



Oconee County, South Carolina Capital Project Advisory Committee Project Scoring Sheet **Final**

Project: Seneca Rail Site
 Sponsor: Economic Development
 Date Submitted: 9/26/2012 Date Scored Validated: 10/3/2012

Reviewer	Public Health, Safety, and Mandates 10%	Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20%	Economic Development 40%	Budgetary Impact 20%	Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10%
County Council Rep	-	33.0	38.0	66.0	80.0
County Administrator	4.0	35.0	35.0	67.0	72.0
Infrastructure Adv. Rep	-	29.0	40.0	81.0	59.0
At-Large 1 (JR)	-	20.0	32.0	33.0	48.0
At-Large 2 (DM)	-	29.0	30.0	50.0	69.0
Total Score	4.0	146.0	175.0	297.0	328.0
Average Score	0.8	29.2	35.0	59.4	65.6

Group Score Calculation		
Criteria	Number of Considerations	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	0.4
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	4	14.6
Economic Development	4	35.0
Budgetary Impact	10	11.9
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	8	8.2
Total Project Score (Out of Possible 100)		70.1



Oconee County, South Carolina Capital Project Advisory Committee Project Scoring Sheet **DRAFT**

Project: Seneca Rail Site
 Sponsor: Economic Development
 Date Submitted: 9/26/2012 Date Scored Validated: 10/3/2012

Reviewer	Public Health, Safety, and Mandates 10%	Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20%	Economic Development 40%	Budgetary Impact 20%	Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10%
County Council Rep	-	33.0	38.0	46.0	80.0
County Administrator	4.0	35.0	35.0	67.0	72.0
Infrastructure Adv. Rep	-	29.0	40.0	81.0	59.0
At-Large 1 (JR)	-	20.0	32.0	33.0	30.0
At-Large 2 (DM)	-	29.0	30.0	50.0	55.0
Total Score	4.0	146.0	175.0	277.0	296.0
Average Score	0.8	29.2	35.0	55.4	59.2

Group Score Calculation		
Criteria	Number of Considerations	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	0.4
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	4	14.6
Economic Development	4	35.0
Budgetary Impact	10	11.1
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	8	7.4
Total Project Score (Out of Possible 100)		68.5



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee Group Summary Scoring Sheet

Project Name:

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - SENECA RAIL SITE

FINAL

		Chairman	Administrator	Infrastructure Advisory	At Large: JR	At Large: DM
Public Health, Safety & Mandates	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	2	0	0	0
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	2	0	0	0
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	Project helps implement goals established in the Comp. Plan	10	10	9	10	10
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	10	9	10	9
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	6	6	0	5
	Project has been consistently included in previous Cap. Improve Programs	3	9	5	0	5
Economic Development	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	10	10	7	8
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	10	9	10	10	5
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	10	9	10	7	9
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	7	10	8	8
Budgetary Impact	Project will have positive impact on General Fund Budget	8	8	10	8	5
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	7	10	5	8
	Project will be economically sustainable	10	7	8	7	8
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding.	0	5	6	0	0
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings OR economies of scale	7	7	9	0	8
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	5	6	8	3	7
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	4	8	0	5
	Project will be low-maintenance	10	6	8	5	7
	Project can be supported by existing resources [staff, funding, etc.]	10	8	6	5	2
	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	8	9	8	0	0
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	Project will bring service up to desired level	10	9	7	6	7
	Project will improve services levels of other assets or functions	10	8	6	6	7
	Project coordinates well with other projects whether ongoing or approved for near future	10	7	8	6	9
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	10	9	8	7	9
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	10	8	5	10
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	10	9	5	10
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	9	5	8	8
	Project is rated as best use of funding for category of project	10	10	8	5	9



Oconee County, South Carolina
Capital Project Advisory Committee
Reviewer's Scoring Sheet
Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description / Name: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / SENECA RAIL SITE

CPAC Name: Corbeil Date Scored: 9/26/2012 Project Score: 39

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates 10% of Score	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	na	0
	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	na	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	33x.2 = 6.6
	4	a. Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
		b. Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	
	5	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	3	
Economic Development 40% of Score	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	38x.4 = 15.2
	7	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues through taxes and/or fees	10	
	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	10	
	9	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact 20% of Score	10	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8	46x.2=9.2
	11	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	
	12	Project will be economically sustainable	10	
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	tbd	
	14	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	na	
	15	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	na	
	16	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	na	
	17	Project will be low-maintenance	10	
	18	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	10	
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project		
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10% of Score	20	Project will bring service up to desired level	10	80x.1= 8
	21	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	10	
	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	10	
	23	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	10	
	24	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	
	26	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	10	
	27	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	



Oconee County, South Carolina
Capital Project Advisory Committee
Reviewer's Scoring Sheet
Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description / Name: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / SENECA RAIL SITE

CPAC Name: SCOTT HOWLSEK Date Scored: 9/27/12 Project Score: 213

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates 10% of Score	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	2	4
	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	2	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	35
	4	a. Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
		b. Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	6	
	5	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	9	
Economic Development 40% of Score	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	35
	7	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues through taxes and/or fees	9	
	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	9	
	9	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	7	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact 20% of Score	10	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8	67
	11	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	7	
	12	Project will be economically sustainable	7	
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	5	
	14	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	7	
	15	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	6	
	16	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	4	
	17	Project will be low-maintenance	6	
	18	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	8	
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	9	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10% of Score	20	Project will bring service up to desired level	9	72
	21	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	8	
	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	7	
	23	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	9	
	24	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	
	26	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	9	
	27	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's Scoring Sheet Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description / Name: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / SENECA RAIL SITE

CPAC Name: Bob Winchester Date Scored: 10-2-12

Project Score: _____

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates 10% of Score	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0
	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	9	29
	4	a. Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	9	
		b. Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	4	
	5	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	5	
Economic Development 40% of Score	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	10	40
	7	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues through taxes and/or fees	10	
	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	10	
	9	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	10	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact 20% of Score	10	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	10	81
	11	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	10	
	12	Project will be economically sustainable	8	
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	6	
	14	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	9	
	15	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	8	
	16	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	8	
	17	Project will be low-maintenance	8	
	18	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	6	
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	8	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10% of Score	20	Project will bring service up to desired level	7	59
	21	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	6	
	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	8	
	23	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	8	
	24	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	8	
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	9	
	26	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	5	
	27	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	8	



Oconee County, South Carolina
Capital Project Advisory Committee
Reviewer's Scoring Sheet
Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description / Name: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / SENECA RAIL SITE

CPAC Name: R. MEAD Date Scored: 9-28-2012 Project Score: 164

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates 10% of Score	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	N/A	0
	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	N/A	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	29
	4	a. Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	9	
		b. Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	5	
	5	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	5	
Economic Development 40% of Score	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	8	30
	7	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues though taxes and/or fees	5	
	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	9	
	9	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact 20% of Score	10	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	5	50
	11	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	
	12	Project will be economically sustainable	8	
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	N/A	
	14	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	8	
	15	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	7	
	16	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	5	
	17	Project will be low-maintenance	7	
	18	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	2	
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	N/A	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10% of Score	20	Project will bring service up to desired level	N/A	55
	21	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	N/A	
	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	9	
	23	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	9	
	24	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	
	26	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	8	
	27	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	9	



Oconee County, South Carolina
Capital Project Advisory Committee
Reviewer's Scoring Sheet
Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description / Name: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / SENECA RAIL SITE REVISION 1

CPAC Name: RAU Date Scored: 9-30-2012 Project Score: 115

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates 10% of Score	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0
	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	20
	4	a. Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
		b. Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	0	
	5	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	0	
Economic Development 40% of Score	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	7	32
	7	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues through taxes and/or fees	10	
	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	7	
	9	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact 20% of Score	10	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8	33
	11	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	5	
	12	Project will be economically sustainable	7	
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	0	
	14	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	0	
	15	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	3	
	16	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	
	17	Project will be low-maintenance	5	
	18	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	5	
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	0	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10% of Score	20	Project will bring service up to desired level	0	30
	21	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0	
	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	0	
	23	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	7	
	24	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	5	
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	5	
	26	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	8	
	27	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	5	



Oconee County, South Carolina
Capital Project Advisory Committee
Reviewer's Scoring Sheet
Economic Development Priority Project

Project Description / Name: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT / SENECA RAIL SITE

CPAC Name: RAU Date Scored: 9-21-2012 Project Score: 148

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely False, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely True. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates 10% of Score	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0
	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	25
	4	a. Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
		b. Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	5	
	5	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	0	
Economic Development 40% of Score	6	Project will facilitate production of jobs	7	32
	7	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues through taxes and/or fees	10	
	8	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	7	
	9	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact 20% of Score	10	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8	41
	11	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	5	
	12	Project will be economically sustainable	7	
	13	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	0	
	14	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	5	
	15	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	5	
	16	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	0	
	17	Project will be low-maintenance	6	
	18	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	5	
	19	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	0	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 10% of Score	20	Project will bring service up to desired level	0	30
	21	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	0	
	22	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	8	
	23	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	9	
	24	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	10	
	25	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	5	
	26	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	10	
	27	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	8	