

Oconee County, South Carolina
 Capital Project Advisory Committee
 Listing of Projects by Priority

Department Name	CPAC Priority Ranking	Description	Request	Presentation Date	Priority Totals	
High Falls	H	Campsite Renovations (water,electric,rebuid)	\$ 300,000	1/2012		
Solid Waste	H	Expand 2nd Busiest MCC	\$ 850,000	2/2012		
Rock Quarry	H	Upgrade Crushing Plant	\$ 1,000,000	2/2012		
Economic Development	H	Echo Hills Infrastructure	\$ 5,000,000	3/2012		
Economic Development	H	Sewer Line to Conross I-85 & GCCP	\$ 8,000,000	On Hold		
Library	H	Seneca Branch	\$ 9,100,000	On Hold		
						\$ 24,250,000
South Cove	M	Construct new Office	\$ 200,000			
Rock Quarry	M	Land for Rock Quarry	\$ 550,000			
Library	M	Renovate Interior of Walhalla Library	\$ 600,000			
Facilities Maintenance	M	Brown Building - up-fit into office space	\$ 750,000			
Economic Development	M	Golden Corner Commerce Park	\$ 3,500,000			\$ 5,600,000
Sheriff	L	Shoot house for Firearms Training	\$ 315,000			
Economic Development	L	Exit 1 Business Development	\$ 500,000			
Sheriff	L	Driving Range for Training (cost could be spread out over several years)	\$ 750,000			
Solid Waste	L	Landfill Expansion Seneca C&D 1	\$ 750,000			
Library	L	Westminster Library Expansion	\$ 1,000,000			
Economic Development	L	Extend Commerce Way to Armstrong Road	\$ 1,200,000			
Economic Development	L	Land for Oconee County Commerce Center	\$ 1,500,000			
Economic Development	L	Revolving Shell Building	\$ 2,000,000			
Library	L	7,400 SF South County Library	\$ 2,000,000			
Economic Development	L	Exit 3 Development & Construction	\$ 5,000,000			\$ 15,015,000
						\$ 44,865,000



Oconee County, South Carolina Capital Project Advisory Committee Project Scoring Sheet

Project: Shell
 Sponsor: Economic Development
 Date Submitted: 9/28/2011 Date Scored: 11/16/2011

Reviewer	Public Health, Safety, and Mandates 30%	Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20%	Economic Development 20%	Budgetary Impact 20%	Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10%
Planning Director	-	40.0	38.0	61.0	60.0
Finance Director	-	32.0	33.0	70.0	48.0
Planning Commission	-	20.0	20.0	20.0	10.0
Committee Secretary	-	37.0	40.0	20.0	43.0
Facilities Maint Director	-	38.0	31.0	45.0	42.0
Total Score	-	167.0	162.0	216.0	203.0
Average Score		33.4	32.4	43.2	40.6

Group Score Calculation		
Criteria	Number of Considerations	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	4	16.7
Economic Development	4	16.2
Budgetary Impact	9	9.6
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	8	5.1
Total Project Score (Out of Possible 100)		47.6

Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee

Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: Spec Building

CPAC Reviewer's Name: Ryan Howard Date Scored: _____ Project Score: _____

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need		0
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate		
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan		20
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study		
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	10	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	20
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors		
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county		

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	10	20
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding		
	Project will be economically sustainable		
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	10	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale		
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets		
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations		
	Project will be low-maintenance		
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)		
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level		10
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions		
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future		
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area		
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions		
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	5	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public		
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	5	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee

Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: Shell Bidding
 CPAC Reviewer's Name: K. Brown Date Scored: 11/15/11 Project Score: _____

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	7	32
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	5	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	33
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	8	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	5	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	10	70
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	10	
	Project will be economically sustainable	10	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	10	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	10	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	0	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	
	Project will be low-maintenance	10	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	10	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	5	48
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	5	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	5	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	8	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	5	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee

Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: Art

CPAC Reviewer's Name: 4 Date Scored: _____ Project Score: _____

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	40
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	10	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	38
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	10	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	10	61
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	
	Project will be economically sustainable	8	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	10	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	5	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	0	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	
	Project will be low-maintenance	10	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	10	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	0	60
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	10	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	10	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee

Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: _____ SHELL BUILDING _____

CPAC Reviewer's Name: ___BETH HULSE___ Date Scored: ___11-15-11___ Project Score: _____

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	8	
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	9	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	10	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	10	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	10	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	0	
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	5	
	Project will be economically sustainable	5	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	5	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	5	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	0	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	
	Project will be low-maintenance	0	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	0	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	0	
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	5	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	5	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	5	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	8	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	