_____	_____

Bid shall include delivery to location stated on Bid Notice. Show any exception, deviation, extra computation, or information on Bid Supplemental Form attached hereto.

Completion/Delivery Date ARO: 7-14 DAYS

Bidding Organization: PROFESSIONAL OFFICE PRODUCTS INC

Mailing Address: PO BOX 1238, PIEDMONT SC 29673

Signature of Bidders Representative: [Signature]

Title: President Date: 5-21-98

Telephone: 864-269-4835 Fax: 864-269-4409

**BID NO. 97-65**

(Use this number on envelopes and all related correspondence.)

**BID FORM  
OCONEE COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT  
201 W. MAIN STREET, WALHALLA, SC 29691**

The Southern Office Systems, Inc.  
submits herewith our Bid in response to bid request number shown above, and in compliance with the  
description(s) and/or specification(s) attached hereto for a 30 copies per minute copier

Base price for 30 CPM Copier	<u>\$4200.00</u>
RADF - ( Reversing Automatic Document Feeder)	<u>\$ 975.00</u>
Duplexing Unit	<u>\$ 475.00</u>
10 Bin Sorter	<u>\$ 910.00</u>
S. C. Sales Tax	<u>\$ 328.00</u>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<u>\$ 6888.00</u>

Brand/Model # Royal 2130 (please include literature with bid)

Warranty 90 day parts and labor

**Service Contract:**

Yearly service contract, based on 35,000 copies per year \$ 420.00 (1<sup>st</sup> year)  
2<sup>nd</sup> year \$420.00 3<sup>rd</sup> year \$420.00

The above stated bid is based on all applicable specifications, drawings, etc. associated with this bid and the following additional Addenda issued subsequent to the basic specifications and/or drawings.

NOTE TO BIDDER: List all Addenda with dates of any issued. If no additional Addenda are issued, write the word "NONE".

Addendum Number	Date
<u>NONE</u>	<u></u>

Bid shall include delivery to location stated on Bid Notice. Show any exception, deviation, extra computation, or information on Bid Supplemental Form attached hereto.

Completion/Delivery Date ARO: immediately upon acceptance

Bidding Organization: Southern Office Systems, Inc.

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 996 Simpsonville, SC 29681

Signature of Bidders Representative: *Jim Taylor*

Title: Vice President Date: May 13, 1998

Telephone: (864) 877-0917 Fax: (864) 877-1016

**BID NO. 97-65**

(Use this number on envelopes and all related correspondence.)

**BID FORM  
OCONEE COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT  
201 W. MAIN STREET, WALHALLA, SC 29691**

The I KON OFFICE SOLUTIONS  
submits herewith our Bid in response to bid request number shown above, and in compliance with the  
description(s) and/or specification(s) attached hereto for a 30 copies per minute copier

Base price for 30 CPM Copier \$7214.00  
RADF - ( Reversing Automatic Document Feeder) included  
Duplexing Unit ↓  
10 Bin Sorter ↓  
S. C. Sales Tax 360.70  
**TOTAL** \$ 7574.70

Brand/Model # RICOH 5832 Copy System (please include literature with bid)

Warranty 90-days

Service Contract:

Yearly service contract, based on 35,000 copies per year \$ 343.00 (1<sup>st</sup> year)  
2<sup>nd</sup> year \$343.00 3<sup>rd</sup> year \$343.00

The above stated bid is based on all applicable specifications, drawings, etc. associated with this bid and the following additional Addenda issued subsequent to the basic specifications and/or drawings.

NOTE TO BIDDER: List all Addenda with dates of any issued. If no additional Addenda are issued, write the word "NONE".

Addendum Number	Date
(NONE)	

Bid shall include delivery to location stated on Bid Notice. Show any exception, deviation, extra computation, or information on Bid Supplemental Form attached hereto.

Completion/Delivery Date ARO: 10 days

Bidding Organization: I KON OFFICE SOLUTIONS

Mailing Address: 25 Gaslington Rd., Greenville, S.C. 29615

Signature of Bidders Representative: [Signature]

Title: Print Specialist Date: 5/22/98

Telephone: (864) 297-3560 Fax: (864) 297-4134



"REVISED"

BID NO. 97-66

(Use this number on envelopes and all related correspondence.)

BID FORM  
OCONEE COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT  
201 W. MAIN STREET, WALHALLA, SC 29691

The \_\_\_\_\_  
submits herewith our Bid in response to bid request number shown above, and in compliance with the description(s) and/or specification(s) attached hereto for miscellaneous surplus items for sale

Quantity	Description	Bid Price
1 lot (2)	Elkay water drinking fountain, 2000 series	_____
1 lot (1)	24" shutter exhaust fan, Dayton 115	_____
1 lot (8)	Stainless steel sinks with single high rise faucets	_____
1 lot (4)	Amana air conditions, 24000 BTU, 220V	\$ 80.00
1 lot (2)	6-gallon water heaters	_____
1 lot (2)	48 X 48 exhaust fans with belt drive	_____
1 lot (3)	Toilets	_____
1 lot (1)	Wooden desk	_____
1 lot (1)	Range Guard wet fire chemical system kitchen service	_____
1 lot (2)	Cast janitorial sinks	_____
1 lot (± 40 -50)	4' 2-tube electronic ballast fluorescent light fixtures	_____
1 lot (± 40 -50)	4' 2-tube electronic ballast fluorescent light fixtures	_____

Conditions of sale:

There shall be a site and/or viewing time set on Friday, May 22, 1998 from 3:00 p.m. until 5:00 p.m. only. All interested parties are invited to attend at this time. Buyer may bid on any or all lots listed above. Award shall be made to the company/individual with the highest bid per lot. Successful bidder(s) will be notified on Wednesday, June 3, 1998, and person(s) shall pay for lot(s) after that time and shall have 72 hours for removal for their property. Any lots not removed, within time frame will again become property of Oconee County. All bidders are required to pay S. C. Sales Tax (5%) of their total amount of bid, unless one has an exemption certificate.

Bidding Organization: Ellen S. Gideon

Mailing Address: 716 Beech Dr. Seneca SC 29678

Signature of Bidders Representative: Ellen S. Gideon

Title: - Date: 5/26/98

Telephone: 864-882-3899 Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

"REVISED"

BID NO. 97-66

(Use this number on envelopes and all related correspondence.)

BID FORM  
OCONEE COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT  
201 W. MAIN STREET, WALHALLA, SC 29691

The \_\_\_\_\_  
submits herewith our Bid in response to bid request number shown above, and in compliance with the description(s) and/or specification(s) attached hereto for miscellaneous surplus items for sale

Quantity	Description	Bid Price
1 lot (2)	Elkay water drinking fountain, 2000 series	<u>17.26</u>
1 lot (1)	24" shutter exhaust fan, Dayton 115	<u>8.11</u>
1 lot (8)	Stainless steel sinks with single high rise faucets	<u>26.00</u>
1 lot (4)	Amana air conditions, 24000 BTU, 220V	<u>62.50</u>
1 lot (2)	6-gallon water heaters	<u>4.10</u>
1 lot (2)	48 X 48 exhaust fans with belt drive	<u>50.75</u>
1 lot (3)	Toilets	<u>3.25</u>
1 lot (1)	Wooden desk	<u>2.75</u>
1 lot (1)	Range Guard wet fire chemical system kitchen service	<u>6.11</u>
1 lot (2)	Cast janitorial sinks	<u>1.75</u>
1 lot (± 40 -50)	4' 2-tube electronic ballast fluorescent light fixtures	<u>1.50</u>
1 lot (± 40 -50)	4' 2-tube electronic ballast fluorescent light fixtures	<u>1.50</u>

Conditions of sale:

There shall be a site and/or viewing time set on Friday, May 22, 1998 from 3:00 p.m. until 5:00 p.m. only. All interested parties are invited to attend at this time. Buyer may bid on any or all lots listed above. Award shall be made to the company/individual with the highest bid per lot. Successful bidder(s) will be notified on Wednesday, June 3, 1998, and person(s) shall pay for lot(s) after that time and shall have 72 hours for removal for their property. Any lots not removed, within time frame will again become property of Oconee County. All bidders are required to pay S. C. Sales Tax (5%) of their total amount of bid, unless one has an exemption certificate.

Bidding Organization: B. J. Littleton & Keith S. Shuler

Mailing Address: 125 What-A-View Lane

Signature of Bidders Representative: Keith S. Shuler

Title: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 22 MAY 1998

Telephone: 944-1520 Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

**OCONEE COUNTY**

**June 2, 1998**

**Integrity Underwriters**

**OPTION 1 – Current**

Aggregate Run-In	No Limit
Prescription Drug Card	None
Maximum Cost	\$1,935,753.60

**OPTION 2**

 Aggregate Run-In Limited \$305,000  
Prescription Drug Card\* \$0 Generic / \$12 Name Brand  
Maximum Cost \$1,882,770.96

**Savings \$52,982.64**

**OPTION 3**

Aggregate Run-In Limited	\$355,000
Prescription Drug Card*	\$7 Generic / \$15 Name Brand
Maximum Cost	\$1,882,770.96

**Savings \$52,982.64**

**Recommendation:** Option 3 – Final decision to remain pending until Finance does a pre-audit at CBA.

\*Prescription Drug Card is a full generic system. Generic is dispensed unless Physician specifies Dispense as Written for Name Brand, or there is no Generic replacement for a Name Brand drug.

**OCONEE COUNTY**

**June 2, 1998**

**Hartford Life**

**SUPPLEMENTAL LIFE PLAN**

<u>AGE</u>	<u>RATE PER \$1,000</u>
Under 30	.10
30 – 34	.10
35 – 39	.15
40 – 44	.24
45 – 49	.40
50 – 54	.68
55 – 59	1.10
60 – 64	1.45
65 – 69	2.30
70 – 74	4.07
75+	6.86

**Guarantee Issue Amount (Based on 35% Employee Participation)**

**Employee:** Choice of 1 – 3 times base annual earnings to a maximum of \$100,000

**Spouse:** Increments of \$10,000 to a maximum of \$30,000

**Children:** Increments of \$2,000 to a maximum of \$10,000

For costs on employees and spouses, use the appropriate age-banded rates. For children, use the under 30 rate.

**Additional Benefits:**

Premium Waiver to age 65 if disabled prior to age 60.

Life Living Benefit Options – 12 Month – Employee Only

Life Portability Option – Employee Only

**ROSS LAW FIRM, PA****ATTORNEYS AT LAW****30 Short Street  
Walhalla, SC 29691**

Telephone (864) 638-0420

Fax (864) 638-0427

lwross@carol.net

Lowell W. Ross

---

PQ Box 1179  
West Union, SC 29696

May 29, 1998

Mr. Timothy M. Cain  
Attorney at Law  
PO Box 698  
Seneca, SC 29679-0698

Dear Tim,


This will acknowledge the receipt of your letter regarding the transfer of property from the School District to the County Health Department.

Sometime ago the District asked that I determine the state of the title in the vicinity of the old Seneca High School. The property in the area came to the district in several parcels. I am fairly certain that the tract that is to be transferred to the County is owned by the District in fee simple. I would really like to see a survey that locates the property that came from each grantor. We will need a recordable survey that describes what is to be transferred. I would like for that survey to locate the boundaries of the parcels if the property comes from more than one.

The premium for a title policy in the sum of \$900,000 is \$1,600.00. Our fee for completing the transaction would be at the rate we charge the District.

If you need further information, please let me know.

Yours very truly,

  
Lowell W. Ross

LWR:wdd