




Oconee County
Capital Project
Advisory Committee



Oconee County
Administrative Offices
415 South Pine Street
Wahalla, SC 29691
www.oconee.org

Phone: 854-718-1022
Fax: 854-718-1024

E-mail:
tlb@oconee.org

Mr. Joel Thrift
Chairman

Mr. Julian Abbott
Dr. Bill Callahan
Mr. Mike Johnson
Mr. Luke Julian
Mr. Scott Maulder
Mr. Frankie Peterson
Ms. LeClair Price
Mr. Josh Stephens
Mr. David Stokes

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mr. David Owenby, Chairman
Oconee County Planning Commission

FROM: Mr. Joel Thrift, Chairman
Capital Project Advisory Committee

DATE: March 24, 2016

RE: Capital Project for Consideration for Funding Recommendation



Dear Chairman:

The Oconee County Capital Project Advisory Committee, in session duly assembled, Thursday, March 24, 2016, reviewed and scored the project listed below. Mr. Jeff Garrison, Airport Director, made a formal presentation and entertained questions at our March 24, 2016 meeting.

I would ask that this project be added to your next review of capital projects for recommendation to the Council in the next fiscal year.

14 Airport Hangers

Enclosed please find all pertinent information related to this project to include:

- Project Criteria Questionnaire
- Any handouts and/or Presentations related to the project
- Final Summary of Individual Reviewer's Scoring Sheets, and
- FINAL Summary Project Scoring Sheet

Thank you for consideration of this project.





Oconee County, South Carolina
 Capital Project Advisory Committee
 Project Scoring Sheet
FINAL SUMMARY SHEET

Project: 14 AIRPORT HANGERS
 Sponsor: OCONEE COUNTY AIRPORT
 Date Submitted: 3/10/2016 Date Scored Validated: 3/24/2016

Reviewer	Public Health, Safety, and Mandates 30%	Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20%	Economic Development 20%	Budgetary Impact 20%	Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 20%
County Council Rep.	-	16.0	37.0	50.0	58.0
County Administrator	4.0	29.0	27.0	68.0	52.0
Westminster CDC	6.0	24.0	28.0	42.0	53.0
At-Large 1 (FP)	-	26.0	25.0	60.0	48.0
At-Large 2 (JA)	30.0	40.0	28.0	90.0	74.0
Total Score	28.0	135.0	146.0	310.0	285.0
Average Score	5.5	27.0	28.0	62.0	57.0

Group Score Calculation		
Criteria	Number of Considerations	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	8.4
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	4	13.5
Economic Development	4	7.0
Budgetary Impact	9	13.8
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	8	14.3
Total Project Score (Out of Possible 100)		56.9



Capital Project Advisory Committee Group Summary Scoring Sheet

Project Name:

14 Airport Hangers / March 10, 2016 Presentation

FINAL SCORING

		Chairman	Administrator	COC: Westminster	At Large: FP	At Large: JA
Public Health, Safety & Mandates	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	2	4	0	10
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	2	0	0	10
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	Project helps implement goals established in the Comp. Plan	6	9	5	8	10
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	6	6	6	8	10
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	4	8	6	5	10
	Project has been consistently included in previous Cap. Improve Programs	0	6	7	5	10
Economic Development	Project will facilitate production of jobs	5	3	5	5	5
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	9	9	8	7	5
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	9	10	8	8	10
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	9	5	7	5	8
Budgetary Impact	Project will have positive impact on General Fund Budget	3	8	6	5	10
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	5	2	0	7	8
	Project will be economically sustainable	7	6	6	8	10
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	0	2	0	0	8
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings OR economies of scale	8	5	5	7	8
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	0	7	6	7	10
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	8	8	7	8	10
	Project will be low-maintenance	9	10	7	7	9
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	5	10	5	8	10
	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	0	10	0	3	7
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	Project will bring service up to desired level	8	8	7	4	10
	Project will improve services levels of other assets or functions	7	7	6	6	10
	Project coordinates well with other projects whether ongoing or approved for near future	0	2	5	4	10
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	5	6	5	7	8
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	9	4	6	7	7
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	9	5	5	4	10
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	10	9	8	10
	Project is rated as best use of funding for category of project	10	10	9	8	9



Oconee County, South Carolina
 Capital Project Advisory Committee
 Project Scoring Sheet
Preliminary SUMMARY SHEET

Project: 14 AIRPORT HANGERS
 Sponsor: OCONEE COUNTY AIRPORT
 Date Submitted: 3/10/2016 Date Scored/Validated: 3/24/2016

Reviewer	Public Health, Safety, and Mandates: 30%	Goals Established in Adopted Plans: 20%	Economic Development: 10%	Budgetary Impact: 20%	Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects: 20%
County Council Rep.	-	10.0	32.0	48.0	64.0
County Administrator	4.0	31.0	29.0	68.0	52.0
Westminster COC	4.0	24.0	30.0	37.0	49.0
At-Large 1 (FP)	7.0	34.0	26.0	60.0	48.0
At-Large 2 (JA)	20.0	40.0	28.0	83.0	74.0
Total Score	35.0	139.0	145.0	291.0	287.0
Average Score	7.0	27.8	29.0	58.2	57.4

Group Score Calculation		
Criteria	Number of Considerations	Weighted Score
Public Health, Safety, and Mandates	2	18.5
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	7	13.9
Economic Development	4	7.3
Budgetary Impact	9	12.9
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	8	14.4
Total Project Score (Out of Possible 100)		58.9



Capital Project Advisory Committee Group Summary Scoring Sheet

Project Name:

14 Airport Hangers / March 10, 2016 Presentation

PRELIMINARY SCORING

		Chairman	Administrator	COC: Westminster	At Large: FP	At Large: JA
Public Health, Safety & Mandates	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	2	4	0	10
	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	2	0	7	10
Goals Established in Adopted Plans	Project helps implement goals established in the Comp. Plan	6	9	5	8	10
	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	6	8	6	8	10
	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	4	8	6	5	10
	Project has been consistently included in previous Cap. Improve Programs	0	6	7	5	10
Economic Development	Project will facilitate production of jobs	5	5	7	9	5
	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues	9	9	8	7	5
	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	9	10	8	5	10
	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	9	5	7	5	8
Budgetary Impact	Project will have positive impact on General Fund Budget	8	8	6	5	10
	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	2	6	7	8
	Project will be economically sustainable	7	8	6	8	10
	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	0	2	0	0	8
	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings OR economies of scale	8	5	0	7	8
	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	0	7	6	7	10
	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	8	8	7	8	10
	Project will be low-maintenance	9	10	7	7	9
	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	0	10	0	8	10
	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	0	10	0	3	7
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects	Project will bring service up to desired level	8	10	7	4	10
	Project will improve services levels of other assets or functions	9	7	6	6	10
	Project coordinates well with other projects whether ongoing or approved for near future	0	2	5	4	10
	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	9	6	5	7	8
	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	9	2	5	7	7
	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	9	5	6	4	10
	Project is planned to create minimal disruption and inconvenience to the public	10	10	7	8	10
Project is rated as best use of funding for category of project	10	10	7	8	9	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's PRELIMINARY Scoring Sheet Health/Safety/Public Need Priority Project

Project Description / Name: AIRPORT HANGERS

CPAC Name: Joel Thrift

Date Scored: 3-10-2016

Project Score: 134

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely false, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely true. Scores between 6 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates 30% of Score	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	0
	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	3	10
	4	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	3	
	5	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	4	
	6	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	6	
Economic Development 10% of Score	7	Project will facilitate production of jobs	5	32
	8	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues through taxes and/or fees	9	
	9	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	9	
	10	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	9	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact 20% of Score	11	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8	48
	12	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	
	13	Project will be economically sustainable	7	
	14	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	8	
	15	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	5	
	16	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	8	
	17	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	8	
	18	Project will be low-maintenance	9	
	19	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	10	
	20	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	0	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 20% of Score	21	Project will bring service up to desired level	8	64
	22	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	9	
	23	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	10	
	24	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	9	
	25	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	9	
	26	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	9	
	27	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	10	
	28	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's PRELIMINARY Scoring Sheet Health/Safety/Public Need Priority Project

Project Description / Name: AIRPORT HANGERS

CPAC Name: Scott Moulder

Date Scored: 3-10-2016

Project Score: _____

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely false, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely true. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates 30% of Score	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need.	2	4
	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate.	2	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan.	9	31
	4	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans.	8	
	5	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study.	8	
	6	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's).	6	
Economic Development 10% of Score	7	Project will facilitate production of jobs.	5	29
	8	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues through taxes and/or fees.	9	
	9	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors.	10	
	10	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county.	5	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact 20% of Score	11	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	8	68
	12	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	2	
	13	Project will be economically sustainable	6	
	14	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	2	
	15	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	5	
	16	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	7	
	17	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	8	
	18	Project will be low-maintenance	10	
	19	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	10	
	20	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	10	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 20% of Score	21	Project will bring service up to desired level	10	52
	22	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	7	
	23	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	2	
	24	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	6	
	25	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	2	
	26	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	5	
	27	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	10	
	28	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	10	



Oconee County, South Carolina
Capital Project Advisory Committee
Reviewer's FINAL Scoring Sheet
Health/Safety/Public Need Priority Project

Project Description / Name: AIRPORT HANGERS

CPAC Name: Bill Callahan

Date Scored: 3-10-2016

Project Score: _____

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely false, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely true. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or false, with 5 indicating there is an equal chance the statement is either true or false.

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates 30% of Score	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need.	4	
	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate.	?	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan.	5	
	4	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans.	6	
	5	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study.	6	
	6	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's).	7	
Economic Development 10% of Score	7	Project will facilitate production of jobs.	7	
	8	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues through taxes and/or fees.	8	
	9	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors.	8	
	10	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county.	7	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact 20% of Score	11	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	6	
	12	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	7	
	13	Project will be economically sustainable	6	
	14	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	7	
	15	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	7	
	16	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	6	
	17	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	7	
	18	Project will be low-maintenance	7	
	19	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	7	
	20	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	7	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 20% of Score	21	Project will bring service up to desired level	7	
	22	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	6	
	23	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	5	
	24	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	5	
	25	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	6	
	26	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	6	
	27	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	7	
	28	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	7	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee

Reviewer's FINAL Scoring Sheet

Health/Safety/Public Need Priority Project

Project Description / Name: AIRPORT HANGERS

CPAC Name: Frankie Pearson

Date Scored: 3-10-2016

Project Score:

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely false, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely true. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates 30% of Score	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	0	
	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	0	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	7	
	4	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	8	
	5	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	8	
	6	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	5	
Economic Development 10% of Score	7	Project will facilitate production of jobs	5	
	8	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues through taxes and/or fees	9	
	9	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	7	
	10	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	5	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact 20% of Score	11	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	5	
	12	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	7	
	13	Project will be economically sustainable	8	
	14	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	0	
	15	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	7	
	16	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	7	
	17	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	8	
	18	Project will be low-maintenance	7	
	19	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	8	
	20	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	3	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 20% of Score	21	Project will bring service up to desired level	4	
	22	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	6	
	23	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	4	
	24	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	7	
	25	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	7	
	26	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	4	
	27	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	8	
	28	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	8	



Oconee County, South Carolina

Capital Project Advisory Committee Reviewer's FINAL Scoring Sheet

Health/Safety/Public Need Priority Project

Project Description / Name: AIRPORT HANGERS

CPAC Name: Julian
Abbutt

Date Scored: 3-10-2016

Project Score:

Instructions: Evaluate each statement listed in the Considerations column in terms of applicability to the proposed project. Score each consideration between 0 and 10, with 0 indicating the consideration is definitely false, and 10 indicating the stated consideration is definitely true. Scores between 0 and 10 indicate varying degrees of probability that the statement is true or

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Public Health, Safety and Mandates 30% of Score	1	Project directly addresses a health and/or safety need	10	
	2	Project directly addresses a regulatory mandate	10	
Goals Established in Adopted Plans 20% of Score	3	Project helps implement goals established in the Comprehensive Plan	10	
	4	Project is consistent with adopted strategic plans	10	
	5	Project implements some or all recommendations of a previous study	10	
	6	Project has been consistently included in previous Capital Improvement Programs (CIP's)	10	
Economic Development 10% of Score	7	Project will facilitate production of jobs	5	
	8	Project will facilitate development that directly enhances revenues through taxes and/or fees	5	
	9	Project will enhance County's image, thereby attracting potential investors	10	
	10	Project will help prevent jobs/revenue leakage from the county	8	

Criteria	Question #	Considerations	Score (0-10)	Total Criteria Score
Budgetary Impact 20% of Score	11	Project will have positive impact on General Fund budget	10	
	12	Project will facilitate acquisition of grants and other outside funding	8	
	13	Project will be economically sustainable	10	
	14	Project is supported by available or previously designated funding	8	
	15	Project construction/acquisition now will result in significant savings or economies of scale	8	
	16	Project will have a positive impact on operation and maintenance budgets	10	
	17	Project will improve efficiency of existing operations	10	
	18	Project will be low-maintenance	9	
	19	Project can be supported by existing resources (staff, funding, etc.)	10	
	20	Existing assets which will be rendered obsolete/surplus or otherwise unneeded by the proposed project	7	
Impact on Service Levels and Relationship to Other Projects 20% of Score	21	Project will bring service up to desired level	10	
	22	Project will improve service levels of other assets or functions	10	
	23	Project coordinates well with other projects either ongoing or approved	10	
	24	Project can be effectively coordinated with other projects in same area	8	
	25	Project will benefit other jurisdictions	7	
	26	Project is timely or is subject to a window of opportunity	10	
	27	Project is planned to create minimal disruption & inconvenience to public	10	
	28	Project is ranked as best use of funding for category of project	9	