

Oconee County Administrative Offices 415 South Pine Street Walhalla, SC 29691 www.oconeesc.com

Phone: 864 718 1023 Fax: 864 718 1024

E-mail: <u>bhulse@oconeesc.com</u>

Mr. Paul Corbeil Chairman

Mr. Art Holbrooks Mr, Lake Julian Ms. Sally Lowery Ms. Gwen McPhail Mr. David Mead Mr. Scott Moulder Mr. John Rau Mr. Bob Winchester



MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. Ryan Honea, Chairman

Oconee County Planning Commission

FROM: Mr. Paul Corbeil, Chairman

Capital Project Advisory Committee

DATE: August 29, 2012

RE: Capital Project for Consideration for Funding Recommendation

Dear Chairman;

The Oconee County Capital Project Advisory Committee, in session duly assembled, Wednesday, August 29, 2012, reviewed and scored the project listed below. Mr. Blackwell, Economic Development Director, made a formal presentation and entertained questions at our August 22, 2012 meeting.

I would ask that this project be added to your next review of capital projects for recommendation to the Council in the next fiscal year.

Shell Building #3

Enclosed please find all pertinent information related to this project to include:

- Project Criteria Questionnaire
- Any handouts and/or Presentations related to the project
- Final Summary of Individual Reviewer's Scoring Sheets, and
- FINAL Summary Project Scoring Sheet

Thank you for consideration of this project.



Oconee County, South Carolina Capital Project Advisory Commi ee

FINAL COPY

Project:

Shell Building [3]

Sponsor:

Economic Development

Date Submi ed:

8/22/2012

Date Scored Validated:

8/29/2012

Reviewer	Public Health, Safety, and Wandates 10%	Goals Established In Adopted Plans 20%	Economic Development 40%	Budgetary Impact 20%	Impact on Service Levels and Rela onship to Other Projects 19%
		40:0	38.0	58.0	74.0
	4.0	36.0	35,0	64.0	56,0
		32.0	33.0	50.0	32.0
		40.0	36.0	42.0	60.0
		38.0	34.0	75.0	58.0
Total Score	4.0	186,0	176.0	289.0	280.0
Average Score	0.8	37,2	35.2	57.8	56.0

Criteria	Number of Considera ons	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	0.4
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	4	18.6
Economic Development	ė.	35.2
Sudgetary Impact	9	12.8
impact on Service Levels and Rela onship to Other Projects	8	7,0
Total Project Score (Out of Possible 1	00)	74.0





Oconee County, South Carolina Capital Project Advisory Committee

Project Scoring Sheet

Project:	Shell Building [3]	
Sponsor:	Economic Development	
Date Submitted:	8/22/2012	Date Scored Validated:

Reviewer	Public Healtin, Safety, and Mandates 10%	Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20%	Economic Development 40%	Budgetary Impact 20%	Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10%
County Council Rep		48.0	38.0	58.0	74.0
County Administrator	4.0	36.0	35.0	64,8	56.0
Infrastructure Adv. Rep	F 100	32,0	33.0	50.6	32,0
At-Large 1 (#R)		40.0	36.0	37.0	43.0
At-Large 2 (DIM)	2	38,0	34.0	75,8	58.0
Total Score	4.0	186.Q	176.0	284.0	263.0
Average Score	0.8	37/2	35.2	56.8	52/6

Criteria	Number of Considerations	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	0.4
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	4	18.6
Economic Development	4	35.2
Bodgetary Impact	9	12.6
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	8	6.6
Total Project Score (Out of Possible 1	00)	73.4

Capital Project Advisory Committee Group Summary Scoring Sheet - FINAL

Project Name:

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - SHELL BUILDING [3]

		Chairman	Administrator	Infrastructure Advisory	At Large: JR	At Large: DM
Public Health,	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	2	0	0	0
Safety & Mandates	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	2	0	0	0
Goals	Declare ballon producer and controlled of othe Cores Bloc	10	10	9	-	Annual Value
Established in	Project helps implement goals established in the Comp. Plan Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	10	9	10 10	10
Adopted Plans	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study Project has been consistently included in previous Cap. Improve	10	6	5	10	9
	Programs	10	10	9	10	9
Economic	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	40	9	10	40
Development	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	9	9	10	8
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	10	9	9	8	9
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	7	6	8	7
Budgetary	Project will have positive impact on General Fund Budget	10	8	8	10	8
Impact	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	7	6	5	9
	Project will be economically sustainable	- 8	7	5	8	8
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding.	8	8	-6	4	9
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings OR economies of scale	10	7	5	0	8
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	7	5	5	ö	8
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	6	4	0	8
Edlard.	Project will be low-maintenance	0	7	5	7.5 3.75	8
	Project can be supported by existing resources [staff, funding, etc.]	7	9	8	5	9
Impact on	Project will bring service up to desired level	8	6	6	-5	5
Service Levels	Project will improve services levels of other assets or functions	10	7	0	0	0
and Relationship to	Project coordinates will with other projects wither ongoing or approved for near future	10	7	6	19	10
Other Projects	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	10	6	6	5	9
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	5	5	19	8
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	8	6	5	10	10
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	9	ō	10	7
	Project is ranted as best use of funding for category of project	8	10	5	10	9

Capital Project Advisory Committee Group Summary Scoring Sheet - PRELIMINARY

Project Name: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - SHELL BUILDING [3]

				-		
			ţo	ıre	뜨	M
		⊑	tra	ੂ ੂ		
		Chairman	Administrator	ig it	At Large:	At Large:
		air	Ē	ras Vis	La	La
		<u></u>	PΦ	Infrastructure Advisory	¥	Αt
Public Health,	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	2	0	0	0
Safety &	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate		_			
Mandates	in reject amostly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	2	0	0	0
Goals	Project helps implement goals established in the Comp. Plan	10	10	9	10	10
Established in	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	10	9	10	10
Adopted Plans						
Adoptou Flanc	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	6	5	10	9
	Project has been consistently included in previous Cap. Improve					
	Programs	10	10	9	10	9
Economic	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	10	9	10	10
Development	. 10,500 mm (dominate p. 0000 mm o. 1000		. •			
Bevelopment	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	9	9	10	8
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential					
	investors	10	9	9	8	9
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	7	6	8	7
Budgetary	Project will have positive impact on General Fund Budget	10	8	6	10	8
Impact						
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	7	6	5	9
	Project will be economically sustainable	8	7	5	8	8
	- roject mil 20 000 nomioum) outstandere		-			
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding.	8	8	6	4	9
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings					
	OR economies of scale	10	7	5	0	8
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance		-			
	budgets	7	5	5	0	8
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	6	4	0	8
	Project will be low-maintenance	0	7	5	10	8
	Project can be supported by existing resources [staff, funding, etc.]	7	9	8	0	9
Impact on	Project will bring service up to desired level	8	6	5		5
Service Levels	Project will improve services levels of other assets or functions	10	7	0		0
and	Project coordinates will with other projects wither ongoing or approved					
Relationship to	for near future	10	7	6	10	10
Other Projects						
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	10	6	6	3	9
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	5	5		8
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	8	6	5	10	0
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to					
	the public Project is ranted as best use of funding for category of project	10	9	0	10	7
		8	10	5	10	9



Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description/Name: SHELL BUILIDNG [3]

CPAC Reviewer's Name: **Paul Corbeil** Date Scored: 8-9-2012 Project Score: ______

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	
Mandates- 10% of Score	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	
Goals Established	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	10	
	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	
Economic	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	
Development- 40% of Score	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	10	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	10	
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	
	Project will be economically sustainable	8	
Dudgetow	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	8	
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	10	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	7	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	NA	
	Project will be low-maintenance	0	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	7	
	Project will bring service up to desired level	8	
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	10	
	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	10	
Impact on Service Levels and	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	10	
Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	
10 % of Score	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	8	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	8	



Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description/Name:

SHELL BUILIDING [3]

CPAC Reviewer's Name: 5 COPY MOUSE SON			1015
CPAC Reviewer's Name: DICOPP / TOUGHOUSE	Date Scored: 8-22-2012	Project Score:	美 小篇

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	2	11
Mandates- 10% of Score	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	2	
of East	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	/0	7
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	6	136
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	10	
	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	Turb been
Economic Development- 40% of Score	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	9	100
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	9	33
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	7	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8	
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	7	
	Project will be economically sustainable	7	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	8	
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	7	64
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	5	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	lo	
	Project will be low-maintenance	7	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	9	
	Project will bring service up to desired level	6	
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	7	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	7	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with Other projects in same area	6	17
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	5	150
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	Co	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	9	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	



Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description/Name: SHELL BUILIDNG [3]

CPAC Reviewer's Name: Bob William has steen Date Scored: 8-22-2012 Project Score:

instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	Ø	0
Mandates- 10% of Score	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	O	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Store	Project helps implement goals established In the Comprehensive Plan	9	32
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	9	14
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	5	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	q	
Economic Development- 40% of Score	Project will facilitate production of jobs	ą į	33
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	9	
	Project will enhance County's Image, thereby attracting potential investors	9	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	4	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	6	50
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	4	100000
	Project will be economically sustainable	5	
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	4	
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	5	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	5	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	4	
	Project will be low-maintenance	5	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	9	
	Project will bring service up to desired level	5	32
	Project will improve scruice levels of other assets or functions	0	0.32000
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	6	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	4	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	5	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	5	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	D	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	5	



Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description/Name:	SHELL BUILIDNG [3]	
---------------------------	--------------------	--

CPAC Reviewer's Name:	_Johs Rau	[Rev 2 dated 8-27-2012	Date Scored:	8-20-2012
Project Score:126_(Rev 2)_	 -			

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0
Mandates- 10% of Score	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	40
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	10	
	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	36
Economic Development- 40% of Score	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	8	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	10	37
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	5	
	Project will be economically sustainable	8	
Dudgotowy	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	4	
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	0	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	0	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	
	Project will be low-maintenance	10	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	0	
	Project will bring service up to desired level	5 Rev 2	53 Rev 2
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0 Rev 2	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	10	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	5 Rev 2	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	Jurisdictions=???? Score 0	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	



Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description/Name:	SHELL B	UILIDNG	[3]	
CPAC Reviewer's Name: _	_John Rau	Date Scored:	8-22-2012	Project Score:
				terms of applicability to the proposed

SHELL BUILDING [3]

project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0
Mandates- 10% of Score	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	40
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	10	
	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	36
Economic Development- 40% of Score	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	8	
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	10	37
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	5	
	Project will be economically sustainable	8	
Dudgotowy	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	4	
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	0	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	0	
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	
	Project will be low-maintenance	10	
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	0	
	Project will bring service up to desired level	Define service!	43 maybe but is subject to change during or after the
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	Define service!	presentation.
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	10	
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	3?	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	I still do not under- stand jurisdictions!	
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	



Capital Project Advisory Committee **Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project**

Project Description/Name:

SHELL BUILIDNG [3]

CRAC	Reviews	ar s Ma	The :
LE POL	THE PROPERTY.	200	144

D. WEAD

Date Scored: 8-22-2012 Project Score:

Instructions; Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	
Mandates 10% of Score	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans- 20% of Score	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	38
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	9	
	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	9	
Economic Development- 40% of Score	Project will facilitate production of Jobs	10	
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	8	
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	9	34
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	7	

D. WEAD

Criteria	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score	
	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	-8		
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	9		
	Project will be economically sustainable	8		
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	9		
Budgetary Impact- 20% of Score	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	8	75	
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	8		
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	9		
	Project will be low-maintenance	8		
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	9		
	Project will bring service up to desired level	5		
	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0		
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects- 10% of Score	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved for near future	10		
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	9	58	
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	9		
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	Pak I	
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	7		
	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	9		

ψŰ