



CAPTIAL PROJECT ADVISORY COMMITTEE
SIGN IN SHEET
Wednesday, May 30, 2012

Paul Corbett, County Council [Chair]

Scott Moulder, County Administrator

Bob Winchester, Infrastructure Advisory Commission

David Mead, At Large Representative

John Rau, At Large Representative

Gwen McPhail, Planning Commission

Sally Lowery, Interim Finance Director

Lake Julian, Facilities Director

Art Holbrooks, OC Planning

SECRETARY: Elizabeth Hulse, Clerk to Council

Paul Corbett
Scott Moulder
Bob Winchester
David Mead
John Rau
Gwen McPhail
Sally R. Lowery
Art Holbrooks
Elizabeth Hulse

GUESTS:

Please Print Name

Please Sign Here

Glenn Bred

SWAIN STILL, SOLID WASTE

[Signature]



Oconee County, South Carolina Capital Project Advisory Committee Project Scoring Sheet **FINAL COPY**

Project: Solid Waste: Expansion of Manned Convenience Center #4 - Salem
 Sponsor: Solid Waste
 Date Submitted: 5/23/2012 Date Scored Validated: 5/30/2012

Reviewer	Public Health, Safety, and Mandates 30%	Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20%	Economic Development 20%	Budgetary Impact 20%	Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10%
County Council Rep	10.0	15.0	12.0	52.0	48.0
County Administrator	10.0	21.0	9.0	61.0	47.0
Infrastructure Adv. Rep					
At-Large 1 (JR)	8.0	22.0	5.0	55.0	43.0
At-Large 2 (DM)					
Total Score	28.0	58.0	26.0	168.0	138.0
Average Score	9.3	19.3	8.7	56.0	46.0

Group Score Calculation		
Criteria	Number of Considerations	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	14.0
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	4	9.7
Economic Development	4	4.3
Budgetary Impact	9	12.4
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	8	5.8
Total Project Score (Out of Possible 100)		46.2



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee

Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: SOLID WASTE: EXPANSION OF MANNED CONVIENCE CENTER #4 / SALEM

CPAC Reviewer's Name: Paul Corbeil Date Scored: 5/25/2012 Project Score: 138

Adjusted/Corrected 5/30/12

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	7	24
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	7 <i>3</i>	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	5	15
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	5	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	5	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	0	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	2	12
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	2	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	5	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	3	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	3/5	53
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	1/2	
	Project will be economically sustainable	7	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	4	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	6	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	7	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	9	
	Project will be low-maintenance	7	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	5	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	9	48
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	7	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	7	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	1/3	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	0	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	1/6	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	7	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	9	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee

Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: SOLID WASTE: EXPANSION OF MANNED CONVIENCE CENTER #4 / SALEM

CPAC Reviewer's Name: SCOTT MOULDER

Date Scored: 5/24/12

Project Score: 152

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Adjusted/Corrected 5/30/12

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	7	10
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	3	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	6	16
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	2 7	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	6	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	2	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	0	9
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	0	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	5	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	4	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8 6	65
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	2	
	Project will be economically sustainable	9	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	9 3/4	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	7	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	8	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	9	
	Project will be low-maintenance	7	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	9	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	9	52
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	7	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	6 4	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	7 4	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	2	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	4	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	9	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	8	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee

Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: SOLID WASTE: EXPANSION OF MANNED CONVIIENCE CENTER #4 / SALEM

CPAC Reviewer's Name: JOHN RAU Date Scored: May 25 2012 Project Score: _____

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Adjusted / Corrected 5/30/12

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	5	
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0 3	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	7	
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	8	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	7	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	0	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	0	
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	0	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	5	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	0	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	7	
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	0	
	Project will be economically sustainable	8	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	0 / 4	
	Project construction/acquisition new will result in significant savings or economies of scale	0 / 6	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	8	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	10	
	Project will be low-maintenance	5	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	17	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	10	
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	5	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	0	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	0	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	0	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	8	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	



Oconee County, South Carolina Capital Project Advisory Committee Project Scoring Sheet **DRAFT COPY**

Project: Solid Waste: Expansion of Manned Convenience Center #4 - Salem
 Sponsor: Solid Waste
 Date Submitted: 5/23/2012 Date Scored Validated: 5/30/2012

Reviewer	Public Health, Safety, and Mandates 30%	Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20%	Economic Development 20%	Budgetary Impact 10%	Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10%
County Council Rep	14.0	15.0	12.0	53.0	48.0
County Administrator	10.0	16.0	9.0	65.0	52.0
Infrastructure Adv. Rep					
At-Large 1 (JR)	5.0	22.0	5.0	43.0	38.0
At-Large 2 (DM)					
Total Score	29.0	53.0	26.0	161.0	138.0
Average Score	9.7	17.7	8.7	53.7	46.0

Group Score Calculation		
Criteria	Number of Considerations	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	14.5
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	4	8.8
Economic Development	4	4.3
Budgetary Impact	5	11.9
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	8	5.8
Total Project Score (Out of Possible 100)		45.3



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee

Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: SOLID WASTE: EXPANSION OF MANNED CONVIENCE CENTER #4 / SALEM

CPAC Reviewer's Name: Paul Corbeil Date Scored: 5/25/2012 Project Score: 138

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	7	14
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	7	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	5	15
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	5	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	5	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	0	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	2	12
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	2	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	5	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	3	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	3	53
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	5	
	Project will be economically sustainable	7	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	4	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	6	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	7	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	9	
	Project will be low-maintenance	7	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	5	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	9	48
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	7	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	7	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	5	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	0	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	4	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	7	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	9	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee

Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: SOLID WASTE: EXPANSION OF MANNED CONVIENCE CENTER #4 / SALEM

CPAC Reviewer's Name: SCOTT MOULDER

Date Scored: 5/24/12

Project Score: 152

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	7	10
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	3	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	6	16
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	2	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	6	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	2	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	0	9
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	0	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	5	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	4	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	5	65
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	2	
	Project will be economically sustainable	9	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	9	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	7	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	8	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	9	
	Project will be low-maintenance	7	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	9	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	9	52
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	7	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	6	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	7	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	2	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	4	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	9	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	8	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee

Reviewer's Scoring Sheet

Project Description/Name: SOLID WASTE: EXPANSION OF MANNED CONVIENCE CENTER #4 / SALEM

CPAC Reviewer's Name: JOHN RAU Date Scored: May 25 2012 Project Score: _____

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates- 30% of Score	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	5	
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan.	7	
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	8	
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	7	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	0	
Economic Development- 20% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	0	
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	0	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	5	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	0	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	7	
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	0	
	Project will be economically sustainable	8	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	0	
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	0	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	8	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	10	
	Project will be low-maintenance	5	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	5	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will bring service up to desired level	10	
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	0	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	0	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	0	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	8	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	