



PUBLIC COMMENT

SIGN IN SHEET

Tuesday, December 4, 2012

6:00 PM

Limited to forty [40] minutes, four [4] minutes per person.

Please be advised that citizens not utilizing their full four [4] minutes may not "donate" their remaining time to another speaker. As stated above, each speaker is restricted to a maximum of four [4] minutes.

Citizens with comments related to a specific action agenda item will be called first.

If time permits additional citizens may be permitted to speak on a non agenda items *[at the discretion of the Chair].*

PRINT Information Below

	FULL NAME	AGENDA ITEM FOR DISCUSSION	NON-AGENDA ITEMS
1	Newton Brightwell	Duke	Duke
2	Doug Barker		Duke
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			

Everyone speaking before Council will be required to do so in a civil manner.

Council will not tolerate personal attacks on individual council members, county staff or any person or group.

Racial slurs will not be permitted. Council's number one priority is to conduct business for the citizens of this county.

All citizens who wish to address Council and all Boards and Commission appointed by Council should do so in an appropriate manner.

NOTE: Non Agenda Item matters can be addressed except for those which, due to law or proper protocol, would be inappropriate for public meetings of Council, such as, but not limited to, partisan political activity and/or comments.

Council may make closing comments directly following the public & extended public comment sessions if time permits.

Oconee County Presentation, December 4, 2012

Newton E. Brightwell, Ph.D., J.D.
530 Palmer Way
Sunset, SC 29685
864-868-2364
brightwll@hotmail.com

Oconee County Presentation, December 4, 2012

We are here on behalf of approximately 900 citizens and residents who have signed a petition opposed to Duke Energy's proposal as part of its Keowee-Toxaway Relicensing Application that will allow Duke to lower Lake Keowee another 5 ft. down to 790 ft. We think this is an important issue for Oconee County because we believe, as those who have signed our petition do, that the lowering of Lake Keowee to 790 ft. will have a devastating impact on Oconee County real estate value, hence tax revenues, local businesses and jobs. We understand that there are competing demands on the water from Lake Keowee and there may be the need for compromises but we do not feel 100% of the compromises should come out of the hides of Pickens and Oconee residents.

Against that backdrop I would like to leave you with just three points:

Why Does Duke Want to Lower Lake Keowee Another 5ft.

When we first heard of Duke's proposal to lower the water level Duke implied that the lowering of the lake was being driven by downstream water users. However, after becoming involved in this matter we realized that is probably not the case. First of all, the dumping of the lake is a onetime event; once dump the water is gone and that is all there is. Secondly, because Keowee is the 2nd smallest lake in the Savannah River basin, the actual volume water available to send downstream is small. 5ft. from Lake Keowee adds only about one-half a foot to Hartwell, Russell and Thurmond, which is nothing. Finally, Duke has relented and openly acknowledged to Channel 4 News that the lowering of Lake Keowee will have negligible impact downstream. So why does Duke want to lower the Lake? As Duke has now admitted the lowering of the lake is being driven by the nuclear plant and Duke's ability to make more money. As mentioned above, we are not against Duke making more money; we just don't think it should solely be off the backs of Pickens and Oconee County residents.

What Are The Consequences of Lowering Lake Keowee.

Duke admits that when the lake is lowered to 790 ft. that 46% of the docks on Lake Keowee will be on dry land. That's Duke's number. In Duke's own words, "we know that many private residential docks will not be usable by most boats." Lake Keowee will look like Lake Hartwell and virtually everyone except Duke believes real estate values will plummet by 30, 40 or for some as much as 50% or more. People who purchased their property under the belief and perception that the lake would be stable, a perception created and perpetuated by Duke, will be financially destroyed. Incredulously, and contrary to the belief of virtually every property owner and real estate agent in the area, Duke Representative Erin Culbert stated in the Greenville news on November 30 that, "Duke does not agree that water front property values will be adversely affected." Frankly, by making such patently and knowingly false statements Duke really loses all credibility on anything they say.

What Duke Is Doing Is Fundamentally Unfair.

Duke's real estate arm marketed and sold the property around Lake Keowee to developers and homeowners at high prices based on the belief and perception that the lake would be stable for the foreseeable future. This belief and perception was created and perpetuated by Duke to maximize its profits from the sale of real estate. Now that Duke's real estate arm has sold all its real estate, Duke's Energy arm wants to change the rules so the Duke's Energy arm can enhance its profitability. In essence, what Duke wants to do is take back part of the value sold home and property owners by lowering the lake and shift that value back to Duke in terms of high future profits, a truly ingenious double-dipping scheme if there ever was one.

Why Should Oconee County Get Involved?

First of all what Duke is doing is dishonest and fundamentally wrongful and such conduct should not be condoned. But perhaps more important to Oconee County, the lowering of the lake will cause real estate values to fall and therefore tax revenues to decline. When Lake Keowee turns into a plateau of red mud flats like Lake Hartwell, the aesthetic and recreational value of the lake to the County will be adversely affected. Local business will be hurt and jobs will be lost. Virtually every citizen of Pickens and Oconee Counties will be hurt by this proposal.

Thank you for your time.

Petition Follow-up Letter Dated December 1, 2012

Newton E. Brightwell, Ph.D., J.D.
530 Palmer Way
Sunset, SC 29685
864-868-2364
brightwll@hotmail.com

December 1, 2012

Ms. Jen Huff
Duke Energy
526 South Church St.
Charlotte, NC 28202-1802

Re: *Keowee-Toxaway Re-Licensing Application*
Proposal to Lower Lake Keowee

Dear Ms. Huff:

The email below exemplifies many of the communications we have received from Lake Keowee home and property owners. Many individual property owners are going to suffer significant losses, and Duke doesn't seem to care. In fact, by Duke's own estimates, 46% of the lake front property owners are faced with losing a substantial portion of their investments (their docks will be on dry land)¹. Many of these folks are retired and the loss of their life's savings will devastate them. Such indifference to the personal tragedies that will ensue from this proposal is hard to understand.

We are lake front residential property owners.

*William J and Margaret E Bruehl
18032 Cedar Cove Road
Seneca SC 29672
tel: 864 888 XXXX*

The move to lower [Lake Keowee] proposed by Duke portends disaster for us. We put our life savings into a modest lakeside property eleven years ago. With the lake down as it has been for months we have only 2 to 4 feet of water. If it goes down another five feet we will be in mud out another twenty to thirty feet from shore as is. Our pile driven dock will stand useless in mud; as will our boat. The kids will have to walk through red mud to get to water. We think the value we have had as a water side property will plummet by 30 to 40%. Moreover, the ten thousand dollars we put into dredging to give us this much water will have been a total loss.

In fact, many, many other property holders along the lake are even more threatened than we. Only the luckiest have deep water. We staked our savings and our future on the deal we bought into in 2001; to change it now is unfair and ruinous.

I know many will find no reason to support us, many with "good water" will feel a smug schadenfreude to see others hurt; but this decision will impact the quality of the lake and of the region's real estate, tourist industry, and more.

¹ Duke's 46% projection is only the tip of the iceberg. Many homeowners have installed boat lifts in their docks which require 6-8ft. of water to be operational. When Duke lowers the lake, the boat lifts will no longer be operational, and the boats will be dry docked and the docks useless.

My wife and I feel threatened and are deeply concerned...

Thank you for taking the lead in the face of this awful proposal.

Bill and Marty Bruehl (from the south end of the lake)

The fact is, as Duke clearly knows, virtually all property owners around Lake Keowee (and likely throughout Pickens and Oconee Counties) are going to take a financial hit when Duke lowers the lake level to 790 ft. AMSL.² Of course, what is so disturbing is Duke's attempt to mislead citizens with the repeated refrain: "Our models show that the lake will be down below 795 ft. only 4% of the time and down to 790 ft. only 1% of the time." The clear implication Duke is intending to convey is that it is no big deal; that the lake will not be down for any extended period of time so the impact will be minimal. However, Duke clearly knows better. Duke knows that its models are skewed and the message it is conveying is false and intentionally misleading. Duke knows that the lowering of the lake for a mere 3 days (1% of the time) has no value whatsoever. Duke knows that once down the lake will be down for an extended period, perhaps years, just like the lake has been down at or close to the 795 ft. minimum mark for nearly the last two years despite Duke's model projections that this would never occur. The Internet is redundant with climatic studies and virtually all of them indicate a slightly drier climate in the future for the foothills of South Carolina (incidentally, most studies suggest that the southern part of the Savannah River basin will remain unchanged or even be wetter). So Duke knows the use of models based on 70 year old data is meaningless. I am confident that Duke employs many people smarter than a few Lake Keowee retirees—Duke has engineers, scientists and even nuclear physicists. So when Duke intentionally attempts to mislead and fool people it evokes images reminiscent of the movies "Silkwood" and "Erin Brockovich." Duke would be far better served by being honest and forthright. No one is really being fooled; Duke knows and property owners know the truth, as one property owner so clearly articulated at the November 15 meeting: "Even if lake is down only 4% of the time, property values will be down 100% of the time."

So why is Duke so intent on including a proposal to lower the lake in its application? It can't be the pittance of additional water that would be drained downstream, although that is what Duke has vaguely implied. The calculations are not hard to do, and Duke representative, Erin Culbert, has admitted to Mandy Gaither, of Channel 4 News, that the amount of additional water drained downstream when the lake is lower will be negligible. There is just no cost-benefit analysis that justifies lowering the lake based on the amount of water drained one time downstream.

Therefore, what is suspected is that this is all part of a grander scheme that will allow Duke greater flexibility in operating its Oconee nuclear plant and thereby will enhance corporate profits.³ Please, don't get us wrong; I don't think anyone is against Duke making money. But, what we don't want is for Duke to increase its profits solely at the expense of Pickens and Oconee County property owners and residents. Because that is really what we both know lowering the lake will do: take money from Lake

² Incredulously, in a statement give to the Greenville News on November 29, 2012, Duke Spokeswomen Erin Culbert stated, "Duke Energy does not agree that water front property values will be adversely affected." When a corporation such as Duke makes such a patently and knowingly false statement it loses all credibility on anything it says. Perhaps Ms. Culbert should try to sell the fiction that lowering the lake does not lower property values to the property owners on Lake Hartwell.

³ Duke has essentially confirmed this supposition in a statement republished on the FOLKS (Friends of Lake Keowee Society) website wherein Duke admits that the operation of the nuclear plant at higher capacities is one of most important benefits of the proposal to lower the lake.

Keowee property owners' pockets (in terms of lower property values) and shift those dollars to Duke in terms of higher future profits. And, what makes this proposal so much more objectionable is the fact that most property owners, including property developers, purchased their property with the belief and perception, one created by and perpetuated by Duke⁴, that Lake Keowee's water level would remain stable. And, while Duke's real estate arm sold the property at high prices based on that perception and belief, Duke's energy group now sees the opportunity to double-dip. Duke now wants to reclaim in the form of future profits that value they sold by lowering the lake; a win-win proposition for Duke and a lose-lose proposition for property owners. Is it any wonder people are very upset at such duplicity?

So once again I set forth my plea to include true home and property owner representatives on your stakeholder committee. Home and property owners are scheduled to suffer the largest and most significant impact of your relicensing application and they have been ignored completely. It is almost as if they don't even exist (except for the month utility bill you never fail to send). Lowering the lake could mean financial ruin for some retirees (such as the Bruehl's) who may never be able to recover their investment from their homes. If Duke continues to avoid addressing home and property owners' concerns, home and property owners will be left with no alternative but to continue to seek relief from any and every forum possible⁵. In the end, we believe working with home and property owners will result in a far better and more palatable long-term outcome for Lake Keowee, property owners, Pickens and Oconee Counties and Duke.

Thank you for your consideration.

Newton E. Brightwell

Copies to: All Petition Signees; All Petition Recipients

⁴ Duke has been working on this relicensing application for the past three or more years but only advised homeowners of the plan to lower the lake last month. During this period, and for years before, Duke has been issuing dock permits for docks Duke knows will be on dry land when the lake is lowered. Duke has never disclosed this fact to prospective purchasers or dock applicants.

⁵ Our petition in opposition to Duke's proposal to lower Lake Keowee has nearly 800 signatures and more people are signing up daily. Ultimately, we believe all Lake Keowee property owners will join our opposition. At some point our elected representatives and the various regulatory authorities chartered with protecting public interests are going to respond to such wide-spread concerns and the abuse of Pickens and Oconee County residents in the name of corporate profits.

Petition Follow-up Letter Dated November 16, 2012

Newton E. Brightwell, Ph.D., I.N.

530 Palmer Way

Sunset, SC 29685

864 868 2364

brightwell@hotmail.com

November 16, 2012

Ms. Jen Huff

Duke Energy

526 South Church St.

Charlotte, NC 28202-1802

Dear Ms. Huff:

I want to thank you for your presentation to the FOLKS forum last night.

I am sure you detected that the crowd was very disturbed about the prospects of Lake Keowee dropping to 790 ft. for an undetermined period, perhaps even months or years. The loss in property value for individuals is very real and very upsetting. I am sure that if you were being potentially threatened with the loss of 20 to 30%, or even more, of your home value you would be very upset too. And, of course, since this loss on an individual basis has essentially been ignored, the perception is that Duke is totally insensitive to this loss.

Many of the folks that live on Lake Keowee are retirees who have worked and saved their entire lives to be able to live on the lake, and bought on Lake Keowee specifically because they believed and were informed it would remain stable for the foreseeable future. Now they are being threatened with loss of a significant portion of their life savings. Your repeated refrain that your models indicated that the lake would be down below 795 ft. AMSL only 4% of the time did not do much to assuage their concerns.

One reason that the statement is not very reassuring is that you have not defined the terms, and, as I am sure you know, when used in broad and undefined terms statistics such as statistics can be manipulated to support almost any position. So is it only 4% of the time in any single year, or is it 4% of the time every hundred years, or thousand years? Four (4%) percent of infinity is still infinity. The definitions you use make a very big difference and as you admitted 4% of the time could easily be one year or even multiple years. I believe that if your application stated unequivocally that the lake would never be down more than 4% of the time in any given year that limitation would be something with which people might be able to live and accept. Is this a compromise that you might consider?

I recall that someone suggested that a study be undertaken to assess the economic impact on Lake Keowee property owners and you indicated that a broad base study involving eight or more counties had been completed and that the study showed the economic implications of lowering the lake only 4% of the time to be relatively small. I would suggest, however, as others did, that such a broad based study fundamentally distorts the impact on Pickens and Oconee counties and most particularly Lake Keowee property owners who are likely to lose millions, if not billions. As someone so clearly stated: "Although the lake may be down only 4% of the time, our property values will be down 100% of the time." I agree with the suggestion that a new study needs to be undertaken focused solely on Pickens and Oconee Counties and specifically Lake Keowee property owners. You also might include in that

study the economic impact and costs of moving piers back and forth to "follow the water" in that this is not an insignificant cost for the 46% of homeowners you projected will find their docks on dry land.

The other issue raised regarding your models questioned whether the results were fundamentally flawed because it used data from years when the lake was artificially drained down for the purpose of Nuclear Plant maintenance. Also there was a question of whether your models used data from years when the lake was stabilized to comply with NRC restriction placed on the nuclear plant. I would suggest your models need to be revised accordingly.

Another statement you made that was rather disturbing related to the Corp. of Engineers' assessment that because homeowners on Lake Hartwell were allowed to "follow the water" with their piers that the economic impact on Hartwell home and property owners was a relatively insignificant. Have you ever driven west from the nuclear plant on Hwy 183 through Westminster and across the Currahee Bridge (Hwy 123)? Hundreds upon hundreds of docks are sitting on dry land (some still with the boats in them), and, I would venture to say those homeowners lost 50% or more in the value of their properties. I truly doubt they would agree with the Corp. of Engineers' representations that "follow the water" provided home and property owners with a reasonable alternative. I frankly find it astonishing and unsettling that anyone within the Corp. of Engineers could be so insensitive and naïve.

Finally, you indicated that input from "stakeholders" is an important part of your process although you reiterated it was not a requirement of FERC. I, however, suspect that FERC, as a governmental agent with a charter to protect the public, has a little stronger view of the need for "stakeholder" participation and signoff. In that regard, it seems to me that the one group of "stakeholders" who have the most at risk has not been appropriately represented. Many of us believed that FOLKS was protecting home and property owners' interests, but the FOLKS representatives have indicated that is not the case. So would Duke be willing to include a homeowner representative as "stakeholder" in your process? Accordingly, I would like to propose myself as a candidate for such "stakeholder" representation. Please note the following in the way of background qualifications: My education includes a Ph.D. in organic chemistry and a J.D. I am licensed to practice law in FL, LA and CA. I am also licensed to practice law before the Patent and Trademark Commission as a licensed patent attorney. For the first 25 years of my career I held various positions in the petrochemical industry including chief executive officer of Witco's Petroleum Group, which had sales of approximately \$500 million, 8 manufacturing facilities in the US, Canada and Europe and 980 employees worldwide. We sold product in 78 different countries. After leaving Witco I joined Petro-Canada and started its US operating business. Still later, I was president of Golden Bear, a 10,000 barrel day oil refiner located in Bakersfield, CA. After retiring from petrochemical industry, I took up the practice of law, working for several firms in California specializing in business transactions, real estate, technology and securities fraud. I am a certified mediator and arbitrator for three of California's largest court systems: the Los Angeles County Superior Court, the Ventura County Superior Court and the California Court of Appeals and have handled numerous cases for each court. When we resided in Connecticut, I was the part-time mayor of the community in which we lived, Saugatuck Island, CT.

Sincerely,

Petition Cover Letter Dated November 20, 2012

November 20, 2012

Mr. James E. Rogers, Chairman
Duke Energy Corporation
526 South Church Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-4200

Ms. Jennifer H. Willis, Chairman
Pickens County Administration Facility
222 McDaniel Avenue, B-1
Pickens, SC 29671

Ms. Allison M. MacFarlane, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Mr. John P. Evans, Chairman
Department of Natural Resources
P. O. Box 167
Columbia, SC 29202

Mr. Jim DeMint, Senator
167 RUSSELL SENATE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON DC 20510

Mr. Larry Martin, Senator
P.O. Box 247
Pickens, SC 29671

Mr. Davey Hott, Representative
P.O. Box 997
Pickens, SC 29671

Mr. Eric Blakas, Representative
323C Mossie Smith Rd
Easley, SC 29642

Mr. Jon Wellenbach, Chairman
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Governor Nikki R. Haley
Office of the Governor
1205 Pendleton Street
Columbia, SC 29201

Mr. Joel Thrift, Chairman
Oconee County Administrative Offices
415 South Pine Street
Walhalla, SC 29691

Mr. Lindsey Graham, Senator
290 RUSSELL SENATE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON DC 20510

Mr. Thomas C. Alexander, Senator
150 Cleveland Dr.
Walhalla, SC 29691

Mr. B R Skelton, Representative
2962 Walhalla Hwy
Six Mile, SC 29682

Mr. Phillip Owens, Representative
P.O. Box 723
Easley, SC 29641

Mr. Jeff Duncan, Congressman
116 Cannon HOB
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Interested Parties:

Subject: Lake Keowee-Toxaway Hydroelectric Relicensing Application

Attached is a copy of a petition with 600+ names that has only been circulating for 1 ½ weeks and is anticipated to gain significant, if not unanimous, support from Pickens, Oconee, and other South Carolina Counties as well as from residents of Georgia and North Carolina who enjoy the recreation use

of Lake Keowee. This earlier copy is being forwarded to you to give you a heads up on the issue which we believe will have a huge impact on Pickens and Oconee County property owners and Lake Keowee users while providing minimal benefits to Duke Energy and downstream stakeholders. We will update you as the petition gathers more signatures.

Approximately 250 homeowners from Lake Keowee met on November 15 with representatives from Duke Energy and were uniformly frustrated by Duke's apparent lack of interest in the homeowner's concerns with property values.

Thank you for taking the time to review this matter and for your support in saving Lake Keowee and Pickens and Oconee Counties from unnecessary recreational and financial impact.

Sincerely,

Lake Keowee Property Owners

For additional information please contact either:

Newton E. Brightwell
530 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC 29685
864-868-2364
brightwell@hotmail.com

or

Doug Barker
256 Featherstone Dr., Sunset, SC 29685
864-868-2195

Barker256@bellsouth.net

cc:

Woody White, Editor
Greenville News
305 S. Main St.
Greenville, SC 29602

News Desk
WYFF-4
505 Rutherford St.
Greenville, SC 29609

News Desk
Fox Carolina-WHNS-TV
21 Interstate Court
Greenville, SC 29615

7 on Your Side
WSPA
250 International Drive
Spartanburg, SC 29303

Senator Larry Martin Response Dated November 27, 2012

LARRY A. MARTIN
SENATOR, PICKENS COUNTY
SENATORIAL DISTRICT NO. 2

HOME ADDRESS:
P. O. BOX 247
PICKENS, SC 29671
OFFICE: (864) 859-6323
HOME: (864) 878-6105
DEL. OFFICE: (864) 850-7070

SENATE ADDRESS:
P. O. BOX 142
GRESSETTE SENATE OFFICE BUILDING
COLUMBIA, SC 29202
(803) 212-6810
EMAIL: LARRYMARTIN@SCSENATE.GOV



COMMITTEES:

JUDICIARY, CHAIRMAN
BANKING AND INSURANCE
EDUCATION
GENERAL
RULES

November 27, 2012

Newton E. Brightwell, Ph.D., J.D.
530 Palmer Way
Sunset, SC 29685

Dear Dr. Brightwell:

Thank you for sending me a copy of your letter and petitions that you sent to Ms. Jen Huff with Duke Energy. I appreciate your making me aware of the Duke proposal regarding the water level proposed for Lake Keowee and for making me aware of the specific concerns that you and others have about the water level and its effect on the value of the lake properties. I will certainly communicate my support for your position to Duke Energy.

Thank you again for being in touch with me. Please do not hesitate to call whenever I can be of service.

With kindest regards, I remain

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Larry A. Martin". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Larry" being more prominent.

Larry A. Martin

LAM/cjl

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

November 20, 2012

Mr. James E. Rogers, Chairman
Duke Energy Corporation
526 South Church Street
Charlotte, NC 28202-4200

Mr. Jon Wellinghof, Chairman
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Ms. Jennifer H. Willis, Chairman
Pickens County Administration Facility
222 McDaniel Avenue, B-1
Pickens, SC 29671

Governor Nikki R. Haley
Office of the Governor
1205 Pendleton Street
Columbia, SC 29201

Ms. Allison M. MacFarlane, Chairman
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Mr. Joel Thrift, Chairman
Oconee County Administrative Offices
415 South Pine Street
Walhalla, SC 29691

Mr. John P. Evans, Chairman
Department of Natural Resources
P. O. Box 167
Columbia, SC 29202

Mr. Lindsey Graham, Senator
290 RUSSELL SENATE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON DC 20510

Mr. Jim DeMint, Senator
167 RUSSELL SENATE OFFICE BUILDING
WASHINGTON DC 20510

Mr. Thomas C. Alexander, Senator
150 Cleveland Dr.
Walhalla, SC 29691

Mr. Larry Martin, Senator
P.O. Box 247
Pickens, SC 29671

Mr. B R Skelton, Representative
2962 Walhalla Hwy
Six Mile, SC 29682

Mr. Davey Hiott, Representative
P.O. Box 997
Pickens, SC 29671

Mr. Phillip Owens, Representative
P.O. Box 723
Easley, SC 29641

Mr. Eric Bikas, Representative
323C Mossie Smith Rd
Easley, SC 29642

Mr. Jeff Duncan, Congressman
116 Cannon HOB
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Interested Parties:

Subject: Keowee-Toxaway –Relicensing Application

The purpose of this communication is to express our opposition to the Keowee-Toxaway Relicensing provision that will allow Duke Energy to lower the level of Lake Keowee from the current minimum of

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

795 ft. AMSL (average mean sea level) to 790 ft. AMSL. Although there are a number of reasons for this opposition, the primary reason is as follows:

The provision allowing Duke Energy to lower Lake Keowee to 790ft. AMSL provides minimal benefit for Duke Energy and some downstream stakeholders, while the consequences for Lake Keowee home and property owners are monumental. The disproportionality between the relatively insignificant potential benefits to a diverse group of stakeholders compared to the lopsided, substantial and potentially devastating impact on Lake Keowee home and property owners makes this provision change fundamentally unfair and unduly burdensome.

Background.

For safety and other reasons related to Duke's Oconee Nuclear Power plant, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and Duke Energy have previously established 794.6 ft. AMSL as the minimum safe operating level for Lake Keowee.¹ To maintain a small margin of safety, Duke has operated Lake Keowee between full pond (800 ft. AMSL) and 795 ft. AMSL since construction of the nuclear plant in 1973 (except for periods of maintenance).

According to Duke, "One of the components in the current [draft Keowee-Toxaway relicensing] application is a proposal to modify [the] Oconee Nuclear Station to allow it to continue safely operating with Lake Keowee as low as 790 ft. above mean sea level (AMSL) or 10 ft. below full pond. This modification would ensure Oconee Nuclear Station can continue to provide 2,538 MW of electricity to the region and allow Duke Energy to provide water releases to support downstream water needs during periods of severe drought. Based upon [Duke's] computer modeling, [Duke] expect[s] Lake Keowee would be at or above 795 AMSL (approximately today's current minimum lake users are accustomed to) 96% of the time, an improvement over existing operations. The remaining 4% of the time would occur during severe droughts during which adjoining property owners, water users, other lake users, and Duke Energy would all be adversely affected by the drought. Duke Energy recognizes some pier owners could be affected by reservoir levels during droughts...."²

Duke's relicensing plan explicitly ignores some of the most substantial costs of lowering the lake to 790 ft. AMSL, namely, the financial impact on Oconee and Pickens county property owners. We understand from participants³ in prior meetings with Duke, that Duke has stated as many as 46% of the property owners on Lake Keowee will be adversely affected. Their docks will be on dry ground.

What Duke also has not explicitly acknowledged in its filings is that Duke's drought protocol models are based on 50 year old data⁴, and that the data does not take into account changing weather and climate patterns resulting from global warming or other causes.

¹ The Oconee Nuclear Power plant, unlike many other nuclear facilities, relies on lake water to cool its three nuclear reactors.

² Email from the Duke's Keowee-Toxaway Relicensing Team, dated November 2, 2012.

³ Provided by FOLKS representatives who have participated in meetings on the subject with Duke.

⁴ Information provided by FOLKS.

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

Finally, what Duke's filings have not acknowledged is that Duke's estimated 4% drain down periods, which would translate into approximately 15 days per year, does not mean nor would it require Duke to limit the time below 795 ft. AMSL to only 15 days per year. In fact, Duke, at Duke's discretion, so long as Duke's historic metric's indicated "drought conditions" could keep the lake down for months or even years.

Consequences versus Benefits.

Although Duke contends that the ability to lower Lake Keowee another 5 ft. would allow Duke to sell a small amount of additional power and water to downstream users, the actual benefits of lowering Lake Keowee are relatively small. One reason the benefits are essentially insignificant is that the lowering of the level of Lake Keowee is a one-time event during drought conditions. Once the lake is lowered there is no additional water above normal rainfall available to send downstream. To have additional water available to send downstream, Duke must refill the lake. To refill Lake Keowee back up to 795 ft. AMSL requires the same amount of water to be held back during the refilling period that was originally drained downstream to lower the lake to, i.e., the refilling the lake requires a pay back of the water drained. In other words, net-net, the amount of water drained and the amount of water held back to refill the lake is equal. During the refilling period Duke would have to suspend production of electricity by the same quantity that Duke generated during the draining period. Downstream beneficiaries would lose the same quantity of water trickling downstream during the refilling period when discharges necessarily would have to be suspended that they received during the draining of the lake. The net effect of draining the lake is zero assuming the lake is refilled.

Another reason the lowering of Lake Keowee an additional five (5) ft. will have minimal benefit downstream is the fact that Lake Keowee⁵ is the second smallest of the lakes that service the Savannah River basin. A five (5) ft. drop in the level of Lake Keowee raises the level of the downstream lakes (Hartwell, Russell and Thurmond) a mere 0.6 ft., virtually nothing.

On the other hand, the consequences to Lake Keowee home and property owners of lowering Lake Keowee to 790 ft. AMSL is substantial and for some home and property owners will be devastating. In other words, all the costs and burden of this proposed change will fall on Lake Keowee home and property owners who receive no benefit whatsoever from the change. Such a lopsided arrangement is fundamentally unfair.

Those who purchased property on and around Lake Keowee purchased said property with the reasonable belief that the lake would continue to operate within the relatively stable guidelines it had operated since the installation of the nuclear plant. The reality is that for many the stability of the lake was a significant consideration, and so long as the nuclear plant existed there was no reason to believe that the Lake Keowee levels would not remain stable in the foreseeable future. Specifically, at no point did Duke inform prospective purchasers that Duke intended to seek to lower Lake Keowee to 790ft. In fact, arguably, Duke continues today to perpetuate the belief that the lake will remain stable within the

⁵ Jocasee 7565 acres; Keowee 18,500 acres; Hartwell 56,000 acres; Russell 26,650 acres and Thurmond 70,000 acres.

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

800 to 795 ft. range for the foreseeable future by continuing to issue dock (pier) permits for properties where, if the water level were to be lowered to 790 ft., any dock on that property would be on dry land and navigable access to the property will not be possible. For those who will be so affected the value of their homes and property could be significantly diminished. Property values losses could reach millions, if not billions, for Lake Keowee home and property owners. Pickens and Oconee counties will lose tax revenue as property values decline and owners seek re-assessment. The loss in property value will take place even if the lake is lowered only once because the affected properties will be required to disclose that during periods when Duke decides to lower the lake, their docks will be on dry land and the property will no longer have navigable water access.

Although those in shallow coves will be affected the most, adjacent property owners will also be impacted. Duke clearly recognizes that numerous property owners will be impacted by including in the draft application "a proposal to allow pier owners to 'follow the water' (i.e., temporarily move docks out into the lake to allow continued boating use) during these time periods."⁶ But who is going to pay for building the dock extensions and pulling up the anchor stakes and relocating the docks? And then who is going to pay to move them back – Lake Keowee home and property owners. These docks are major structures and moving them is no easy task. The costs to move the docks will cost home and property owners thousands of dollars (for all affected property owners you are talking about hundreds of thousands to millions of dollars every drought), all borne solely by home and property owners that receive absolutely no benefit from the permit provision change. Additionally, the decline in value of the many affected properties will drag down community values as a whole. Properties not even on the lake will lose value. Home and property owners will lose millions, perhaps even billions, and Oconee and Pickens counties will lose millions as property values decline, all so Duke can make a relatively small one-time discharge of water downstream.

Other Grounds for Opposition.

1. Water Quality Will Decline Substantially.

A five (5) ft. reduction in level of Lake Keowee will expose acres and acres of mud flats while at the same time contracting the navigable acreage of the lake. The result will be a significant increase in wave action washing the mud into the lake thereby substantially increasing turbidity and adversely affecting water quality.

2. Fish and Wild Life Habitat Will be Destroyed.

The exposed mud flats and increase in lake turbidity, as noted above, will destroy fish spawning grounds and the breeding ground for migratory birds and other wildlife.

3. The Recreational Value of the Lake Will be Diminished.

The lowering of Lake Keowee another five (5) feet will reduce the lake foot print and expose mud flats. The aesthetic appearance of the lake will be hurt, and the lake will become increasingly muddy. As water quality declines so will the recreational value and use of the lake.

⁶ Email from Duke dated 11/2/2012.

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

Local businesses that are based on lake recreational activities will be economically harmed and jobs will be lost. Duke's relicensing application ignores this impact.

4. Nuclear Power Plant Safety.

When Duke opened the Oconee Nuclear power plant⁷ in 1973, Duke and the Nuclear Power Regulatory Commission (NRC) determined and established that a Lake Keowee minimum level of 795 ft. MSL was necessary to adequately safeguard the public and country from a nuclear accident similar to what happened in Fukushima, Japan. Any reduction of the level of Lake Keowee below what both Duke and NRC previously determined to be necessary and optimum to protect the public from a nuclear catastrophe is an unacceptable reduction in the safety cushion provided by the Lake Keowee. Considering the fact that the Oconee plant is now 39 years old, the potential for failure of a reactor due to fatigue is more prevalent. Accordingly, the cushion of safety, if anything, should be increased not decreased. This proposal to allow Duke to lower the level of Lake Keowee places the residents of South Carolina and Georgia at unnecessary risk.

5. Lake Keowee is not the solution to global warming, changing weather patterns and population growth.

Lake Keowee is a not even a drop in the bucket when it comes to addressing the macro problems related to global warming, rising ocean levels and the issues related to demographic trends and population growth. Rising ocean levels are going to cause increased salt water migration into the Savannah River delta and a few gallons from Lake Keowee are not going "turn the tide" on that phenomena. Likewise, Lake Keowee water levels cannot impact or affect global climate changes, whatever the cause. Nor can a trickle of additional water from Lake Keowee address or impact the world's population growth issues.

6. Higher Utility Rates.

Duke will surely attempt to recover any cost associated with retrofitting the dam or power plant to accommodate lower lake levels through higher utility rates.

The bottom line is that the benefits of lowering Lake Keowee another five (5) ft. are relatively small while the harm to Lake Keowee home and property owners and the citizens of Oconee and Pickens counties is substantial. For the reasons set forth above, the provision authorizing and allowing Duke to lower Lake Keowee another five (5) ft. should be deleted from the Keowee-Toxaway –Relicensing Application.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Address</u>
1. <i>Newton Brightwell</i>	<i>530 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC</i>
2. <i>Marilyn Brightwell</i>	<i>530 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC</i>
3. <i>Douglas A Barker</i>	<i>256 Featherstone Drive, Sunset, SC</i>
4. <i>Elizabeth A Barker</i>	<i>256 Featherstone Drive, Sunset, SC</i>
5. <i>Mike Panther</i>	<i>129 Sunrise Ridge, Sunset, SC</i>
6. <i>Kathy Panther</i>	<i>129 Sunrise Ridge, Sunset, SC</i>
7. <i>Gene Propper</i>	<i>209 East Ft. George Way, Sunset, SC</i>
8. <i>Tina Propper</i>	<i>209 East Ft. George Way, Sunset, SC</i>
9. <i>Dean Mathison</i>	<i>340 South Cove Rd., Sunset, SC</i>

⁷ Unlike most nuclear plant, the Oconee nuclear facility relies on water from Lake Keowee to cool its three nuclear

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

10. Nicole Mathison	340 South Cove Rd., Sunset, SC
11. Kenneth Lane	Lot #5, Waterside Crossing
12. Margaret Lane	Lot #5, Waterside Crossing
13. Jim Barber	Lot #31, Cliffs Falls South
14. Martha Stern	8716 Link Terrace, Houston, TX
15. Marshall Shelsy	8716 Link Terrace, Houston, TX
16. Doug Barker	256 Featherstone Dr., Sunset, SC
17. Betsy Barker	256 Featherstone Dr., Sunset, SC
18. Jim Bird	206 E. Ft. George Way, Sunset, SC
19. Barbara Bird	206 E. Ft. George Way, Sunset, SC
20. Luis Leon	104 Crooked Stick Ct., Sunset, SC
21. Connie Leon	104 Crooked Stick Ct., Sunset, SC
22. Rick Steel	204 Cool Water Way, Sunset, SC
23. Jane Steel	204 Cool Water Way, Sunset, SC
24. John R Bugh	109 Laurel Pond Way, Sunset, SC
25. Susan Bugh	109 Laurel Pond Way, Sunset, SC
26. Chuck Oliver	424 W Fort George Point, Sunset, SC
27. Leanna Oliver	424 W Fort George Point, Sunset, SC
28. Larry DeFever	320 South Cove Road, Sunset, SC
29. Caren Smith	320 South Cove Road, Sunset, SC
30. Greg Lewis	128 South Falls Road, Sunset, SC
31. Sandy Lewis	128 South Falls Road, Sunset, SC
32. Krystal Lewis	128 South Falls Road, Sunset, SC
33. Zac Lewis	128 South Falls Road, Sunset, SC
34. Gary Nieman	609 Keowee Avenue, Sunset, SC
35. LeighAnn Nieman	609 Keowee Avenue, Sunset, SC
36. Roger B Crawford	132 Stillwater Court, Sunset, SC
37. Lisa N Crawford	132 Stillwater Court, Sunset, SC
38. Gary T Burns	181 South Falls Road, Sunset, SC
39. Stephanie A Burns	181 South Falls Road, Sunset, SC
40. John Phillips	217 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
41. Patty Phillips	217 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
42. John Coury	114 Deep Water Court, Sunset, SC
43. Debra Coury	114 Deep Water Court, Sunset, SC
44. Tom Pletcher	Lot D16, Reserve at LK, Sunset, SC
45. Dana Pletcher	Lot D16, Reserve at LK, Sunset, SC
46. James A Easterling	1235 Reserve Blvd, Sunset, SC
47. Valerie B Easterling	1235 Reserve Blvd, Sunset, SC
48. Leonard Rubin	210 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
49. Marlene Rubin	210 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
50. Randall Bly	136 Stone Cove Road, Sunset, SC
51. Rebecca Bly	136 Stone Cove Road, Sunset, SC
52. Jay Hall	108 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
53. Susan Hall	108 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
54. William Baker	140 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
55. Lynn Baker	140 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
56. Charles K Baker	140 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

57. Scott MacKenzie	316 Fernwood Drive, Salem, SC
58. Jan MacKenzie	316 Fernwood Drive, Salem, SC
59. Dan McGonigle	117 Misty Water Loop, Sunset, SC
60. Dianna McGonigle	117 Misty Water Loop, Sunset, SC
61. Mark Yarborough	7 Sailwater Court, Salem, SC
62. Chuck Pigg	311 Top Ridge, Sunset, SC
63. Patti Pigg	311 Top Ridge, Sunset, SC
64. Steve Newby	Village Point Lot 6, Sunset, SC
65. Kristina Newby	Village Point Lot 6, Sunset, SC
66. Barry Hudson	118 Steele Court, Sunset, SC
67. Carol Hudson	118 Steele Court, Sunset, SC
68. Owen Hardy	115 Crane Fly Court, Salem, SC
69. Jaci Hardy	115 Crane Fly Court, Salem, SC
70. Manfred Sandler	413 N Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
71. Fiona Sandler	413 N Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
72. John D White	309 Broadleaf Court, Salem, SC
73. Jill C White	309 Broadleaf Court, Salem, SC
74. Daniel McGlaughlin	138 North Lake Drive, Salem, SC
75. Patricia McGlaughlin	138 North Lake Drive, Salem, SC
76. Laurie Metzger	816 Rockcrest Way, Salem, SC
77. David Metzger	816 Rockcrest Way, Salem, SC
78. Doug McKinney	343 South Cove Road, Sunset, SC
79. Sandy McKinney	343 South Cove Road, Sunset, SC
80. Jim McWhinne	401 Crossbill Court, Salem, SC
81. Louise McWhinne	401 Crossbill Court, Salem, SC
82. Thomas Harper	E-387 Dewberry Lane, Sunset, SC
83. Carole Harper	E-387 Dewberry Lane, Sunset, SC
84. Ben Elley	120 Long Ridge Road, Sunst, SC
85. Georgia Elley	120 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
86. Robert D Fairey	106 Longwood Lane, Sunset, SC
87. Diane H Fairey	106 Longwood Lane, Sunset, SC
88. Alan Feinberg	231 Jasmine Point, Salem, SC
89. Barbara Feinbery	231 Jasmine Point, Salem, SC
90. Everett Kliphouse	101 Baltustrol Court, Sunset, SC
91. Patricia Kliphouse	101 Baltustrol Court, Sunset, SC
92. Robert Bacon	311 South Cove Road, Sunset, SC
93. Jennifer Bacon	311 South Cove Road, Sunset, SC
94. Ronald Kaufman	638 Pine Harbor Way, Salem, SC
95. Kathy Kaufman	638 Pine Harbor Way, Salem, SC
96. Gwen Clarke	507 Pine Tree Drive, Atlanta, GA
97. Gareth Clarke	507 Pine Tree Drive, Atlanta, GA
98. John Farrell	29 High Ridge, Salem, SC
99. Melinda Farrell	29 High Ridge, Salem, SC
100. James A Parke	425 E Waterside Drive, Seneca, SC
101. Marilyn J Sellers	425 E Waterside Drive, Seneca, SC
102. Steve Wood	105 Burlwood Court, Sunset, SC
103. Ilaria Derr	105 Burlwood Court, Sunset, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

104. Jim Redmond	603 Bay Vista Court, Salem, SC
105. Edith Redmond	603 Bay Vista Court, Salem, SC
106. Larry Plumber	104 Villa Court, Salem, SC
107. Mary Ann Plumber	104 Villa Court, Salem, SC
108. Patrick C Everett	805 Pintail Court, Salem, SC
109. Patricia H Everett	805 Pintail Court, Salem, SC
110. Gil Smith	409 Keowee Avenue, Sunset, SC
111. Carole Smith	409 Keowee Avenue, Sunset, SC
112. Alexander Strunc	168 Ridgetop Lane, Salem, SC
113. Sally Strunc	168 Ridgetop Lane, Salem, SC
114. Michael Capellas	205 Sunrise Point Way, Salem, SC
115. Marie Capellas	205 Sunrise Point Way, Salem, SC
116. Denise M kark	528 Shooting Tree Way, Salem, SC
117. Gerhard J Kark	528 Shooting Tree Way, Salem, SC
118. Jim Liddle	113 Nautica Point, Six Mile, SC
119. Aimee Liddle	113 Nautica Point, Six Mile, SC
120. James Herring	214 Creek Sone Court, Six Mile, SC
121. Margaret Herring	214 Creek Stone Court, Six Mile, SC
122. Joonok Yang	
123. Michael Costanza	
124. Kevin Greenawalt	422 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
125. Holly Greenawalt	422 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
126. Gordon B Baum	1211 Reserve Blvd, Sunset, SC
127. Georgann M Baum	1211 Reserve Blvd, Sunset, SC
128. Denny Britten	332 South Cove Road, Sunset, SC
129. Judy Britten	332 South Cove Road, Sunset, SC
130. Nancy McCabe	603 River Birch Way, Salem, SC
131. Kevin McCabe	603 River Birch Way, Salem, SC
132. Steve Norvell	105 South Coves Drive, Salem, SC
133. Emily Norvell	105 South Coves Drive, Salem, SC
134. Garry Brown	506 W Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
135. Melissa Brown	506 W Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
136. Robert Vogel	113 Running Bear Lane, Salem, SC
137. Lisa Vogel	113 Running Bear Lane, Salem, SC
138. Kim Murray	567 Shooting Tree Way, Salem, SC
139. Tom Throop	124 Guest House Court, Sunset, SC
140. Diane Throop	124 Guest House Court, Sunset, SC
141. Greg Hall	217 Governor Glen Drive, Sunset, SC
142. Christine Hall	217 Governor Glen Drive, Sunset, SC
143. Edmund Nagem Jr. MD	156 Ridge Top Lane, Salem, SC
144. Catherine Lamy Nagem	156 Ridge Top Lane, Salem, SC
145. David Parsons	127 Buttonbush Trail, Salem, SC
146. Joan Parsons	127 Buttonbush Trail, Salem, SC
147. Mike Kennedy	109 Deep Water Court, Sunset, SC
148. Nancy Kennedy	109 Deep Water Court, Sunset, SC
149. Mike Moorecroft	109 Parkview Lane, Sunset, SC
150. Deb Moorecroft	109 Parkview Lane, Sunset, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

151. Steve Woolridge	106 Stillwater Court, Sunset, SC
152. Angela Woolridge	106 Stillwater Court, Sunset, SC
153. Karl A Knauff	106 Steele Court, Sunset, SC
154. Terry S Knauff	106 Steele Court, Sunset, SC
155. Wes Bigler	120 Golden Bear Drive, Sunset, SC
156. Jan Driver	120 Golden Bear Drive, Sunset, SC
157. David P Rowland	101 Crooked Stick Court, Sunset, SC
158. Michele M Rowland	101 Crooked Stick Court, Sunset, SC
159. James Hannon	205 E fort George Way, Sunset, SC
160. Jan Hannon	205 E fort George Way, Sunset, SC
161. David W Miller	105 Deep Cove Court, Sunset, SC
162. Elizabeth M Miller	105 Deep Cove Court, Sunset, SC
163. Russ Gentry	236 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
164. Teri Gentry	236 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
165. John Howard	301 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
166. Nancy Howard	301 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
167. Jeff Headlee	229 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
168. Helen Headlee	229 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
169. Les Borden	137 Sunrise Ridge, Sunset, SC
170. Jean Borden	137 Sunrise Ridge, Sunset, SC
171. Tom Destafano	305 Cool Water Way, Sunset, SC
172. Randi Destafano	305 Cool Water Way, Sunset, SC
173. Paul Abenante	121 Sunrise Ridge, Sunset, SC
174. Evelyn Abenante	121 Sunrise Ridge, Sunset, SC
175. Dave Kowalski	121 Great Camp Court, Sunset, SC
176. Janet Kowalski	121 Great Camp Court, Sunset, SC
177. Dann Schwartz	105 Prince Lane, Salem, SC
178. Joe Parisi	142 North Lake Drive, Salem, SC
179. Mary Lou Parisi	142 North Lake Drive, Salem, SC
180. George Goll	118 Brightwater Trail, Six Mile, SC
181. Pamela Goll	118 Brightwater Trail, Six Mile, SC
182. David Nett	2556 Wynnton Dr, Duluth, GA
183. Penny Nett	2556 Wynnton Dr, Duluth, GA
184. Steve Tritch	206 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
185. Tami Tritch	206 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
186. Mark Watson	314 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
187. Sheree Watson	314 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
188. Dick Demars	250 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
189. Sharon Demars	250 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
190. Chris Hughes	201 E Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
191. Karen Hughes	201 E Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
192. Lyle Bertsch	607 Magesty Court, Salem, SC
193. Virginia Bertsch	607 Magesty Court, Salem, SC
194. David Cerullo	138 Blue Water Trail, Salem, SC
195. Barbara Cerullo	138 Blue Water Trail, Salem, SC
196. Ronald Sandefur	303 Clear Lake Court, Salem, SC
197. Cheryl Sandefur	303 Clear Lake Court, Salem, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

198. Bob DiBella	503 Shallow Water Court, Salem, SC
199. Carol Savage	503 Shallow Water Court, Salem, SC
200. Linda Davis	122 Steele Court, Sunset, SC
201. Ron Davis	122 Steele Court, Sunset, SC
202. Gary Haake	528 South Point Blvd, Sunset, SC
203. Jan hake	528 South Point Blvd, Sunset, SC
204. Kirk Boone	110 Spruce Trail, Sunset, SC
205. Kristin Boone	110 Spruce Trail, Sunset, SC
206. Bill Chew	121 Burlwood Court, Sunset, SC
207. Joyce Chew	121 Burlwood Court, Sunset, SC
208. Richard H Rockwell	504 Driftwood Court, Salem, SC
209. Christine Rockwell	504 Driftwood Court, Salem, SC
210. Nick Carter	213 Sunrise Pointe Way, Salem, SC
211. Mary Carolyn Carter	213 Sunrise Pointe Way, Salem, SC
212. Robert Clement	122 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
213. Eydie Clement	122 Saranac Drive, sunset, SC
214. David Baughman	107 Steele Court, Sunset, SC
215. Debbie Baughman	107 Steele Court, Sunset, SC
216. John Calabria	124 Baltustrol Court, Sunset, SC
217. Cathy Calabria	124 Baltustrol Court, Sunset, SC
218. Paul McKeon	176 Falls South Road, Sunset, SC
219. Alleta McKeon	176 Falls south Road, Sunset, SC
220. Tom Haulik	111 Oakmont Court, Sunset, SC
221. Cindy Haulik	111 Oakmont Court, Sunset, SC
222. Jim Currow	118 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
223. Marian Currow	118 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
224. David Johnson	215 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
225. Richard F Bishop	250 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
226. A Christine Bishop	250 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
227. Daniel Robert Warren	102 Wildrock Court #405 Sunset, SC
228. Karen Knast Warren	102 Wildrock Court#405 Sunset, SC
229. Don Kelley	136 Stillwater Court, Sunset, SC
230. Jane Kelley	136 Stillwater Court, Sunset, SC
231. Trey Lee	401 Keowee Avenue, Sunset, SC
232. Cynda Lee	401 Keowee Avenue, Sunset, SC
233. Paul Bonney	310 Shore Vista Trail, Six Mile, SC
234. Patricia Bonney	310 Shore Vlista Trail, Six Mile, SC
235. Nicholas S Milcinovic	134 Gnarled Pine Court, Sunset, SC
236. Mary Milcinovic	134 Gnarled pine Court, Sunset, SC
237. Robert S Balcerak	141 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
238. Karen Balcerak	141 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
239. Chris Curtis	146 Blue Water Trail, Salem, SC
240. Karin Curtis	146 Blue Water Trail, Salem, SC
241. Paul F Delaney	109 Burlwood Court, Sunrise, SC
242. Michele M Delaney	109 Burlwood Court, Sunrise, SC
243. Dean Ricker	133 Sunrise Ridge, Sunset, SC
244. Barbara Ricker	133 Sunrise Ridge, Sunset, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

245. Bruce I Andrews	128 Gnarled Pine Court, Sunset, SC
246. Elizabeth M Andrews	128 Gnarled Pine Court, Sunset, SC
247. Linda L Burrell	296D Jocassee Point Road, Salem, SC
248. Rick Vaughan	108 Cool Water Court, Sunset, SC
249. Kay Vaughan	108 Cool Water Court, Sunset, SC
250. Edward Claggett	140 Sunblest Trail, Six Mile, SC
251. Elizabeth Claggett	140 Sunblest Trail, Six Mile, SC
252. Tom Shull	204 Oakmont Court, Sunset, SC
253. Patti Shull	204 Oakmont Court, Sunset, SC
254. Patrick Widmer	811 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
255. Shirley Widmer	811 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
256. Neal Workman	113 Orchard Cottage Way, Sunset, SC
257. Fay Workman	113 Orchard Cottage Way, Sunset, SC
258. Randy Muchow	405 W Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
259. Gwenda Muchow	405 W Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
260. Robert Wall	115 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
261. Jackie Wall	115 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
262. Steven Grant	123 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
263. Sharron Grant	123 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
264. Bruce Salley	238 Pointe Road, Seneca, SC
265. Harriet Salley	238 Pointe Road, Seneca, SC
266. Samuel Glenn	302 Top Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
267. Gail Glenn	302 Top Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
268. Alan Smith	502 W Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
269. Rhonda Smith	502 W Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
270. Thomas Henderson	561 Big Creek Way, Salem, SC
271. Kathleen Henderson	561 Big Creek Way, Salem, SC
272. Erwin Burbach	425 W Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
273. Cynthia Burbach	425 W Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
274. Chet Sproles	117 E Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
275. Christine Sproles	117 E Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
276. Kim Martin	204 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
277. Kimberly Martin	204 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
278. Bill Garwood	436 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
279. Gwen Garwood	436 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
280. John OConnell	805 Top Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
281. Mary OConnell	805 Top Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
282. Scott Rusch	Lot L-25 Reserve at LK, Sunset, SC
283. Jeanene Rusch	Lot L-25 Reserve at LK, Sunset, SC
284. Katrina Nelson	16 Governor Glen Court, Sunset, SC
285. Gary Nelson	16 Governor Glen Couort, Sunset, SC
286. Mike Perry	100 Misty Water Loop, Sunset, SC
287. Susan Perry	100 Misty Water Loop, Sunset, SC
288. Robert Basmadjin	4 Nevius Road, Whitehouse Station, NJ
289. Kathleen Basmadjin	4 Nevius Road, Whitehouse Station, NJ
290. Dan Warren	102 Wild Rock Court, Sunset, SC
291. Karen Warren	102 Wild Rock Court, Sunset, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

292. Ed Zampella	107 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
293. Deb Zampella	107 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
294. John MacIsaac	402 Ridge Pointe Court, Sunset, SC
295. Sally MacIsaac	402 Ridge Pointe Court, Sunset, SC
296. Judy Petersen	308 Knollwood Drive, Salem, SC
297. Robert Petersen	308 Knollwood Drive, Salem, SC
298. Kyle Petersen	308 Knollwood Drive, Salem, SC
299. Kristin Petersen	308 Knollwood Drive, Salem, SC
300. Patricia Abram	Cliffs Keowee Falls, Salem, SC
301. Jim Hughes	688 Lake Breeze Lane, Salem, SC
302. Pat Hughes	688 Lake breeze Lane, Salem, SC
303. Martha Strachan	1100 N. Dupont RD, Wilmington, DE
304. R Stephen Strachan	1100 N. Dupont RD, Wilmington, DE
305. Dave Manceor	244 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
306. Marianne Manceor	244 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
307. Larry Scheidler	405 Evergreen Trail, Salem, SC
308. Anne Scheidler	405 Evergreen Trail, Salem, SC
309. Marty Agard	136 Crooked Beach Drive, Sunset, SC
310. Ashley Agard	136 Crooked Beach Drive, Sunset, SC
311. Joseph Mracna	113 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
312. Paricia Mracna	113 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
313. Jimmy Fuqua	109 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
314. Brenda Fuqua	109 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
315. Kevin Godfrey	1012 St. Andrews Court, Sunset, SC
316. Barbara Evans	1012 St. Andrews Court, Sunset, SC
317. Larry Peery	818 Alder Pointe Way, Salem, SC
318. Gary Gaddy	103 Orchard Cottage Way, Sunset, SC
319. Ginger Gaddy	103 Orchard Cottage Way, Sunset, SC
320. Marquess Randall	104 Kanewood Trail, Salem, SC
321. Deborah Thaman	104 Kanewood Trail, Salem, SC
322. Terry Ryan	7 Shiplight Court, Salem, SC
323. Chris Ryan	7 Shiplight Court, Salem, SC
324. Ruth Gorman	336 Piney Woods Trail, Salem, SC
325. Michael Gorman	336 Piney Woods Trail, Salem, SC
326. Robert Hiatt	920 Woodlake Way, Salem, SC
327. Linda Hiatt	920 Woodlake Way, Salem, SC
328. Hubie Smith	831 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
329. Pamela Smith	831 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
330. Mary-Elizabeth Jarvis	133 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
331. Owen E Jarvis	133 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
332. Bonnie Bachman	6 Davys Locker Lane, Salem, SC
333. Jon Bachman	6 Davys Locker Lane, Salem, SC
334. Todd Curtis	123 Brightwater Trail, Six Mile, SC
335. Christine Curtis	123 Brightwater Trail, Six Mile, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

336. Richard A Harper	108 Mariner Pointe Drive, Salem, SC
337. Jennifer P Harper	108 Mariner Pointe Drive, Salem, SC
338. Gerald S Burgette	415 Waterside Drive, Seneca, SC
339. Karen L Burgette	415 Waterside Drive, Seneca, SC
340. Bernt Iversen II	170 Ellenburg Creek Road, Sunset, SC
341. Robert Nyland	301 South Reach lane, Salem, SC
342. Anthony L Alford	534 N Flagship Drive, Salem, SC
343. Sandra H Alford	534 N Flagship Drive, Salem, SC
344. Mark Harris	36 Foremast Drive, Salem, SC
345. Linda Harris	36 Foremast Drive, Salem, SC
346. Lin Williams	224 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
347. Mattie Sue Williams	224 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
348. Rudy Brown	130 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
349. Janice Brown	130 Saranac Drive, Sunset, SC
350. Tom Emge	137 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
351. Laurie Emge	137 Abaco Lane, Seneca, SC
352. Bobby Cummins	117 Burlwood Court, Sunset, SC
353. Carol Cummins	117 Burlwood Court, Sunset, SC
354. John Carney	815 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
355. Kathy Carney	815 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
356. Steve Schwartzhoff	606 Acorn Way, Seneca, SC
357. Nancy Schwartzhoff	606 Acorn Way, Seneca, SC
358. Rutledge Livingston	RLK Sales, Sunset, SC
359. Jerry Whitaker	204 Paw Paw Way, Sunset, SC
360. Mary Whitaker	204 Paw Paw Way, Sunset, SC
361. Donna Hammill	404 Windcrest Court, Salem, SC
362. Greg Hammill	404 Windcrest Court, Salem, SC
363. George Henefeld	18 Lighthouse Way Drive, Salem, SC
364. Karen Henefeld	18 Lighthouse Way Drive, Salem, SC
365. Ken James	236 Featherstone Drive, Sunset, SC
366. Alice James	236 Featherstone Drive, Sunset, SC
367. Jerome Post	135 River Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
368. Nancy Post	135 River Ridge Road, Sunset, SC
369. Ralph Allen	502 Clearview Drive, Seneca, SC
370. Libby Allen	502 Clearview Drive, Seneca, SC
371. Jim Vitale	133 Drewberry Lane, Sunset, SC
372. Phyllis Egan	133 Drewberry Lane, Sunset, SC
373. Marc Yahn	201 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
374. Nancy Yahn	201 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
375. Ralph Schatz	208 Oakmont Court, Sunset, SC
376. Mary Schatz	208 Oakmont Court, Sunset, SC
377. Howard Urban	230 Long Ridge Road, Sunset, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

378. Nancy Schlegel	117 Sunrise Ridge, Sunset, SC
379. Bill Schlegel	117 Sunrise Ridge, Sunset, SC
380. Frederick LaFontaine	419 E Waterside Drive, Seneca, SC
381. Patricia LaFontaine	419 E Waterside Drive, Seneca, SC
382. Stephen DeBacco	511 W Fort George Point, Sunset, SC
383. Julie R DeBacco	511 W Fort George Point, Sunset, SC
384. Gretchen Yahn	116 Great Camp Court, Sunset, SC
385. Fred Fraizer	133 Cross Lake Trail, Six Mile, SC
386. Dale Fraizer	133 Cross Lake Trail, Six Mile, SC
387. Mary Ann Anderson	20 Lash Up Lane, Salem, SC
388. Michael Anderson	20 Lash Up Lane, Salem, SC
389. Roger Keranen	624 N Flagship Drive, Salem, SC
390. Juanita Keranen	624 N Flagship Drive, Salem, SC
391. Bill Allen	1 Lighthouse Court, Salem, SC
392. Debbie Allen	1 Lighthouse Court, Salem, SC
393. Peter Baker	303 Crooked Rock Lane, Sunset, SC
394. Linda Baker	303 Crooked Rock Lane, Sunset, SC
395. Joe Fraiz	252 Featherstone Drive, Sunset, SC
396. Cathy Fraiz	252 Featherstone Drive, Sunset, SC
397. Traci Krein	542 Linn Garden L, West Union, SC
398. Carter Miller	802 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
399. Marty Miller	802 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
400. John Sharp	819 Rockcrest Way, Salem, SC
401. Lauren E Harper	108 Mariner Pointe, Salem, SC
402. Mike Price	Lot A-22 Reserve at LK, Sunset, SC
403. Kelly Price	Lot A-22 Reserve at LK, Sunset, SC
404. William Gabel	1423 Waxhaw Way, Hartsville, SC
405. Elizabeth Gabel	1423 Waxhaw Way, Hartsville, SC
406. James Hogue	East Waterford Drive, Seneca, SC
407. Charles Buchas	235 Jasmine Point, Salem, SC
408. Lindley Buchas	235 Jasmine Point, Salem, SC
409. Drew Walters	833 Old Greenville Hwy, Clemson, SC
410. Andreas Sellner	209 E Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
411. Roger Greiner	216 Deep Cove Point, Sunset, SC
412. Janet Greiner	216 Deep Cove Point, Sunset, SC
413. Marc Groce	131 North Lake Drive, Sunset, SC
414. Louis F Storz	144 Sunblest Trail, Six Mile, SC
415. Carol Storz	144 Sunblest Trail, Six Mile, SC
416. Danny Cabe	609 Keowee Bay Circle, Salem, SC
417. Donna Collins	219 Summersweet Lane, Seneca, AC
418. Lonnie Bacon	220 Winding Oats, Seneca, SC
419. George Tsooth	12 Yeoman Lane, Salem, SC
420. Joan Tsooth	12 Yeoman Lane, Salem, SC
421. Julie Voss	506 Hillandale Road, Seneca, SC
422. John Voss	506 Hillandale Road, Seneca, SC
423. Mike Corney	296 Jefferson Road, West Union, SC
424. Charlotte Beyer	118 Wynwood point, Salem, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

425. George Eichler	19 First Mate Way, Salem, SC
426. Kathy Eichler	19 First Mate Way, Salem, SC
427. Steve Willis	605 Lighthouse Court, Seneca, SC
428. Marcia Willis	605 Lighthouse Court, Seneca, SC
429. Michael Brooks	212 N Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
430. Nancy Brooks	212 N Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
431. Martha L Long	521 Beacon shores Drive, Seneca, SC
432. John C Long	521 Beacon shores Drive, Seneca, SC
433. David plumpe	12 Ebb Tide Court, Salem, SC
434. Ellen Plumpe	12 Ebb Tide Court, Salem, SC
435. Brian Sykes	795 Navigator Pointe, Seneca, SC
436. Cindy Sykes	795 Navigator Pointe, Seneca, SC
437. Judy S Beck	303 Lake Winds Court, Seneca, SC
438. Marc S Beck	303 Lake Winds Court, Seneca, SC
439. Robert E Beck	303 Lake Winds Court, Seneca, SC
440. Dennis C Moriarity	519 Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
441. Janet L Moriarity	519 Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
442. Shirley Farrell	317 Lake Winds Court, Seneca, SC
443. Ted Farrell	317 Lake Winds Court, Seneca, SC
444. George E Bishop	516 Beacon shores Drive, Seneca, SC
445. Lisa Bishop	516 Beacon shores Drive, Seneca, SC
446. Peter Miller	509 Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
447. Linda Miller	509 Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
448. Cec Lewis	501 Beacon shores Drive, Seneca, SC
449. Jerry Lewis	501 Beacon shores Drive, Seneca, SC
450. Robert A Smith	718 Navigators Pointe Seneca, SC
451. Kathleen Smith	718 Navigators Pointe Seneca, SC
452. Patricia P Ferrer	754 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
453. Bradford W Ferrer	754 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
454. Robert Royer	807 Clifton Court, Seneca, SC
455. JoAnne Royer	807 Clifton Court, Seneca, SC
456. Mark Solon	121 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
457. Jean Mavroudis	100 Beacon shores, Seneca, SC
458. Candice A Hooker	760 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
459. David P Hooker	760 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
460. James Krautlarger	704 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
461. Roberta Krautlarger	704 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
462. Steve Sinnott	414 E Waterside Drive, Seneca, SC
463. Pam Sinnott	414 E Waterside Drive, Seneca, SC
464. Charles D Howell	534 Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
465. Mary Ann Howell	534 Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
466. Bill Nesbitt	208 Deep Cove Point, Sunset, SC
467. Ellen Nesbitt	208 Deep Cove Point, Sunset, SC
468. Robert Rickerby	903 Southwinds Court, Seneca, SC
469. Bonnier Rickerby	903 Southwinds Court, Seneca, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

470. Paul Fulton	Reserve Lake Keowee Lot J-5 Sunset, SC
471. Audrey Fulton	Reserve Lake Keowee Lot J-5 Sunset, SC
472. Frank Johnson	513 Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
473. Faye Johnson	513 Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
474. Mark Solon	605 Lochwood Court, Seneca, SC
475. Clare Solon	605 Lochwood Court, Seneca, SC
476. Brett Beazley	28 Lighthouse Way Dr, Seneca, SC
477. Judith Beazley	28 Lighthouse Way Dr, Seneca, SC
478. Carlton R Howk	Lot 40 Beacon Shores, Seneca, SC
479. Patricia A Howk	Lot 40 Beacon Shores, Seneca, SC
480. Mary Upton	have e-mail, address TBD
481. Richard Upton	have e-mail, address TBD
482. Tim Angela	522 Beacon shores Drive, Seneca, SC
483. Julie Angela	522 Beacon shores Drive, Seneca, SC
484. Gerald Price	744 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
485. Peter C LeRoy	750 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
486. Julian M LeRoy	750 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
487. Joe S Stoup	264 Featherstone Drive, Sunset, SC
488. Debbie Stroup	264 Featherstone Drive, Sunset, SC
489. Tom Lehman	209 Beacon shores Drive, Seneca, SC
490. Kip Miller	Lot 109 Beacons shores, Seneca, SC
491. Kim Miller	Lot 109 Beacons shores, Seneca, SC
492. Keith McDonald	708 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
493. Denise McDonald	708 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
494. Alton Brant	404 Ridge pointe Court, Seneca, SC
495. Ginny Brant	404 Ridge pointe Court, Seneca, SC
496. Pamela H Hohenstreet	734 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
497. John W Dryden	734 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
498. Brad Bylenga	11 Lawson Way, Greenville, SC
499. Robin Bylenga	11 Lawson Way, Greenville, SC
500. Andy Bylenga	11 Lawson Way, Greenville, SC
501. Dirk Pieper	have e-mail, address TBD
502. Katherine Pieper	have e-mail, address TBD
503. Jon Heald	have e-mail, address TBD
504. Tamara Heald	have e-mail, address TBD
505. Peter G Bylenga	have e-mail, address TBD
506. Norma Bylenga	have e-mail, address TBD
507. Brian McSharry	have e-mail, address TBD
508. Kelly McSharry	have e-mail, address TBD
509. Rhonda Billingsley	44 Lagerholm Mountain Road, Pickens, SC
510. Brittany Billingsley	44 Lagerholm Mountain Road, Pickens, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

511. Pamela M Kennedy	738 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
512. Robert L Kennedy	738 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
513. Ron Rasmussen	200 Abbot Trail, Greenville, SC
514. Nan Rasmussen	200 Abbot Trail, Greenville, SC
515. John Townes	105 Abott Trail, Greenville, SC
516. Kathy Townes	105 Abott Trail, Greenville, SC
517. Bruce Feiner	315 Lake Winds Court, Seneca, SC
518. Melanie Feiner	315 Lake Winds Court, Seneca, SC
519. Louise E Johnson	10 Beacon Drive, Salem, SC
520. Wendell G Johnson	10 Beacon Drive, Salem, SC
521. Joe Mayeur	524 Beacon shores Drive, Seneca, SC
522. Donna Mayeur	524 Beacon shores Drive, Seneca, SC
523. David Frost	106 South Falls Road, Sunset, SC
524. Heidi Frost	106 South Falls Road, Sunset, SC
525. Jim G DeBisschop	31 Lighthouse Way, Salem, SC
526. Martha File	607 Lighthouse Court, Seneca, SC
527. Kenneth Marshall	607 Lighthouse Court, Seneca, SC
528. David Sheffield	726 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
529. Meg Sheffield	726 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
530. Louis Richard	902 Southwind Court, Seneca, SC
531. Sherry Watson	902 Southwind Court, Seneca, SC
532. Judy Kellner	532 Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
533. Roger Joe Dickens	5 Shiplight Court, Salem, SC
534. Esther D Dickens	5 Shiplight Court, Salem, SC
535. Jane Flattery	have e-mail, address TBD
536. Paul Flattery	have e-mail, address TBD
537. Ken Luce	416 Long Reach Drive, Salem, SC
538. Donna Luce	416 Long Reach Drive, Salem, SC
539. Harry Deitrich	901 Southwind Court, Seneca, SC
540. Barbara S Deitrich	901 Southwind Court, Seneca, SC
541. Bruce Bowen	42 Lighthouse Way Drive, Salem, SC
542. Seanine Bowen	42 Lighthouse Way Drive, Salem, SC
543. Ron Robinson	1008 Chester Lake Rd, Jacksonville, FL
544. Denise Robinson	1008 Chester Lake Rd, Jacksonville, FL
545. Jack Flanagan	219 Governor Glen Drive, Sunset, SC
546. Millie Flanagan	219 Governor Glen Drive, Sunset, SC
547. Michael Wall	9 High Water Court, Salem, SC
548. Mary K Wall	9 High Water Court, Salem, SC
549. John Sutter	607 S Acorn Way, Seneca, SC
550. Bruce M Johnson	49 Quartermaster Drive, Salem, SC
551. Mary Johnson	49 Quartermaster Drive, Salem, SC
552. Robert C Pesci	6 Reef Court, Salem, SC
553. Maureen Pesci	6 Reef Court, Salem, SC
554. Emil A Pfister	314 Lake Winds Court, Seneca, SC
555. JoAnne Pfister	314 Lake Winds Court, Seneca, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

556. Michael Hehir	233 Oak Stone Drive, West Union, SC
557. Susan Goodwin	233 Oak Stone Drive, West Union, SC
558. Kenneth Klein	217 Oak Stone Drive, West Union, SC
559. Janet Klein	217 Oak Stone Drive, West Union, SC
560. Stephen Senty	243 Oak Stone Drive, West Union, SC
561. Ilene Senty	243 Oak Stone Drive, West Union, SC
562. James A Hamilton	25 Blowing Fresh Drive, Salem, SC
563. Jacquelin E Hamilton	25 Blowing Fresh Drive, Salem, SC
564. Denis C Stone	70 Red Bud Lane, Greenville, SC
565. Karen I Stone	70 Red Bud Lane, Greenville, SC
566. Brian Connor	231 Oak Stone Drive, West Union, SC
567. Patricia Connor	231 Oak Stone Drive, West Union, SC
568. Glen Becker	Lot 12 Oak Stone Shores, West Union, SC
569. Vicki Becker	Lot 12 Oak Stone Shores, West Union, SC
570. Alan Long	354 Coveview Court, Salem, SC
571. Ellen Long	354 Coveview Court, Salem, SC
572. Janet S MacCulloch	5 Shore Court, Salem, SC
573. Dennis D MacCulloch	5 Shore Court, Salem, SC
574. Edward Krech	15 Bowsprit Lane, Salem, SC
575. Joan Drech	15 Bowsprit Lane, Salem, SC
576. Dennis Robertson	507 Beacons Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
577. Rosemary Robertson	507 Beacons Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
578. Peter Swarr	Lot 27 Oak Stone, West Union, SC
579. Mary C Moosbrugger	632 N Flagship Drive, Salem, SC
580. William Boyd	have e-mail, address TBD
581. Melanie Fink	211 Dursely Drive, Anderson, SC
582. Robert A Smith	718 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
583. Kathleen Smith	718 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
584. Tom Jelinek	have e-mail, address TBD
585. Anita Stutzman	23360 White Harbour Road, Seneca, SC
586. Ralph Stutzman	23360 White Harbour Road, Seneca, SC
587. Mary Ann Hotchkiss	5 Dinghy Court, Salem, SC
588. Dwight Hotchkiss	5 Dinghy Court, Salem, SC
589. John Kivala	have e-mail, address TBD
590. Millie Kivala	have e-mail, address TBD
591. Greg Farish	5 Village Point, Sunset, SC
592. Ramona Farish	5 Village Point, Sunset, SC
593. William J Bruel	18032 Cedar Grove Road, Seneca, SC
594. Margaret E Bruel	18032 Cedar Grove Road, Seneca, SC
595. Bob Dillon	103 Laurel Lane, Sunset, SC
596. Nicki Dillon	103 Laurel Lane, Sunset, SC
597. Alan Thomson	614 Lighthouse Court, Seneca, SC
598. Brenda Thomson	614 Lighthouse Court, Seneca, SC
599. Gerry Gates	318 Pembroke Court, Six Mile, SC
600. Cindy Gates	318 Pembroke Court, Six Mile, SC
601. Dan Drees	237 Oak Stone Drive, West Union, SC
602. Rachel Drees	237 Oak Stone Drive, West Union, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

603. Leigh Verm	1621 Olmsted Drive, Asheville, NC
604. Alan Verm	1621 Olmsted Drive, Asheville, NC
605. James C Graham	710 Topaz Court, Seneca, SC
606. Melodie A Graham	710 Topaz Court, Seneca, SC
607. Mary Neubeck	have e-mail, address TBD
608. Cliff Boeckman	7 Beacon Drive, Salem, SC
609. Richard Webster	225 Nightcap Lane, Salem, SC
610. Raylene Webster	225 Nightcap Lane, Salem, SC
611. Samuel Cozzens	3 Sailmaker Court, Salem, SC
612. Margaret Cozzins	3 Sailmaker Court, Salem, SC
613. Amory Cone	106 Ladybank Court, Easley, SC
614. Stephen C Gage	500 Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
615. Carla Morris Gage	500 Beacon Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
616. Jim Brooks	have e-mail, address TBD
617. Anne Brooks	have e-mail, address TBD
618. Don Seitz	1667 W Little River Drive, Seneca, SC
619. Anita Seitz	1667 W Little River Drive, Seneca, SC
620. Herbert Webb	25 Lighthouse Way, Salem, SC
621. Barbara Webb	25 Lighthouse Way, Salem, SC
622. Keith Noblitt	122 S Oak Pointe Drive, Seneca, SC
623. Beverly Noblitt	122 S Oak Pointe Drive, Seneca, SC
624. Drake Hawkins	12 Skipper Lane, Salem, SC
625. JoAnn Hawkins	12 Skipper Lane, Salem, SC
626. Thomas Gardner	1622 W Little River Drive, Seneca, SC
627. Phyllis Gardner	1622 W Little River Drive, Seneca, SC
628. Rhett Inabinet	407 Deerfield Drive, Greer, SC
629. Bebe Inabinet	407 Deerfield Drive, Greer, SC
630. Judith J Simpson	48 Lighthouse Way, Salem, SC
631. Robert Otto	665 Crystal Cove Trail, Salem, SC
632. Charlotte Otto	665 Crystal Cove Trail, Salem, SC
633. Shirley Parke	165 Ridge Top Lane, Salem, SC
634. David Tomkins	212 Bentwood Way, Salem, SC
635. Mark Ross	134 Bright Water Trail, Six Mile, SC
636. Thomas L Kennedy	23318 White Harbour Road, Seneca, SC
637. Jeanne G Kennedy	23318 White Harbour Road, Seneca, SC
638. S Mark Davis	8102 Clearwater Crossing, Humble, TX
639. Bonita Davis	8102 Clearwater Crossing, Humble, TX
640. Frank Baynham	704 Picture Point Way, Salem, SC
641. Marian Baynham	704 Picture Point Way, Salem, SC
642. James A Zwahlen	114 Brightwater Trail, Six Mile, SC
643. Beverly D Zwahlen	114 Brightwater Trail, Six Mile, SC
644. Robert Bailey	3 Shiplight Court, Salem, SC
645. Charlotte J Bailey	3 Shiplight Court, Salem, SC
646. Charles R Stubler	103 Kanewood Trail, Salem, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

647. Rebecca J Stubler	103 Kanewood Trail, Salem, SC
648. Jamie L Stubler	103 Kanewood Trail, Salem, SC
649. Rick Dyer	404 Moonlit Trail, Salem, SC
650. Judy Dyer	404 Moonlit Trail, Salem, SC
651. Eric R Dyer	404 Moonlit Trail, Salem, SC
652. Robert W Barth	503 Driftwood Court, Salem, SC
653. Nancy H Green	503 Driftwood Court, Salem, SC
654. Chris Curtis	146 Blue Water Trail, Salem, SC
655. Karin Curtis	146 Blue Water Trail, Salem, SC
656. Lyn Puskas	7012 Riverview Blvd, Bradenton, FL
657. Richard Puskas	7012 Riverview Blvd, Bradenton, FL
658. Roger Woodruff	166 Ridge Top Lane, Salem, SC
659. Steven Mendenhall	812 Rockcrest Way, Salem, SC
660. Sue Mendenhall	812 Rockcrest Way, Salem, SC
661. Billy Smith	Keowee North Marine, Salem, SC
662. Cindy Campbell	924 Firerock Court, Salem, SC
663. James Campbell	924 Firerock Court, Salem, SC
664. Bill Purser	621 Riverbirch Way, Salem
665. Foster M Johns	621 Riverbirch Way, Salem
666. Edward Sproat	160 Top Ridge Lane, Salem, SC
667. Sandra Sproat	160 Top Ridge Lane, Salem, SC
668. Michael Mancellot	136 Blue Water Trail, Salem, SC
669. Joan Lancellot	136 Blue Water Trail, Salem, SC
670. Bradley Wind	101 Mountain Shore Trail, Six Mile, SC
671. Rosemarie Wind	101 Mountain Shore Trail, Six Mile, SC
672. John Stonebraker	410 Ivorybill Way, Salem, SC
673. Janelle Stonebraker	410 Ivorybill Way, Salem, SC
674. James Wolf	435 Shimmering Water Lane, Salem, SC
675. Lisa Garrett	576 Shooting Tree Way, Salem, SC
676. Seth Garrett	576 Shooting Tree Way, Salem, SC
677. Edwin Holman	317 Eagles Bend Trail, Salem, SC
678. Brenda Holman	317 Eagles Bend Trail, Salem, SC
679. Stacy Dey	137 Creekshore Drive, Salem, SC
680. Jan Skolnik	3 Straits Court, Salem, SC
681. Jane Skolnik	3 Straits Court, Salem, SC
682. Ann Souders	4 Beacon Drive, Salem, SC
683. Bill Souders	4 Beacon Drive, Salem, SC
684. Jahon Mack	818 Rockcrest Way, Salem, SC
685. Mary K Bowser	818 Rockcrest Way, Salem, SC
686. Theron Wiggins	702 Misty Dawn Court, Salem, SC
687. Cheryl Wiggins	702 Misty Dawn Court, Salem, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

688. Leigh Kelln	324 Long Cove Trrail, Salem, SC
689. Michael Mazar	324 Long Cove Trrail, Salem, SC
690. Mike Elrod	286 Jocassee Camp Road, Salem, SC
691. Joyce Mocek	286 Jocassee Camp Road, Salem, SC
692. Wayne Anderson	354 Long Cove Trail, Salem, SC
693. Mary Anderson	354 Long Cove Trail, Salem, SC
694. Al Thomas	121130 Camp Jocassee Point, Salem, SC
695. Jeff Rhodenbaugh	5 Beacon Drive, Salem, SC
696. S. Rhodenbaugh	5 Beacon Drive, Salem, SC
697. Billy Sheffield	565 River Moorings Drive, Merritt Island, FL
698. Sally Sheffield	565 River Moorings Drive, Merritt Island, FL
699. Charles Myers	140 Stone Cove Road, Sunset, SC
700. Beth Myers	140 Stone Cove Road, Sunset, SC
701. Lydia B Osterman	523 Beacons Shores Drive, Seneca, SC
702. Don McIver	311 Knollwood Drive, Salem, SC
703. Judy McIver	311 Knollwood Drive, Salem, SC
704. Ken Condra	300 Two Brooks Trail, Fletcher, NC
705. Kellie Condra	300 Two Brooks Trail, Fletcher, NC
706. Richard Nilsson	130 Blue Water Trail, Salem, SC
707. Elizabeth Nilsson	130 Blue Water Trail, Salem, SC
708. Sean McEnroe	532 Twin Drive, Spartanburg, SC
709. Gretchen McEnroe	532 Twin Drive, Spartanburg, SC
710. David Nestler	610 Sweet Fern Trail, Salem, SC
711. Julie Nestler	610 Sweet Fern Trail, Salem, SC
712. Kathleen Beauchamp	307 Broadleaf Court, Salem, SC
713. Ron Newitt	308 Calm Water Court, Salem, SC
714. Jean Newitt	308 Calm Water Court, Salem, SC
715. John D Cole	12 Spy Glass Lane, Salem, SC
716. Mary E Cole	12 Spy Glass Lane, Salem, SC
717. Mike Vassallo	636 Pine Harbor Way, Salem, SC
718. Joyce Vassallo	636 Pine Harbor Way, Salem, SC
719. Dale Spiess	602 Majesty Court, Salem, SC
720. Carol Spiess	602 Majesty Court, Salem, SC
721. Steve Nesbitt	305A North Coves Drive, Salem, SC
722. Jim Jacques	756 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
723. Pat Jacques	756 Navigators Pointe, Seneca, SC
724. Noel Clarkson	have e-mail, address TBD
725. Richard Jones	Lot 54 Reserve at Lake Keowee, Sunset, SC
726. MaryLou VanDervoot	6 Dinghy Court, Salem, SC
727. Steve Berg	238 Long Ridge, Sunset, SC
728. Jeffry A Hill	Village Pointe #9 RLK, Sunset, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

729. Patrick Jones	110 North Cove Drive, Sunset, SC
730. Diane Jones	110 North Cove Drive, Sunset, SC
731. Jay Nexen	820 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
732. Cindy Nexen	820 Top Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
733. Chris Holloway	149 Parkview Lane, Sunset, SC
734. Shelley Holloway	149 Parkview Lane, Sunset, SC
735. Mark Williams	108 Ellenburg Circle, Sunset, SC
736. Julie Williams	108 Ellenburg Circle, Sunset, SC
737. Todd Nocerini	108 Cedar Cove, sunset, SC
738. Karen McNatt	3887 Fairfax Court, Atlanta, GA
739. Jim McNatt	3887 Fairfax Court, Atlanta, GA
740. Kimberly James	421 W Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
741. Ian James	421 W Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
742. David Boulter	Lot G8 Wedge Court, Sunset, SC
743. Jacqui Boulter	Lot G8 Wedge Court, Sunset, SC
744. Terry Anson	1528 Glorietta Blvd, Coronado, CA
745. Todd Anson	1528 Glorietta Blvd, Coronado, CA
746. Mark Shafer	110 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
747. Karen Shafer	110 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
748. Kyle Morris	200 Saranac, Sunset, SC
749. Ellen Morris	200 Saranac, Sunset, SC
750. Sharon Margiotta	605 Restful Lane, Seneca, SC
751. David Margiotta	605 Restful Lane, Seneca, SC
752. Kathy Theisen	305 E Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
753. Norbert Theisen	305 E Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
754. Bill Knight	113 North Lawn, Sunset, SC
755. Margaret Knight	113 North Lawn, Sunset, SC
756. Ken Talongo	262 Long Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
757. Catherine Tralongo	262 Long Ridge Drive, Sunset, SC
758. Cengiz Kurku	Lot G18 RLK, Sunset, SC
759. Karen Kurku	Lot G18 RLK, Sunset, SC
760. Bradley Kendall	108 Wyndmere Court, Seneca, SC
761. Martha Kendall	108 Wyndmere Court, Seneca, SC
762. Ron Cruz	134 N Lake Drive, Sunset, SC
763. Caroline Cruz	134 N Lake Drive, Sunset, SC
764. Dave Caruso	712 Keowee Avenue, Sunset, SC
765. Mary Beth Caruso	712 Keowee Avenue, Sunset, SC
766. Charles Sullivan	103 Crane-fly Way, Sunset, SC
767. Betty Sullivan	103 Crane-fly Way, Sunset, SC
768. Jeff Li	201 Wynmere Way Seneca, SC
769. Lilyan Li	201 Wynmere Way Seneca, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

770. Peter Li	201 Wynmere Way Seneca, SC
771. Jessica Li	201 Wynmere Way Seneca, SC
772. Keith Thompson	103 Wynmere Way, Seneca, SC
773. Susan Thompson	103 Wynmere Way, Seneca, SC
774. Brian Thompson	103 Wynmere Way, Seneca, SC
775. Michael Grace	302 Cool Water Way, Sunset, SC
776. Leslie Grace	302 Cool Water Way, Sunset, SC
777. Charles Croom	512 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
778. Gayle Croom	512 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
779. Wendy Mathews	511 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
780. Greg Mathews	511 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
781. Billy Farina	310 E Fort George Point, Sunset, SC
782. Susan Farina	310 E Fort George Point, Sunset,
783. Martha Summers	225 Crooked Rock Lane, Seneca, SC
784. Scott Summers	225 Crooked Rock Lane, Seneca, SC
785. John Tollenaere	130 South Oak Pointe Drive, Seneca, SC
786. VJ Wigington	130 South Oak Pointe Drive, Seneca,
787. David Woodward	3852 Walhalla Hwy, Six Mile, SC
788. Harriett Woodward	3852 Walhalla Hwy, Six Mile, SC
789. Chris Vance	Lot 74 RLK, Sunset, SC
790. Karen Vance	Lot 74 RLK, Sunset, SC
791. Frank Simon	217 Featherstone Dr, Sunset, SC
792. Barbara Simon	217 Featherstone Dr, Sunset, SC
793. Warren Barhorst	121 Guest House Cottage, Sunset, SC
794. Lisa Barhorst	121 Guest House Cottage, Sunset, SC
795. David Wyman	108 Dogwood Terrace, Clemson, SC
796. Elaine Worzola	108 Dogwood Terrace, Clemson, SC
797. Kenneth Kunz	805 Sandy Plains Road, Marietta, GA
798. Ed Card	Keowee Harbors
799. Jim Hannon	205 E Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
800. Jan Hannon	205 E Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
801. Jim Canfield	have e-mail, address TBD
802. Cliff Roy	446 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
803. Jane Roy	446 Augusta Way, Sunset, SC
804. Noel Clarkson	508 Birchbark Court, Seneca, SC
805. Marc Bowers	Lot 18 RLK, Sunset, SC
806. Margot Bowers	Lot 18 RLK, Sunset, SC
807. Sue Peters	120 South Lawn Drive, Sunset, SC
808. Ross Russo	120 South Lawn Drive, Sunset, SC
809. Steven Verney	506 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC
810. Joanne Verney	506 Palmer Way, Sunset, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

811. Mark Torres	have e-mail, address TBD
812. Christina Torres	have e-mail, address TBD
813. Kenneth Vick	105 Wynwood Court, Seneca, SC
814. Carole Vick	105 Wynwood Court, Seneca, SC
815. Karl Meurlot	2333 Florian Ave, Decatur, IL
816. Michael C Camp	1070 Sallies View, Greensboro, GA
817. Rob Chapman	543 Otis Blvd, Spartanburg, SC
818. Todd Kimmelman	428 Pileated Woodpecker Way, Sunset, SC
819. Leslie Kimmelman	428 Pileated Woodpecker Way, Sunset, SC
820. Terry Towle	328 Hatteras Ridge, Six Mile, SC
821. Harry Dietrich	901 Southwind Court, Seneca, SC
822. Barbara Dietrich	901 Southwind Court, Seneca, SC
823. Brad B Smith	8 Parsons Glen Court, Greenville, SC
824. Paul T Shaw	110 Sundrop Court, Sunset, SC
825. Precia Shaw	110 Sundrop Court, Sunset, SC
826. John Berkheiser	have e-mail, address TBD
827. Barbara Berkheiser	have e-mail, address TBD
828. Dennis James	116 Crest Point Drive, Seneca, SC
829. Robert R Kaiser	38 Iron Clad Drive, Salem, SC
830. Caryl M Kaiser	38 Iron Clad Drive, Salem, SC
831. James Whitely	309 E Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
832. Jessica Whitely	309 E Fort George Way, Sunset, SC
833. Al Reichow	C20 Prince Lane, Sunset, SC
834. Deb Reichow	C20 Prince Lane, Sunset, SC
835. John Hayde	219 Cedar Creek Court, Sunset, SC
836. Liz Hayde	219 Cedar Creek Court, Sunset, SC
837. Bill Bowen	137 Marshall Bridge Drive, Greenville, SC
838. David W Holmes	712 N Main Street, Greenville, SC
839. Scott Grant	have e-mail, address TBD
840. Kim Grant	have e-mail, address TBD
841. Ruth Walker	128 South Oak Pointe Drive, Seneca, SC
842. Rick Hamilton	105 Wyndmere Way, Seneca, SC
843. Lyn Hamilton	105 Wyndmere Way, Seneca, SC
844. Tracy Nolan	RLK, Sunset, SC
845. Chris Nolan	RLK, Sunset, SC

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

- Terry Siskowski
 William Kungu
 (James) Neff
 Cynthia Hansen
 Phillip Crater
 Dan Eads
 Erik Spragus
 Leslie Vengio
 Sue Williams
 Craig Williams
 David Smith
 George R. Hockema
 Carl Woz
 John Woz
 Joseph Sullivan
 Bill Lindner
 Dan McGee
 Al Eskridge
 Luis Leon
 Connie Leon
 Michael Martin
 Tom Shuler
 Roger Wallace
 Patricia Abram
 Jim Henderson
 Al Thomas
 Art Rouse
 William Brown
 Karen Alkerlak
 Robert Alkerlak
 Todd Harper
 Deborah K. Harper
 Owen Jones
 Arthur R. Rayer
 Mike Hahn
 (36) Hager
 (36) Hager

210 Rocky Shore Dr. S. Long
 202 PINECROFT CT. SENECA SC 29672
 320 AMETHYST WAY SENECA 29672
 300 Amethyst Way SENECA 29672
 216 Fisherman's Dr. SENECA 29672
 216 Fisherman's Dr. SENECA 29672
 219 S. SENECA SC 29672
 111 SHIPMASTER DR. SALEM SC 29676
 111 Shipmaster Dr. Salem, SC 29676
 303 ISLAND DR SIX MILE SC 29682
 303 Island Dr. SIX MILE SC 29682
 908 LAKESIDE DR. SC 29672
 304 CROSSOVER DR. SENECA SC 29672
 140 Sunbelt Tr. SENECA 29682
 328 N. Summit Dr. SENECA 29672
 342 N. Summit Dr. SENECA 29672
 328 FERNWOOD DR. SALEM 29676
 47 HIGHTWAY LOOP
 324 Fernwood Dr. - Salem SC 29676
 104 Crooked Stick Ct. Summit, SC
 104 Crooked Stick Ct. Summit, SC
 606 Keowee Bay Circle Salem SC
 703 SENECA CT. SENECA 29676
 604 White Oak Farms Rd. Tumbler
 150 Mirror Lake Way, Six Mile 29686
 14 BOATSWAIN WAY SALEM 29676
 102 S. COGES DR. SENECA 29676
 294 DORSET SPRINGS 29676
 716 Clearlake Pointe 29670
 141 ABACO LN SENECA 29672
 210 BIRCH DR. SENECA, SC 29672
 210 BIRCH DR. SENECA, SC 29672
 133 ABACO LN SENECA 29672
 312 EAGLES FARM TRAIL SALEM 29676
 118 Windward Pt. Dr. Salem 29676
 233 Oak Stone Dr. West Union
 135 RIVER RIDGE RD. SUNSET
 318 Oak Leaf Court, SENECA 29672
 318 Oak Leaf Court, SENECA, SC 29672

PETITION REQUESTING THAT DUKE ENERGY REMOVE FROM ITS KEOWEE-TOXAWAY RELICENSING APPLICATION THE PROVISION ALLOWING DUKE TO LOWER LAKE KEOWEE TO 790 FT. AMSL

IVAN STUBBS
 DUNCAN BRIDGES
 Chuck & Marie Harlow
 MARK FLAVIN
 GLEN BETH BRANWORTH
 JAY HALL
 JESSE HALL
 Patti Carson
 Mary Ann
 Carol L. L. L.
 Emily L. L. L.
 Margaret L. L. L.
 Delores L. L. L.
 Chas. L. L. L.
 Marilyn L. L. L.
 Robert L. L. L.
 James L. L. L.
 Robert Curtis
 Greg L. L. L.
 GARY SARECOO
 JEFF BARTON
 K. Vandenberg
 DIANNE & SUSAN WSKI
 Ralph Odman
 Gary Odman
 24 David Vandepotte

607 English Oak Ln. Siler
 161 Oak Ridge Ln. Siler
 135 Alamo Ln. Seneca 29671
 4 Point North Dr. Siler SC 29676
 165 JOHNSON PT. Rd. Siler, SC 29676
 108 WOODS Ridge Siler
 106 Lark Ridge Siler
 108 WYNWARD Pointe Dr. Siler SC
 108 WYNWARD Pt. Dr. Siler SC 29671
 110 1701/1st St. Siler
 110 Bag Lane Dr. Siler
 29 Tickle Lagoon Siler
 110 S. 3rd St. Siler SC 29678
 536 SHOOTING TR. WAY Siler SC 29676
 536 SHOOTING TR. WAY Siler SC 29676
 112 DUNDON Way Siler, SC
 102 Pine Ridge Dr. Siler
 363 Stardust Lane Siler, SC 29672
 303 S. 1st St. Siler SC 29678
 718 Oak Ridge Pt. Siler, SC 29671
 110 E. WATERFORD DR. Siler, SC 29672
 405. Riverstone Siler SC 29671
 210 Lark Springs Dr. Siler, SC 29671
 107 Red Bickley Tr. Siler SC 29671
 107 Red Buckeye Tr. Siler, SC
 604 Riverbank Ct. Siler

845 + 63 = 908 TO DATE
 12/4/12

847.
 848.
 849.
 850.
 851.
 852.
 853.
 854.
 855.
 856.
 857.
 858.
 859.
 860.
 861.
 862.
 863.
 864.
 865.
 866.
 867.
 868.

Lex Cole
Executive Director

Resource Family Program

Resource Families

As defined in this solicitation, the term “resource family” refers to families that provide temporary care that preserve and support family connections, which are critical to ensuring and enhancing the safety, permanency, and well-being of children involved in the child welfare system. In their role as providers of safe, temporary care, resource families are more involved with both the birth family and the case planning for the children in their care than what is traditionally thought of as the role of a foster family.


Resource families, along with many other duties, are responsible for:

- Caring for and nurturing children in foster care until they can be reunited with their parents;
- Working as reunification partners with birth families (i.e., engaging in shared parenting and maintaining connections);
- Serving as members of the team: working closely with SCDSS to ensure child safety, well-being, and permanence; and
- Becoming an alternative family resource for the child, if reunification isn't possible (i.e., engaging in concurrent planning)

The Triangle of Support

Together with SCDSS and the birth family, resource families provide the critical third leg to the ‘triangle of support’ for children in out-of-home care. The triangle of support is the relationship between the birth family, the resource family, and the child welfare worker that surrounds the child and works toward the best interest of the child, including positive permanency.

The triangle of support is a relatively new concept and in many ways it redefines the role and responsibilities of a traditional foster parent/family. Outside of extremely extraordinary circumstances, gone are the days



where a child is removed from the parent's custody and placed in a foster home in a location unknown to the parents; where birth families are not allowed to have contact with the child in the foster home; and where the foster family only provides for the physical needs and placement of the child. Instead, in the resource family model, the resource family is willing and trained to work with the birth family and the child welfare worker to support the reunification process. When reunification with the birth family is not the permanency plan, the resource family is the family resource for the child until permanency is achieved, and may themselves become the child's forever family.

There is a great deal of professional literature, as well as internet chat-room and blogs, about the torn loyalties children face when they feel that they have to make a choice between their birth families and their foster (resource) families. A meaningful relationship between the two (2) families can help minimize the child's belief that they must make that choice. A strong birth/resource family relationship can also lessen the defensiveness a birth family feels about another family caring for their child, and dispel negative beliefs, biases, and even fears that the resource family might have about the birth family. Together, with the support and involvement of the child welfare worker, the triangle of support builds a dynamic alliance among those who care for and are important in the child's life: their birth parents, the resource family, and their caseworker.

The triangle of support can be a powerful instrument in achieving child permanency, safety, and well being; however, it is not without its challenges. Creating a strong triangle of support often requires some or all of the parties involved to adopt new attitudes and practices for working together. Resource families must be willing to take on the responsibility of serving as mentors and role models to birth families. Fears and tensions that naturally occur between the birth family and the resource family must be addressed openly and honestly. The child welfare worker must open their minds to trusting the resource family as part of the professional team.

Resource Family Program

**Have you ever considered taking care
Of a child in Foster Care?**

***You can help keep brothers and sisters together
***Become part of a team and support efforts to return
children to their biological family.

"Make a difference in the life of a child"

Become a Resource Foster Parent!!

**Contact the SC Youth Advocate Program-
Resource Family Program today to learn more.**

864-312-6700 or 1-888-413-2588

Like Us on Facebook!



A partnership with the South Carolina
Department of Social Services

DSS

and

*Miracle Hill Ministries, Connie Maxwell Children's Home
& Heartfelt Calling, a Program of the SC Foster Parent Association*

Media Release

Resource Family Program

SCYAP

Current Situation

Currently there are nearly 1000 children in DSS foster care across 10 Upstate counties. There are currently less than 500 licensed resource foster homes in those same 10 counties. There is an obvious deficit of homes available to place children in the Upstate. This decreases the number of children who can remain in their community to continue family connections and support as well as attend their home school and place of worship if applicable. This often delays permanence for children.

Background

The South Carolina Youth Advocate Program (SCYAP)-Resource Family Program, is a private not for profit organization providing services to children and families in South Carolina for over 25 years. SCYAP is working in partnership with the SC Department of Social Services to recruit, license, re-license, and support foster resource parents in 10 Upstate counties in South Carolina. Additionally, SCYAP will facilitate placement options for DSS when children enter DSS care. SCYAP provides support to foster resource families through a variety of means; 24 hour crisis line manned by trained mental health professionals, license coordination and support by foster care professionals. SCYAP partners with the SC Foster Parents Association to recruit new resource foster families through the FPA's Heartfelt Calling Program. Additionally, SCFPA provides pre-service training as well as on-going training for all resource foster families. SCYAP also partners with Miracle Hill Ministries and Connie Maxwell Children's Home for families who are interested in a faith-based approach to fostering.

Call for Action

There is an urgent need for loving, caring individuals who see the needs of children in their community, have the passion to respond to that need, and are committed to making life better for those children. Our goal is to increase the number of resource foster families in the Upstate and support children and youth in need of temporary out of home placement. The push starts here and does not stop until children are matched with a family willing to share their heart, their home and their time with a child/youth in need.

Recruiter- Betsy Gray-Manning (C) 864-357-9021

Director- Larry Cannon (O) 864-312-6700

Mailing Address: 150 Executive Center Dr. Box 67 Greenville, SC 29615

Physical Address: 300 Executive Center Dr. Suite 100 Greenville, SC 29615

***Please add the following events to your Community Calendar. We would love to speak to someone about an interview with a Foster Family and/or staff to discuss the program and the need in the different counties.**

Upcoming Events: Information Meeting- Families can learn about the changes in recruitment, the need in each area and how to take the next step to help meet the need. License Coordinators and other YAP staff will be at each meeting to help start the process of becoming a Resource Foster Family. There will be foster parents at the information meeting to answer questions and share their experience as well.

St. Paul's Lutheran Church-1600 W Floyd Baker Blvd Gaffney SC

Date: October 18, 2012

Time: 6:00 pm

Newberry School District- Principal's Meeting

Date: October 23, 2012

Time: 9:00 am

Faith Community Round Table- Embassy Suites Greenville

Date: October, 25, 2012

Time: 8:00 am


UNA Fire Department Annual Community Day

1025 Hayne St. Una Fire Dept.- Spartanburg

October 20, 2012

Because You Can

Why Should you Foster?



- Keep brothers and sisters together.
- Help young teens find lasting connections.
- Improve a child's chances of positive out-comes once returned to birth families.
- You just don't help a child/children, you help a family.

We *all* need someone to share our life, dreams, achievements and the holidays with.

**Make a difference in the life of a child.
Become a Resource Foster parent.**

For more information about *fostering*, contact the SC Youth Advocate Program-
Resource Family Program@
864-312-6700 or 1-888-413-2588



A partnership with the South Carolina Department of Social Services, Miracle Hill Ministries, Connie Maxwell Children's Home and Heartfelt Calling, a Program of the SC Foster Parent Association.



What is a Resource Family?

The term Resource Family refers to families that provide temporary care that preserve and support family connections, which are critical to ensuring and enhancing the safety, permanency and well being of children involved in the child welfare system. In



their role as providers of safe, temporary care, resource families are more involved with both the birth family and the case planning for the children in their care than what is traditionally thought of as the role of a foster family.

Role of Resource Family, with many other duties:

- Caring for and nurturing children in foster care until they can be reunited with their parents;
- Working as reunification partners with birth families (i.e. engaging in shared parenting and maintaining connections);
- Serving as members of the team; working closely with SCDSS to ensure child safety, well-being, and permanence; and
- Becoming an alternative family resource for the child, if reunification isn't possible.

The Approach

The Triangle of Support

The resource families, birth family and SCDSS make up the "triangle of support" for children that need temporary out of home placement. The triangle of support is the relationship between the birth family, the resource family, and the child welfare worker that surrounds the child and works toward the best interest of the child, including positive permanency.

The resource family is trained and willing to work with the birth family. This model allows for the resource family to work with the birth families as well as the child welfare worker to ensure the best outcomes for children in care.

What you can do to get Involved

- Become a Resource Foster Family
- Provide services for resource families
- Teach and help children and youth
- Help an agency
- Help birth families
- Donate goods



The Supports



- Regular visits from a License Coordinator
- On-going training to retain certification
- Local Foster Parent Association affiliation
- 24 hour crisis line
- Mentor Program for new Resource Foster Families

Contact Us At:

Mailing: 150 Executive Center Drive.
Box 67

Greenville, SC 29615

Phone: 864-312-6700

Fax: 864-279-6417

E-mail: rfadmin@scyap.com

What is a Resource Family?

The term Resource Family refers to families that provide temporary care that preserve and support family connections, which are critical to ensuring and enhancing the safety, permanency and well being of children involved in the child welfare system. In their role as providers of safe, temporary care, resource families are more involved with both the birth family and the case planning for the children in their care than what is traditionally thought of as the role of a foster family.



Role of Resource Family, with many other duties:

- Caring for and nurturing children in foster care until they can be reunited with their parents;
- Working as reunification partners with birth families (i.e. engaging in shared parenting and maintaining connections);
- Serving as members of the team; working closely with SCDSS to ensure child safety, well-being, and permanence; and
- Becoming an alternative family resource for the child, if reunification isn't possible.

The Approach

The Triangle of Support

The resource families, birth family and SCDSS make up the "triangle of support" for children that need temporary out of home placement. The triangle of support is the relationship between the birth family, the resource family, and the child welfare worker that surrounds the child and works toward the best interest of the child, including positive permanency.

The resource family is trained and willing to work with the birth family. This model allows for the resource family to work with the birth families as well as the child welfare worker to ensure the best outcomes for children in care.

What you can do to get involved

- Become a Resource Foster Family
- Provide services for resource families
- Teach and help children and youth
- Help an agency
- Help birth families
- Donate goods



The Supports



- Regular visits from a License Coordinator
- On-going training to retain certification
- Local Foster Parent Association affiliation
- 24 hour crisis line
- Mentor Program for new Resource Foster Families

Contact Us At:

Mailing: 150 Executive Center Drive.
Box 67
Greenville, SC 29615
Phone: 864-312-6700
Fax: 864-279-6417
E-mail: rfadmin@scycap.com

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF OCONEE
ORDINANCE 2012-33**

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE FISCAL YEAR 2012-2013 BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS ORDINANCE FOR OCONEE COUNTY IN CERTAIN LIMITED REGARDS AND PARTICULARS, ONLY; AND OTHER MATTERS RELATED THERETO

BE IT ORDAINED, by the County Council for Oconee County, South Carolina, in meeting duly assembled, that:

SECTION I:

“AN ORDINANCE TO ESTABLISH THE BUDGET FOR OCONEE COUNTY AND TO PROVIDE FOR THE LEVY OF TAXES FOR ORDINARY COUNTY PURPOSES IN OCONEE COUNTY FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2012 AND ENDING JUNE 30, 2013”, Ordinance 2012-01, is hereby amended and modified to:

- 1) Provide for an increase in the amount of \$300,000, in the Aeronautics expenditure of Jet Fuel, noted as line item number 010-720-40990-00000 and a corresponding increase in the Jet Fuel revenue, noted as line item 010-080-00805-10990.
- 2) Provide for an increase in the amount of \$50,000 in the Aeronautics expenditure of AV Gas, noted in line item number 010-720-40980-00000 and a corresponding increase in the AV Gas revenue, noted as line item 010-080-00805-10980.

SECTION II:

The 2012-2013 Oconee County Budget is hereby amended by adding the following, for the aforesated purposes:

General Fund Revenues and Funding Sources

Jet Fuel Revenue Increase	\$300,000
AV Gas Revenue Increase	\$50,000

General Fund Appropriations

Jet Fuel Expenditure Increase	\$300,000
AV Gas Revenue Increase	\$50,000

SECTION III:

In the aggregate, the adopted fiscal year 2012-2013 budget, prior to these amendments stands at:

General Fund	\$ 43,179,332
--------------	---------------

As so amended, herein, the new amended budget will be:

General Fund	\$ 43,529,332
--------------	---------------

SECTION IV:

Except as specifically modified, amended or deleted herein, all appropriations of funds created by the "AN ORDINANCE TO ESTABLISH THE BUDGET FOR OCONEE COUNTY AND TO PROVIDE FOR THE LEVY OF TAXES FOR ORDINARY COUNTY PURPOSES IN OCONEE COUNTY FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2012 AND ENDING JUNE 30, 2013", Ordinance 2012-33, are hereby ratified and shall remain in full force and effect as originally adopted. All other sections of Ordinance 2012-01 not modified, directly or by implication shall likewise remain in full force and effect. This ordinance shall take effect immediately on approval on third reading. All ordinances and resolutions inconsistent herewith are, to the extent of such inconsistency only, hereby revoked, repealed, and rescinded.

Passed and approved this day, _____, of _____ 2012.

OCONEE COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA

Joel Thrift, Council Chairman
Oconee County, South Carolina

Attest:

Elizabeth G. Hulse
Clerk to Council

First Reading:	November 20, 2012
Second Reading:	December 4, 2012
Public Hearing:	December 18, 2012 [scheduled]
Third & Final Reading:	December 18, 2012 [scheduled]

**AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY
OCONEE COUNTY, SC**

**COUNCIL MEETING DATE: Dec. 4, 2012
COUNCIL MEETING TIME: 6:00 PM**

ITEM TITLE [Brief Statement]:

First Reading in Title Only: Ordinance 2012-37 "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF A FEE IN LIEU OF TAX AGREEMENT BETWEEN OCONEE COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA AND A.I.D. COMPANY; AND OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, PAYMENT OF A FEE IN LIEU OF TAXES RELATED TO THE PROJECT"

BACKGROUND DESCRIPTION:

This ordinance is related to the fee-in-lieu-of-taxes agreement (FILOT) offered as part of the incentive package to A.I.D. Company for their decision to locate within Oconee County. Initiation of this ordinance is due to it being related to the incentives offered between the company and the county.

This is a standard FILOT agreement and process.

SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS OR CONCERNS [only if applicable]:

n/a

FINANCIAL IMPACT [Brief Statement]:

_____ Check Here if Item Previously approved in the Budget. No additional information required.

Approved by: _____ **Finance**

COMPLETE THIS PORTION FOR ALL GRANT REQUESTS:

Are Matching Funds Available: Yes / No

If yes, who is matching and how much:

Approved by: _____ **Grants**

ATTACHMENTS

n/a


STAFF RECOMMENDATION [Brief Statement]:

It is the staff's recommendation that Council approve Ordinance 2012-37 on first reading in title only.

Submitted or Prepared By:

Department Head/Elected Official

Approved for Submittal to Council:



T. Scott Moulder, County Administrator

Council has directed that they receive their agenda packages a week prior to each Council meeting, therefore, Agenda Items Summaries must be submitted to the Administrator for his review/approval no later than 12 days prior to each Council meeting. It is the Department Head / Elected Officials responsibility to ensure that all approvals are obtained prior to submission to the Administrator for inclusion on an agenda.

A calendar with due dates marked may be obtained from the Clerk to Council.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
OCONEE COUNTY
ORDINANCE 2012-38

AUTHORIZING THE SALE, BY OCONEE COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA (THE "COUNTY"), OF CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY AND IMPROVEMENTS THEREON (THE "PROPERTY"), AND THE GRANT BY THE COUNTY OF CERTAIN EASEMENTS AFFECTING REAL PROPERTY, OWNED BY THE COUNTY AND LOCATED IN THE CITY OF WALHALLA, SOUTH CAROLINA (THE "CITY"), TO OCONEE COURTHOUSE VENTURES, LLC (THE "COMPANY"), ALL PURSUANT TO AN AGREEMENT FOR THE PURCHASE AND SALE OF REAL PROPERTY TO BE ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE COMPANY; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ONE OR MORE INCENTIVE AGREEMENTS BY AND BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE COMPANY FOR THE PURPOSES OF MAKING AVAILABLE TO THE COMPANY CERTAIN PROJECT INFRASTRUCTURE GRANT FUNDS, INCLUDING THE PROPERTY AND THE PROJECT IN A MULTI-COUNTY BUSINESS OR INDUSTRIAL PARK (THE "PARK"), AND MAKING AVAILABLE TO THE COMPANY CERTAIN SPECIAL SOURCE REVENUE CREDITS; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF AN AGREEMENT FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE PARK WITH ONE OR MORE ADJOINING COUNTIES FOR THE PURPOSE OF INCLUDING THE PROPERTY AND THE PROJECT WITHIN THE PARK; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF AN INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE CITY RELATING TO THE INCLUSION OF THE PROPERTY AND THE PROJECT IN THE PARK AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF PARK REVENUES; AUTHORIZING, RATIFYING AND AFFIRMING ALL PRIOR ACTS OF OCONEE COUNTY AND ITS OFFICERS AND ELECTED OFFICIALS WITH REGARD TO THE FOREGOING; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ANY AND ALL DOCUMENTS, INSTRUMENTS OR CERTIFICATES NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE TO ACCOMPLISH THE FOREGOING; AND OTHER MATTERS RELATED THERETO.

Enacted: _____, 2013

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
OCONEE COUNTY
RESOLUTION R2012-18**

INDUCEMENT RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Oconee County, South Carolina (the "County"), acting by and through its County Council (the "Council"), is authorized and empowered under and pursuant to the provisions of Title 12, Chapter 44 Code of Laws of South Carolina, 1976, as amended (the "FILOT Statute"), to enter into agreements with any industry whereby the industry would pay fees-in-lieu-of taxes with respect to qualified projects; through which powers the development of the State of South Carolina (the "State") will be promoted and trade developed by inducing manufacturing and commercial enterprises to locate or remain in the State and thus utilize and employ the manpower, products and resources of the State and benefit the general public welfare of the County by providing services, employment, recreation or other public benefits not otherwise provided locally;

WHEREAS, the County is recruiting an investment in the County by AID Company LLC (the "Company"), in the form of a new facility for the manufacturing of bearings and rods for the aerospace industry in the County (the "Project");

WHEREAS, the Council, in order to induce the Company to locate the Project in the County, has committed to the Company that the Council will take certain actions and provide certain incentives, including entering into fee-in-lieu of taxes ("FILOT") agreement and, upon the agreement of an adjoining county, the establishment of the site of the project as a multi-county industrial/business park, which incentives provide certain benefits to the Company, if the Company locates the Project in the County;

WHEREAS, it is anticipated that the Project will represent an investment of not less than \$7 million in the County (without regard to whether some or all of the investment is included in a FILOT arrangement) and will result in the creation of approximately seventy-five (75) new, full-time jobs;

WHEREAS, the County has determined and found after considering all relevant factors and criteria as prescribed by law (with assistance, to the extent needed, from the South Carolina Department of Revenue and/or Board of Economic Advisors) that the Project is anticipated to benefit the general public welfare of the County by providing services, employment, recreation or other public benefits not otherwise provided locally; that the Project gives rise to no pecuniary liability of the County or any incorporated municipality or a charge against the general credit or taxing power of either; that the purposes to be accomplished by the Project, i.e., economic development, creation of jobs, and addition to the tax base of the County, are proper governmental and public purposes; that the inducement of the location or expansion of the Project within the County and State is of paramount importance; and that the benefits of the Project will be greater than the costs; and

WHEREAS, the County has determined on the basis of the information supplied to it by the Company that the Project would be a "project" as that term is defined in the FILOT Statute and that the Project would serve the purposes of the FILOT Statute.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Oconee County Council that, if the Company locates the Project in the County and creates the investment and jobs indicated above:

1. The Council, upon request by the Company, hereby agrees to enter into one or more agreements under the FILOT Statute that will provide the Company with the benefits allowed pursuant to a FILOT agreement for twenty years for each component of the Project placed in service during the standard "Investment Period" (as that term is defined in the FILOT Statute), with the calculation of the fee thereunder on the basis of a fixed assessment ratio of 6%, and a fixed millage rate of the applicable millage rate at the Project site as of June 30, 2012, which the parties hereto believe to be 204.3 mils.
2. The Council agrees to provide the Company with the most favorable provisions allowable under the FILOT Statute with respect to the disposal and replacement of property.
3. To the extent permitted by the FILOT Statute, the Council agrees to waive the recapitulation requirements set forth in the FILOT Statute, to the extent that and so long as the Company provides the County with copies of all filings and reports which the Company is required to make under the FILOT Statute.
4. The Council agrees to enter into and execute the appropriate agreements and other documents to implement the provisions of this Resolution and such other provisions as the Company may request consistent with this Resolution and such applicable statutes.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED IN A MEETING THIS 4th DAY OF December, 2012.

By: _____
Joel Thrift, Chairman of County Council
Oconee County, South Carolina

ATTEST:

By: _____
Elizabeth G. Hulse, Clerk to County Council
Oconee County, South Carolina

PROCUREMENT - AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

OCONEE COUNTY, SC

COUNCIL MEETING DATE: December 4, 2012

ITEM TITLE:

Title: RFP 12-05 Design Build Services for the Anderson Oconee Behavioral Health Building

Amount: TBD

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

☒ This project is funded by General Obligation Bonds, the amount not to exceed \$1,600,000, approved by Council per Ordinance 2012-29 on September 4, 2012.

Finance Approval: _____

BACKGROUND DESCRIPTION:

RFP 12-05 was issued on September 20, 2012, to seek qualified Design Build Contractors with the ability and capacity to design, construct, manage and provide all construction administration for a building, approximately 11,000 square feet, to be located on East North First Street and Holleman Street in Seneca. Oconee County will be the owner of the building for this construction project and Anderson Oconee Behavioral Health Services will be the occupant of the building. AOBH Services is the sole agency in Anderson and Oconee counties to provide alcohol and drug prevention, education, treatment and rehabilitation.

Thirty four firms were notified of this RFP and sixteen proposals were received on October 16, 2012. An Evaluation Committee consisting of Lake Julian, Construction and Facilities Maintenance Director, Mack Kelly, County Engineer and Director of Public Works, Kevin Robinson, Delinquent Tax Collector, and Linda Shugart, Assessor, reviewed and scored the proposals and recommended four firms to continue on to the Interview Round. Interviews were held on November 26, 2012 and Roebuck Buildings, of Roebuck, SC, was recommended for award.


ATTACHMENT(S):

1. Summary Score Sheet

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

It is the staff's recommendation that Council approve the award of RFP 12-05 to Roebuck Buildings, of Roebuck, SC, not to exceed the total amount of \$1,600,000 budgeted for this project.

Submitted or Prepared By: _____
Robyn Courtright, Procurement Director

Approved for Submittal to Council: 
T. Scott Moulder, County Administrator

Council has directed that they receive their agenda packages a week prior to each Council meeting, therefore, Agenda Items Summaries must be submitted to the Administrator for his review/approval no later than 12 days prior to each Council meeting. It is the Department Head / Elected Officials responsibility to ensure that all approvals are obtained prior to submission to the Administrator for inclusion on an agenda.

A calendar with due dates marked may be obtained from the Clerk to Council.

ROUND 1 - PROPOSALS SUBMITTED FOR RFP 12-05

Bidder	Cunningham Waters	Harbor Services	HDR	Hogan	Ikhan	J. Davis	J.M. Solesbee	Landmark	Langston	Marsh Bell	M B Kahn	Roebuck	Sherman	Trehel	Triangle	Zorn
	Columbia, SC	Beaufort, SC	Charlotte, NC	Piedmont, SC	N. Charleston, SC	Westminster SC	Spartanburg, SC	Columbia, SC	Piedmont, SC	Easley, SC	Columbia, SC	Roebuck, SC	Piedmont, SC	Clemson, SC	Greenville, SC	Seneca, SC
Total Score	1452.50	1365	1420	1342.50	1238.75	1382.50	1100	1322.50	1173.75	1260	1622.50	1447.50	1332.50	1380	1471.25	1403.75
RANKING	3	9	5	10	14	7	16	12	15	13	1	4	11	8	2	6

INTERVIEWS - ROUND 2

Bidder	Cunningham Waters	MB Kahn	Roebuck	Triangle
	Columbia, SC	Columbia, SC	Roebuck, SC	Greenville, SC
RANKING	4	3	1	2

Oconee County, South Carolina
Capital Project Advisory Committee
**Capital Improvement
Project Listing**
PENDING

Department Name	Public Service & Operations	Short Term Economic Development Goals	Ongoing / Long Term Economic Development Goals	Description	Request	Source <i>[see key at bottom]</i>	Date Presented to Cmte	Status of Project
Facilities Maintenance		X	X	Brown Building - Up-fit into office space	\$750,000	OCE	Unscheduled	On Hold - Facility in Use
Economic Development		X	X	Revolving Shell Building [#4]	\$2,000,000	OCE		
Economic Development		X		Sewer Line to Coneross I-85 & GCCP	\$8,000,000	PE	Unscheduled	On Hold Pending Administrator work with Sewer Authority
Economic Development			X	Golden Corner Commerce Park	\$3,500,000			
Library	X			Seneca Branch	\$9,100,000	OCE	Unscheduled	On Hold - Pending Board Decision re: Location
South Cove	X			Construct New Office	\$200,000			
Library	X			Renovate Interior of Walhalla Branch	\$600,000			
Sheriff	X			Training Facility to include Shoot House & Driving Range for Training	\$1,065,000			
Solid Waste	X			Landfill Expansion	\$750,000			
Library	X			Westminster Branch Expansion	\$1,000,000			
Library	X			New 7,400 SF South County Branch	\$2,000,000			
					\$28,965,000			

OCE - Dept. Head Estimate

Note: Equipment replacement not included / within the authority of Administrator to establish replacement schedule. PE - Professional Estimate

Oconee County, South Carolina
Capital Project Advisory Committee
**Capital Improvement
Project Listing**

PROJECTS SCORED

Department	Public Service & Operations	Short Term Economic Development Goals	Ongoing / Long Term Economic Development Goals	Description	Request	Source	Date Presented to Cmte	Status of Project
Economic Development		X		Echo Hills Infrastructure	\$5,000,000	OCE	03-2012	Project Presented - Moved Forward to Plan. Comm.
Economic Development		X	X	Revolving Shell Building [#3]	\$2,000,000	PE	08-2012	Project Presented - Moved Forward to Plan. Comm.
High Falls	X			Campsite Renovations [water, electric, rebuild]	\$300,000	PE	03-2012	Project Presented - Moved Forward to Plan. Comm.
Solid Waste	X			Expand 2nd Busiest MCC	\$850,000	PE	05-2012	Project Presented - Moved Forward to Plan. Comm.
Economic Development		X	X	Development of Seneca Rail Site	\$2,300,000	OCE	9-26-12	Project Presented - Moved Forward to Plan. Comm.

**PROJECTS REMOVED FROM LIST
COMPLETED**

Rock Quarry	X		X	Land for Rock Quarry	\$550,000	X	X	Purchase Completed 10/2/2012
-------------	---	--	---	----------------------	-----------	---	---	------------------------------

The Fiscal Impact of Selected State Mandates on South Carolina County Governments

SCAC Legislative Conference
Charleston SC
November 29, 2012

Study Conducted by:

- Francis Marion University
- University of South Carolina's Institute for Public Service and Policy Research
- Clemson University's Strom Thurmond Institute

Survey Methodology

- The survey instrument was designed using the SCAC 1993 Mandates Survey as a basis and with input from county finance staff.
- Survey data was requested for FY2009-2010 because last year audits were available for all counties.
- Survey sent to chief administrative officers and finance directors in all counties in July.

Survey Response

- 43 counties (94% response rate)
- Not all counties were able to provide estimates of the cost of all mandates.

Cost of Selected State Mandates

State Mandated Functions/Programs	\$604.2 million
Office Space & Utilities for State Agencies	\$ 20.1
Subtotal	<u>\$624.3</u>
Revenue from Statutory Fees and Specific State Shared Revenue	(\$312.6)
Local Government Fund County Distribution	<u>(\$181.9)</u>
Net Cost	\$129.8 million

Not Included in Net Cost

- Costs from Allendale, Chesterfield or Union counties
- Capital costs
- Any mandates after FY 2009-2010
- Any millage collected specifically for a state mandated function/program
- Any costs associated with Alcohol and Drug Abuse; Emergency Management; EMD Communications; Auditor; Sheriff; Solicitor; or Treasurer

Included in Net Cost Figure

Animal Shelter	Juvenile Detention
Assessors (Equipment/Software)	Libraries
Building Codes	Magistrates
Circuit Court	Master-in-Equity
Clerk of Court	Medically Indigent Assistance Pgm.
Coroner (<i>Office Space Only</i>)	Probate Court
Court Security	Public Defender/Indigent Defense
DNA (Preservation/Storage)	Records Management
Elections & Voter Registration	Register of Deeds
Emergency Medical Services (Electronic Data Submission Reg.)	Solid Waste (Collection, Disposal & Recycling)
Health Department (<i>Office Space Only</i>)	Stormwater Management
Jails – Medical Care and Housing of State Inmates	Victims' Services

Cost of Selected State Mandates (in millions)

	Operating Expense	Revenue	Net Cost
Libraries	\$98.4	\$9.0	-\$89.3
Solid Waste Collection, Disposal and Recycling	\$194.0	\$138.8	-\$55.1
Jails: Medical Care	\$22.5	\$0	-\$22.5
Public Defender (PD)/ Indigent Defense	\$21.5	\$0	-\$21.5
Clerk of Court	\$33.9	\$16.4	-\$17.4
Jails: Housing of State Inmates	\$16.9	< \$1.0	-\$16.8

Cost of Selected State Mandates, cont.

	Operating Expense	Revenue	Net Cost
Medically Indigent Assistance Program	\$14.8	\$0	-\$14.8
Animal Shelter	\$13.5	\$1.3	-\$12.2
Magistrates	\$46.1	\$35.1	-\$10.9
Building Codes	\$27.9	\$18.1	-\$9.8
Elections and Voter Registration	\$10.9	\$1.4	-\$9.5
Court Security	\$8.0	\$0	-\$8.0
Probate Court	\$16.2	\$9.2	-\$7.0

Cost of Selected State Mandates, cont.

	Operating Expense	Revenue	Net Cost
DNA	\$4.2	< \$1.0	-\$4.2
Records Management	\$4.6	\$1.0	-\$3.5
Juvenile Detention Act	\$3.2	\$0	-\$3.2
Family Court	\$12.1	\$9.3	-\$2.8
Victims' Services	\$9.5	\$6.9	-\$2.6
Assessors Equipment and Software	\$1.2	< \$1.0	-\$1.2
Emergency Medical Services	< \$1.0	\$0	< -\$1.0
Circuit Court	< \$1.0	< \$1.0	< -\$1.0

Cost of Selected State Mandates, cont.

	Operating Expense	Revenue	Net Cost
Stormwater Management & Sediment Reduction Act	\$25.8	\$25.3	< \$1.0
Master-in-Equity	\$4.7	\$14.0	\$9.3
Register of Deeds/RMC (if not under the Clerk of Court)	\$12.1	\$25.9	\$13.8
Total	\$604.1	\$312.5	\$291.6

Cost of Office Space

(based on \$11.29/sq. ft., utilities included)

Agency	Total Sq. Ft.	Total Cost Based on SC State price/sq. ft.
Administrative Law Judge (ALJ)	7,292	\$82,327
Armories	13,108	\$147,989
Clemson Cooperative Extension Service	66,044	\$745,637
Coroner	38,763	\$437,634
County Health Department/DHEC	568,942	\$6,423,355
Dept. Health and Human Services (DHHS)	97,360	\$1,099,194
Dept. of Employment and Workforce	31,270	\$353,038
Dept. of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) Offices	64,547	\$728,736

Cost of Office Space

(based on \$11.29/sq. ft., utilities included)

Agency	Total Sq. Ft.	Total Cost Based on SC State price/sq. ft.
Dept. of Probation, Pardon and Parole (PPP)	114,217	\$1,289,510
Dept. of Social Services (DSS)	571,636	\$6,453,770
Guardian Ad Litem	4,442	\$50,150
Legislative Delegation	14,066	\$158,805
Mental Health Programs	15,333	\$173,110
Office on Aging/Senior Citizens Center	79,452	\$897,013
Other - Alcohol/Drug Abuse	1,300	\$14,677
Other - Libraries	5,827	\$65,787

Cost of Office Space

(based on \$11.29/sq. ft., utilities included)

Agency	Total Sq. Ft.	Total Cost Based on SC State price/sq. ft.
Other - DMV	6,672	\$75,327
Other - DNR	415	\$4,685
Other - Central Carolina (Tech School)	10,000	\$112,900
Other - DHEC - Ridgeville	950	\$10,726
Other - Public Defender	826	\$9,326
Other - Solicitor	8,348	\$94,249
Other - Veterans Affairs	1,920	\$21,677

Cost of Office Space


(based on \$11.29/sq. ft., utilities included)

Agency	Total Sq. Ft.	Total Cost Based on SC State price/sq. ft.
Other - Pre-Trial Intervention	1,000	\$11,290
Other - Behavioral Health Services	3,132	\$35,360
Soil and Water Conservation	7,713	\$87,080
Supreme Court/Court of Appeals	13,027	\$147,075
Vocational Rehabilitation	34,290	\$387,134
Total for 34 Counties	1,781,892	\$20,117,561

Cost of Court Office Space

Agency	Total Sq. Ft.	Total Cost Based on SC State price/sq. ft.
Circuit Court	209,310	\$ 2,363,109.90
Clerk of Court	249,107	2,812,418
Family Court	181,315	2,047,046
Magistrates	360,730	4,072,642
Master-in-Equity	21,159	238,885
Probate Court	100,675	1,136,621
Total for 34 Counties	1,122,296	\$ 12,670,721.84

State Aid to Subdivisions (Local Government Fund)




Local Government Fund

Fiscal Year	Fully Funded Formula	Actual Appropriation	Annual % Change	Actual Compared to Formula
1991-92	\$141.2	\$141.2		
1992-93	\$150.5	\$143.7	1.8%	(\$6.8)
1993-94	\$150.0	\$150.0	4.4%	\$0.0
1994-95	\$165.3	\$165.3	10.2%	\$0.0
1995-96	\$181.1	\$181.1	9.6%	\$0.0
1996-97	\$190.5	\$190.5	5.2%	\$0.0
1997-98	\$195.6	\$195.6	2.7%	\$0.0
1998-99	\$206.5	\$206.5	5.6%	\$0.0
1999-00	\$218.1	\$218.1	5.6%	\$0.0
2000-01	\$225.3	\$221.9	1.8%	(\$3.4)
2001-02	\$225.3	\$225.3	1.5%	\$0.0
2002-03	\$235.4	\$228.6	1.5%	(\$6.7)
2003-04	\$221.9	\$221.9	2.9%	\$0.0
2004-05	\$223.6	\$223.6	0.8%	\$0.0
2005-06	\$230.2	\$230.2	3.0%	\$0.0
2006-07	\$249.3	\$249.3	8.3%	\$0.0
2007-08	\$263.2	\$260.2	12.4%	\$0.0
2008-09	\$299.6	\$280.2	0.0%	(\$19.5)
2009-10	\$250.2	\$230.2	17.8%	(\$19.9)
2010-11	\$249.5	\$202.6	-12.0%	(\$46.9)
2011-12	\$235.9	\$182.6	-9.9%	(\$53.3)
2012-13	\$253.5	\$212.6	16.4%	(\$40.9)

LGF: Back to the way it was?

- LGF Base = 4.5% of prior year's General Fund
- BUT, the base changed in 1998-99
- 1995 school property tax relief for homeowners funded using state IIT and CIT
- Revenue excluded from GF base in Trust Fund for Tax Relief
- 1999-2000: LGF reduced by \$17.2 million
- 2013-14: LGF will be reduced by \$24.7 million

Local Government Fund




Estimated Property Tax Revenue by County Property Type

Legend:

- Residential Owner-Occ. (Res.)
- Commercial & Retail
- Personal
- All Other
- Personal Property

Approximate Data Points (Percentage Share):

Year	Residential Owner-Occ. (Res.)	Commercial & Retail	Personal	All Other	Personal Property
1971	20.5	18.5	14.5	13.5	12.5
1975	19.5	17.5	14.5	13.5	13.5
1980	18.5	16.5	14.5	13.5	14.5
1985	17.5	15.5	14.5	13.5	15.5
1991	16.5	14.5	14.5	13.5	17.5



Other Revenue Challenges

- Personal vehicle assessment ratio dropped 10.5% to 6% beginning in FY 2001
 - 1996-97: Vehicles contributed 18.2% of property taxes
 - Since 2007-08, vehicles are less than 10% of revenues from property taxes
- Overall tax burden shifting to homeowners, commercial & rental properties

- ## Other Revenue Challenges
- Personal vehicle assessment ratio dropped 10.5% to 6% beginning in FY 2001
 - 1996-97: Vehicles contributed 18.2% of property taxes
 - Since 2007-08, vehicles are less than 10% of revenues from property taxes
 - Overall tax burden shifting to homeowners, commercial & rental properties

Other Revenue Challenges, cont.

- Act 388 of 2006: hard millage cap
- Act 402 of 2006: 15% assessment cap
- Act 76 of 2009: up to 6 year exemption for new, unsold or unoccupied homes
- Act 179 of 2012: expanded the multiple lot discount

How You Can Help

- Schedule and invite your delegation to a formal meeting to discuss the LGF.
- Explain to your delegation members the importance of the LGF in your county service package and use the Mandate Study to show how the LGF helps offset the cost of providing state mandated services.
- Let them know the hard decisions your county has made as a result of the LGF cuts over the last few years.

How You Can Help

- Tell your delegation members the cost of state mandates in your county.
- Tell them the impact that full funding of the LGF would have on your county and their constituents.
- Ask your delegation members to support full funding of the LGF.