



# Oconee County, South Carolina Capital Project Advisory Committee Project Scoring Sheet

Project: Shell Building  
 Sponsor: Economic Development  
 Date Submitted: 9/28/2011 Date Scored: 10/16/2011

Reviewer	Public Health, Safety, and Mandates 30%	Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20%	Economic Development 20%	Budgetary Impact 20%	Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10%
County Council Rep	0.0	40.0	37.0	73.0	60.0
County Administrator	0.0	31.0	33.0	72.0	45.0
Infrastructure Adv. Rep.	4.0	22.0	30.0	74.0	47.0
At-Large 1 (JR)	0.0	28.0	33.0	49.0	5.0
At-Large 2 (DM)	3.0	36.0	35.0	48.0	54.0
<b>Total Score</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>157.0</b>	<b>168.0</b>	<b>316.0</b>	<b>211.0</b>
<b>Average Score</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>31.4</b>	<b>33.6</b>	<b>63.2</b>	<b>42.2</b>

Group Score Calculation		
Criteria	Number of Considerations	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	2.1
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	4	15.7
Economic Development	4	16.8
Budgetary Impact	9	14.0
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	8	5.3
<b>Total Project Score (Out of Possible 100)</b>		<b>53.9</b>



ACT

# Oconee County, South Carolina Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

"Scoring Test"

Project Description/Name: OCCP 5 HELL BLDG.

CPAC Reviewer's Name: Paul Corbett Date Scored: 11/7/11 Project Score: 36.0

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0 x 30% = 0
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	40 x 2 = 8
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	10	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	37 x .2 = 7.4
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	10	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	7	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	LT 10	73 x 2 = 146
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	(etc) 10	
	Project will be economically sustainable	10	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	10	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	3	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	↑ Tx 10	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	
	Project will be low-maintenance	10	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	10	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	0	60 x 1 = 6
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	10	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	10	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	



# Oconee County, South Carolina

## Capital Project Advisory Committee

### Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: SHARL BUILDING

CPAC Reviewer's Name: SCOTT MOWLER Date Scored: 11/15/11 Project Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Evaluate each stated consideration listed in Column 2 in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	7	31
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	9	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	9	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	6	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	33
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	8	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	5	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	10	72
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	10	
	Project will be economically sustainable	10	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	10	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	10	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	1	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	1	
	Project will be low-maintenance	10	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	10	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	4	45
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	4	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	4	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	8	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	5	



# Oconee County, South Carolina

## Capital Project Advisory Committee

### Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: Booby Williams

CPAC Reviewer's Name: Bobby Williams Date Scored: \_\_\_\_\_ Project Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false; with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	2	4
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	2	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	3	22
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	7	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	7	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	5	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	7	30
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	7	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	8	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8	74
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	10	
	Project will be economically sustainable	10	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	5	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	8	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	8	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	7	
	Project will be low-maintenance	9	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	9	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	5	47
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	7	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	3	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	8	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	8	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	8	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	3	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	5	



# Oconee County, South Carolina

## Capital Project Advisory Committee

### Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: 52 N W SHOLL + RESTORATION TRAILS OCCO AND HART U

CPAC Reviewer's Name: RAU Date Scored: \_\_\_\_\_ Project Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	9	30
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	9	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	10	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	7	33
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	8	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	



Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	10	49
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	7	
	Project will be economically sustainable	8	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	8	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	5 10	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	0	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	
	Project will be low-maintenance	0	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	10	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	0	5
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	0	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area <i>impractical?</i>	0	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	0	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	0	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	0	
Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	5		

24.5



# Oconee County, South Carolina

## Capital Project Advisory Committee

### Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: SHELL BEACH

CPAC Reviewer's Name: D. WARD Date Scored: 11/14/11 Project Score: 94

Instructions: Evaluate each stated consideration listed in Column 2 in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	2	3
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	1	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	30
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	8	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	8	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	10	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	35
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	8	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	3	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	9	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	0	48
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	5	
	Project will be economically sustainable	7	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	8	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	8	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	2	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	8	
	Project will be low-maintenance	9	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	1	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	9	5.4
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	2	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	7	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	7	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	5	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	9	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	7	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	8	

Oconee County, South Carolina  
**Capital Project Advisory Committee**  
**Listing of Projects by Priority**

Department Name	CPAC Priority Ranking	Description	Request	Priority Totals
High Falls	H	Campsite Renovations (water,electric,rebuid)	\$ 300,000	\$ 25,000,000
Facilities Maintenance	H	Brown Building - up-fit into office space	\$ 750,000	
Solid Waste	H	Expand 2nd Busiest MCC	\$ 850,000	
Rock Quarry	H	Upgrade Crushing Plant	\$ 1,000,000	
Economic Development	H	Echo Hills Infrastructure	\$ 5,000,000	
Economic Development	H	Sewer Line to Corross I-85 & GCCP	\$ 8,000,000	
Library	H	Seneca Branch	\$ 9,100,000	

South Cove	M	Construct new Office	\$ 200,000	\$ 4,850,000
Rock Quarry	M	Land for Rock Quarry	\$ 550,000	
Library	M	Renovate Interior of Walhalla Library	\$ 600,000	
Economic Development	M	Golden Corner Commerce Park	\$ 3,500,000	

Sheriff	L	Shoot house for Firearms Training	\$ 315,000	\$ 15,015,000
Economic Development	L	Exit 3 Business Development	\$ 500,000	
Sheriff	L	Driving Range for Training (cost could be spread out over several years)	\$ 750,000	
Solid Waste	L	Landfill Expansion Seneca C&D 1	\$ 750,000	
Library	L	Westminster Library Expansion	\$ 1,000,000	
Economic Development	L	Extend Commerce Way to Armstrong Road	\$ 1,200,000	
Economic Development	L	Land for Oconee County Commerce Center	\$ 1,500,000	
Economic Development	L	Revolving Shell Building	\$ 2,000,000	
Library	L	7,400 SF South County Library	\$ 2,000,000	
Economic Development	L	Exit 3 Development & Construction	\$ 5,000,000	
			\$ 44,865,000	

From: John Rau

The flow chart was created to show the interrelationships of functions and times between the provision of project information to the Capital Improvement Program committee and those activities the committee will exercise to understand and score each project on its merits as detailed in documentation provided by county administration and will result in a formal input to the planning commission for further consideration and recommendations to County Council.

The detailed project information will, in most cases, have been in various phases during several planning and budgeting cycles to be considered for approval and implementation. This statement is included to give proper and significant recognition to all people, organizations and their labors to bring a project to reality through the various long and arduous procedural steps.

A policies and procedures list dated 10-13-2011 containing eight major elements and thirty sub-elements was considered by a group of four members of the committee and resulted in the flow chart. Many of the topics addressed normal and usual operations within the administrative process of creating documents, preparation by organizations, transmission of information, release of information and handling, security and storage of resulting documentation. Those sub-elements are inherent in usual and normal operations. They are essentially considered through the identity of who will do each of these sub-elements which are inherent in the functional blocks shown.

The most significant points made by the sub-committee was with regard to the attendance at the formal presentations, the time frame between presentations and the actual scoring data/mathematics and the further developing of information during voting membership appraisal period before scoring.

Attendance: If a voting member must be absent during the formal presentation, it was suggested that the presentation be done in council chambers and be recorded for mandatory review by the absent voting member(s) before the formal scoring and within the 5 day span set for the duration between presentation and scoring.

Time frame: The five day window between presentation and scoring (while somewhat arbitrary) allows for questions before scoring, gives time for individuals to score the project and get their results to the Finance Director for compilation before the formal scoring ratification meeting one week following the presentation.

# Oconee County Capital Project Advisory Committee Project Presentation Flowchart

